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INDIA rural

Districtwise distribution of \% out-of-school children aged 6-14


## INDIA rural

Districtwise distribution of \% Std I and II children who can read letters or more


## They reached the remotest villages of India

## ANDH

## ARUNACHAL PRADESH

Action Aid Society for Arunachal
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Deomali
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Along
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Anjaw
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Basar
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Changlang
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Dibang Valley
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Seijossa
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Seppa
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Tawang
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Tezu
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Yazal
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Yingkiong
Govt. Higher Sec. School, Ziro
Mr. Tabom
Rupa Town Club, West Kameng

## ASSAM

All India Student Federation
Assam Mahila Samata Society
Barluit Foundation
NSS Unit, Bongaigaon College
Discovery Club
NSS Unit, Kakojan College
Karbi Anglong Mountaineering Association
Nivedita Nari Sanstha
NSS Unit, North Lakhimpur
Raguratuk Club and Library
SEWA
Socio-Economic Development Organization
The East
UTTARAN
Wodichee

## BIHAR

Aakriti Samajik Sansthan
Aakriti Sarva Seva
Abhikram Saharsa
Akhil Bhartiya Sikshit Berozgaar Yuva Kalyan
Sansthan
Angika Mahila Vikash Sangathan
Bihar International Rural Development
Society (BIRDS)
Champaran Vikas Sansthan
Disha Vihar
Gramin Manav Seva Mandir
Gramin Sansadhan Vikash Parishad
Gramin Vikash Samiti
Harijan Adiwasi Shikshan Prasikshan Kalyan
Sanstha
Hindustan Organisation of Research and
Development
Jan Pragati
Jawahar Jyoti Bal Vikash Kendra
Jeevan Jyoti Kendra
Kartavya Welfare Organisation
Koshi Gramin Vikash Sansthan
Mitrashray
Nar Nari Samta Sansthan
Nav Jyoti Kendra
Pragati Shilp Kala Sansthan
Prajapati Mishra Shikshan Evam Vikash
Sanstha
Ragho Seva Sansthan
Rama Vishwanath Sarvangin Vikash Sansthan
Rashtriya Vikash Evam Samaj Kalyan Parishad (Ravi Skalp)
Samaj Kalyan Samiti Jadiya
Samgra Manav Seva Samiti
Sankalp Jyoti
Secular Youth Movment of India
Shanti Shilp Kala Kendra
Socio Economic \& Educational Development
Society (SEEDS)
St. Paul Foundation
Vikash Bharti (Rural Employment \&
Research Institute)

## CHHATTISGARH

Social Revival Group of Urban, Rural \& Tribal (SROUT), Korba
Ashram Seva Samiti, Patra Toli
Bhoramdev Shikshan Samiti, Bodla
Chetna Manch, Kanker
Chhattisgarh Janjati Vikas Parishad,

## Ambikapur

Gramin Sewa Samiti, Kulipota
Janvikas Parishad and Anusandhan Sansthan,

## Bilaspur

Lokshakti, Raigarh
Manav Utthan Seva Sansthan, Jagdalpur
Rijesh Social Action Trust Assosiation (RASTA),
Mahasamund
Sandhan Sansthan, Durg
Sanskar Vikas Sansthan, Jamghana, Baikuntpur,
Koriya
Sewadhan Samiti Itwara, Dhamtari
Srujan Samajik Sansthan, Ranjnandgaon

## DADRA \& NAGAR HAVELI

Education Officer
Kanya Vasti Gruh, Khanvel
Khanvel Junior College
Khanvel Marathi Primary School

## DAMAN \& DIU

Sahyog Trust
Sargam Youth Club
Swami Vivekanand Yuva Mandal

## GOA

Junior College of Dodamarg
Nav Nirman College

## GUJARAT

Prayas
Anarde Foundation
Anmol Rural Development Foundation
Arvalli Gram Vikas Sanstha
Bajrang Gram Vikas Trust
Bhavnagar Mahila Sangh
Chuval Gram Vikas Trust
Development Support Unit
Friends Sport Club
Gram Lakshmi Trust
Gram Seva Trust
Gram Vikas Sangathan
Gramin Mazdoor Sabha
Gramin Vikas Trust
Institute for Social Welfare Action
Janda Gram Vikas Trust
Junagadh Mahila Mandal
Kanooni Sahay Kendra
Marag
Matrubhumi Khadi Gramudhyog Seva Sangh
Trust (MKSS)
Mr. Garasiya Shailesh T
Mr. Hitesh Vaghela
Mr. Deepak Solanki
Navjot Foundation
NSS Unit, M.S University, Vadodara
Parivartan Charitable Trust
Prakriti Foundation
Prayosha Pratishthan
Saurashtra Dalit Yuva Sangathan
Saurashtra Volunteer Action
Shantigram Nirman Mandal
Shikshan \& Samaj Kalyan Kendra
Shramik Vikas Sansthan
Udgam
Ujjwal Trust

## HARYANA

Bhagwan Parsuram College
Boys Sr. Sec. School, Rohtak
Chaudhary Devi Lal University, MJMC Dept.
Child Development Scheme (CDS)
City Sr. Sec. School, Hisar
College Students, Gurgaon
NCC Unit, Govt. P.G. College, Jind
Jan Kalyan Samiti
National Service Scheme, Fatehabad
Radha Krishnan Sanathan Dharma College
Sankalp
SARD
SD College
SEWA
Sr. Sec. School, Rewari
Vikas Gram Uday Mandal
Zila Saksharta Samiti
HIMACHAL PRADESH
DIET, Hamirpur
DIET, Solan
Govt P.G. College, Recongpeo

Govt. Boys Sr. Sec. School, Kullu
Govt. Boys Sr. Sec. School, Lote
Govt. P. G. College, Chamba
Govt. P. G. College, Nahan
Govt. P.G. College, Bilaspur
Govt. P.G. College, Dharamshala
Govt. P.G. College, Una
Room to Read
Sachdeva College, Mandi

## JAMMU \& KASHMIR

Jammu University
Kashmir University
Medical and Health Education Department
Kathua
Sheep Husbandary Department, Ladakh
Autonomous Hill Council
Sheep Husbandary Department, Poonch

## JHARKHAND

Abhiyan
Bihar Pradesh Yuva Parishad
Gram Jyoti Kendra
Gramin Navodya Kendra
Gramin Samaj Evam Kalyan Vikash Manch
Gramoday Chetna Kendra
Inserach
Jan Shahbagi Kendra
Jharkhand Gramin Vikash Trust
Lohardagga Gram Swaraj Sansthan
Lohia Viklang Sewa Samiti
Lok Chirag Sewa Sansthan
Lok Hith Sansthan
Lok Prerna Kendra
Maharshi Menhi Kalyan Kendra
Nav Bharat Jagriti Kendra
NEEDS
Pragati Luyabih
Rashtriya Vikash Evam Samaj Kalyan Parishad
(Ravi Skalp)
Sahyogini
Samajik Parivartan Sansthan
Samdarshi Vikash Samiti
Santhal Pargana Gram Rachna Sansthan
SETU
Sirjan Foundation
South Vihar Tribals Societies
Youth Unity for Voluntary Action (YUVA)

## KARNATAKA

NKRDS
Akshara Foundation
ASS PU College
Belgaum Integrated Rural Development Society,
Gokak
BVV Rural Development Foundation
NSS Unit Govt. Arts College
NSS Unit Govt. First Grade College
Guree
Initiatives for Development Foundation (IDF)
Janahita Society
Malenadu Education and Rural Development Society
Manipal Academy of Higher Education
Parivarthana
People Organisation for Waste Land and Environment Regeneration (POWER)
Sadhana
Sarvodaya Integrated Rural Development and
Technical Education Society
University of Mysore
Valored
Vijaya Chandra First Grade College, Virajpet

## KERALA

Sarani
Kudumbasree

## MADHYA PRADESH

Ambedkar Vichar Manch, Harda
Astha Mahila Samiti Bamangavan, Satna
Bharat Mata Education Society, Shahdol
Bhimrao Yuva Jagarukta Vikas Samiti, Raisen
Bundelkhand Mahila Janvikas Seva Samaj Kalyan
Seva Samiti, Tikamgarh
Chandra Vijay Mahavidyalaya, Dindori
Disha Foundation, Khandwa
Garima Gayatri Sanaj Kalyan Sanstha, Guna
Haskshep Welfare Society, Panna
ISECT Computer Center, Sagar

Jagruk Mahila Samiti, Gun
Jan Shikshan Sansthan, Umaria
Kisan Khadigram Udyog Sanstha, Morena
M.P Samajik Sewa Sansthan, Indore

Ma Raj Rajeswari Lok Kalyan Sewa Samiti ,
Ratlam
Mahashri Wakmik Swayansevi Sansthan, Zabuwa
Mahatma Jyotiba Phule
Mahila Sawshikti Sangha, Betul
Mother N.G.O
Muktibodh Samajsevi Sanstha, Sheopur
Nehru Yuva Kendra
Nirmala Devi Balkalyan Samiti, Khargoan
Prerna Social Welfare Society, Damoh
Public Madhmik Vidyalaya Sawan, Nimach
Rangabhiyan Sanstha, Jabalpur
Rani Durgavati Education Society, Balaghat
Samartha Mahila Utthan Samiti, Hoshangabad Sambhav Samajsevi Sanstha, Gwalior
Samprashan Sarswati Shish Akadmi, Katni
Seva Bharti Sanstha, Bhind
Shrujan Prabhat Samajik Vikas Sansthan, Chhatarpur
Siddhant Education Survey Work, Bhopal
Swaraj Gramotshan Jan Shikshan Sansthan,
Padkhuri
Vasudha Vikas Sansthan, Dhar

## MAHARASHTRA

Adarsh Gramin Mahila Vikas \& Sanshodhan
Mandal
Adivasi Dynapit, Navapur
Adivasi Ekta Parishad, Dhadgaon
Adivasi Satpuda Shishan Prasarak Mandal,
Shahada
Ahilaybai Jankalyan Prashikshan, Pathardi
Akatmik Balvikash Prakalp, Aheri
Anand Bhuvan Hotel
Ankur Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Amravati
Ankur Yuva Pratishthan, Kandhar
Annapurna Sanstha, Pachakhedi
Anurag Addhyapak Vidyalaya, Warthi
Arambh Multipurpose Organisation, Solapur
Aravind Deshamukh Mahavidyalaya, Bharsing
Aundha Nangnath College, Aundha, Nangnath
Aurnadevi Desai Addhyapak Vidyalaya, Akluj
Bajaj Alliance Life Insurance Corporation
Banda Junior College of Arts and Science
Basaweshawar Samaji Sanstha, Parbhani
Beleshawar Samaji Sanstha, Purna
Bharati College, Arni
Bidakar Mahavidyalaya, Hinganghat
Chawa Sangathan, Akola
D.Ed. College, Rukadi
D.P. Bhosale College, Koregoan

Dandekar College, Palghar
Dewanik Addhyapak Vidyalaya, Tekadi
Dhan Saraswati Samaji Sanstha, Parbhani
Dhansagar Sanstha, Shegaon
Dhyanjyoti Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Jalna
Dhyas Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Sangali
Dr. Ambedkar Shetty Vikas Sanshodhan, Sangola
Dyanganga Samajik Shaikshnik Sanstha,
Babalgaon
Ekta Tarun Mitra Mandal
Gauri Construction
Gramin Vikas Kendra, Jamkhed
Gramin Vikas Samaji Sanstha, Degloor
Gramin Vikas Sanstha, Chalburga, Udgir
H.M. Sinha College, Patur

Hello Medical Foundation, Andur
INTERVIDA Organisation, Pune
J. M. Patel College, Bhandara
J. M. Patel College, Goregaon

Jagruti Bahudeshiya Sanstha, Mul
Janeev Sangahtan, Pune
Jankalyan Sanstha, Udagir
Janprabodhan Gramvikas Sanstha, Karjat Janseva Pratishthan
Jay Bhavani Samaji Sanstha, Manwat
Jay Jagdamba Addhyapak Vidyalaya, Vairag, Barshi
Jeewhla Sanstha, Kolhapur
Jivan Vikas Samaji Sanstha, Loha
Karamvir Mahavidyalaya, Mul
Kolhapur Pragati Shikshan Mandal, Kolhapur
Krantijot Samajik Sanstha, Kerur
Kubharkar College, Wardha
Lakshmi Shopping Center
Lanja Taluka Sabhapati

Lokmat Yuva Manch, Goregaon
Lokhitvadi Bahuddeshiya Sevabhavi
Sanstha, Kalamb
Lokmanya Tilak College, Wani
Loknirman Samajik Sanstha, Malegon
Lokseva Janvikas Samaji Sanstha, Mukhed
M.S.W. College, Taloda

Mahalaxmi Bahuddeshiya Vidyavikas
Sanstha, Solapur
Maharashtra Mahavidyalaya, Nilanga
Mahatma Phule Addhyapak Vidyalaya,
Jalkot
Mahatma Phule Addhaypak Vidyalaya,
Satara
Mahatma Phule M.S.W College, Buldhana
Mahila Addhyapak Vidyalaya, Bhandara
Mahila Arthik Vikas Mahamandal (MAVIM),
Buldhana
Mahila Arthik Vikas Mahamandal (MAVIM),
Hingoli
Mahila Arthik Vikas Mahamandal (MAVIM)
Kolhapur
Mahila Arthik Vikas Mahamandal (MAVIM),
Solapur
Mahila Arthik Vikas Mahamandal (MAVIM),
Wardha
Manav Vikas Sanstha, Sidako
Manvi Hakka Abhiyan, Naldurg
Mavad Gramin Vikas Sanstha
Mr. M.M. Baraskar
Mr. Radha Kishan Jawar
Mr. Ravi Khobragde
Mr. Santosh Bhede
Muknayak Sanstha, Mira
NSS Units of colleges in Wardha
Nabira Mahavidyalaya, Katol
Navjeevan Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Shrigonda
Navjivan Jyoti Sanstha, Buldhana
Neha Magas Wargia Sevabhavi Sanstha,
Naygaon
Padvidharmahasang, Bhandara
Pancahyat Samiti Mangaon Membe
Pasayadan Samajik Seva Sanstha, Dapoli
Pitre Sapre College
Pragati Krushi Gramin Vikas Sanstha, Jat
Prahar Samajik Sanstha, Goregaon
Prahar Yuva Sangathan, Amravati
Prakash Shikshan Prasarak Mandal,
Tasgaon
Pratham Shikshan Mandal, Mulashi
Prayas Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Aurangabad
Prerna Swayamrojgar Sanstha
Rachnatmak Sangarsha Samiti, Makani
Rajapur Taluka Aamdaar
Rajarambapu Dyanprabodhani, Islampur
Rajashri Chh. Shahu Jan Pratishthan,
Charan
Ramdas Athavale Addhyapak Vidyalaya,
Bhogaon, Solapur
Rashtriya Seva Yojana, Thane
Ratnagiri District Adhyaksha
Ratnagiri Taluka Sabhapati
Renuka Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Mahur
RSS Branch, Akot
Sahara Samajik Vikas Sanstha, Kalamb
Samarth Mahavidyalaya, Asthi
Samata Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Amravati
Sankalp Bahuddeshiya Prakalp, Ralegaon
(Ballarpur)
Sankalp Gramin Vikas Sanstha, Nanded
Sanket Multipurpose Society, Akola
Sant Gadagebaba College, Murtijapur
Sath Samajik Sanstha, Hingoli
Satyashodak Shaikshnik \& Samajik
Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Solapur
Savitribai Phule Shaikshnik \& Samajik
Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Akkalkot
Savitribai Phule Samruti Pratishthan, Karad
Shahid Bhagatsingh Yuvak Mandal,
Mahagaon
Sham Vikas Samaji Sanstha, Ardharpur
Shankarrao Bijalwar Mahavidyalaya, Aheri
Shankarrao Mohite Patil College,

## Rahimatpur

Shivaji College, Akola
Shivaji College, Hingol
Shivshakti, Babulgaon
Shramik Sanstha, Medha
Siddhi Sanstha, Sangmeshwar

Social Centre, Ahmednagar
Suprabhat Mahila Mandal, Pune
Suvidha Foundation, Washim
Suvidha Swayamrozgaar Sanstha, Pune
Swaphani, Kerwadi
Takshila Samajik Utkarsh Manda
Tal Kankavali, Aamadaar
Tejomay Samajik Vikas Kendra
Toshaniwal College, Shengaon
Tuljabhavani Bahuddeshiya Samajsevi Sanstha,
Vetalvadi, Madha
Ushai Bahuddeshiya Sanstha, Akot
Vanchin Sevabhavi Sanstha, Nanded
Vartak College, Wasai
Vidya Vikash Vidyalaya, Samudrapur
Vidyavikas Shikshan Sanstha, Solapur
VOICE Organisation, Satara
Yahwantrao Chauhan Institute of Social
Sciences, Jakatwadi Satara
Yashwant Mahavidyalaya, Wardha
Zilla Prashikshan Sanstha, Solapur

## MANIPUR

Mr. John Basho Pau
Mr. Vunga Tonsing
NSS Unit, Imphal Cell/ Jiri College
NSS Unit, New Public Hr. Sec. Schoo
NSS Unit, Pettigrew College, Ukhrul
NSS Unit, Recent Higher Sec. Schoo
NSS Unit, Tamenglong Hr. Sec. School
Tengkonjang Hr. Sec. School, Noney
NSS Unit, UNITED College
People's Resource Development Association

## MEGHALAYA

GRASSROOT
North Eastern Hill University - Tura campus

## NAGALAND

Eastern Naga Students Federation
Family Planning Association of India Hills Club
Lotha Students Union
Naga Students Union
Nagaland University- Lumani Campus
Nanglang Society
Peoples Agency for Development
Urika Life Foundation
Walo Organization
Western Sumi Students Union

## ORISSA

AID Orissa, Gajapati
Aska Science College, Ganjam
Bargarh Panchyat College, Bargarh
Bhabani Patna Autonomus College
Bhabanipatna
Chanda Handi College, Nabarangpur
Cuttack College
Debagada Govt. College
Dhamana College, Bhadruk
G.M. College, Sambalpur

Gandhardi College, Baruda
Govt. College, Phulbani
Harisankar College, Balangir
Harishpur Baladev College, Jagatsingpur Jawaharlal College, Balangir
Jiral College, Jiral
Khaira College, Khaira
Khambeswar Pali G.P. College, Sonapur
Komana College, Nuparha
Korua College, Kendrapara
M.P.C College, Mayurbhanj

Mahima College, Jharsuguda
Malkangiri College, Malkangiri
Mangala College, Kakatapur
Nayagarh Autonomus College, Nayagarh
Paramanda College, Khorda
Rayagada college, Rayagada
Revansa (A) College, Cuttack
S.M.R. College, Kendujhar

Simili Guda College, Korapu
Sundargarh Govt. College
Upendra Nath Sarada Mahavidyala, Jajpur Yuvashakti Club, Angul

## PONDICHERRY

Animation Development Employment
Communication Network (ADECOM)

## PUNJAB

D.M. College of Education

District Youth Services Department
Ekta Club
Govt. College, Mohali
Guru Gobind Singh Sports Club
Kheti Virasat Mission
Mata Gujari College
PAHAL
Param Vaibav
Punjab Sehat Sewa Organization
Punjabi Eco Friendly Association
Red Cross Society
Sahara Jan Sewa
Society for Education Awareness in Backward
Area
Young Citizen Council

## RAJASTHAN

Association of Sarva Seva Forms
Bagar College
Center for Community Economics \& Development
Consultants Society (CECOEDECON)
Consumer Unity and Trust Society (CUTS)
Dr. Keshavram Baliram Hedgewar T.T. College
Grameen Envam Samaj Vikas Sansthan
Gravis
Haribhau Upadhyay T.T. College
Humana People to People India
Institute of Rural Management
Jain Vishva Bharati Institute, Ladnun
Jan Chetna Sansthan
Kanchan Devi T.T. College
Lakshmi Usha Niwas Mittal Foundation (LUNMF)
Lok Kalyan Sansthan (Gravis Partner)
Lupin Human Welfare Research Founation
Mahant Shri Raghunandan Das T.T. College
Maharana Pratap T.T. College
Nehru Yuva Kendra
Parivartansheel Sansthan
Prasasvi B. Ed. College
Saraswati Mahila T.T. College
Society for Sustainable Development
SPU (P.G. College), Phalna
Sushil Kumar Biyani SD College
Swami Vivekananda T.T. College
Tyagi B.Ed College
Udaipur School of Social Work
Urmool
Vardman T.T. B.Ed College
World Vision

## TAMILNADU

Angel Women and Children Development
Society (AWCDS)
Annai Kashuribai Mahalir Mandram (AKMM)
Arulananthar College
Best of Depressed
Dr. G.R. Damodaran College
Govt. Arts College
Grassroots
Holy Cross College
KALAM
King Trust
Koodu
Manonmaniam Sundaranar (M.S.) University
Mr. Siddhartha Pall
Nethers Economic Educational Development and
Society (NEEDS)
Pasam Trust
Pasumai Trust
Sakthi Trust
Social Awareness and Female Education
Development Society (SAFE)
Tamilnadu Green Movement
Vidyarambam
Zenith Trust

## TRIPURA

Tripura Adivasi Mahila Sangathan

## UTTAR PRADESH

S.K.D. Inter College Morana, Muzaffarnagar

Academy of Integrated Management \&
Research, Pratapgarh
Akhand Jyoti Samajik Swaichhik Sansthan,
Badaun
Amarnath Yadav, Sultanpur
Anchal Vikas Sewa Sansthan, Bahraich
Anuragini, Orai

Asha Gramothan Sansthan, Orai (Jalaun)
Avadh Seva Sansthan, Lucknow
Avriti Welfare Society, Moradabad
Disha, Basti
ERADA Foundation, Lucknow
G.B. Pant Social Science Institute, Allahabad

Galaxy Development Society, Rampur
Grameen Arthik Avam Krishi Vikas Sansthan, Lucknow
Grameen Mahila Vikas Evam Samaj Seva
Sansthan, Bhadohi
Indian Society of Rural and Urban
Development \& Research, Mahoba
Ishtikar Ahmad, Bareilly
Jahan Vikas Gramodhyog Sewa Sansthan, Gorakhpur
Jan Shikshan Kendra
Janata Seva Samiti, Basti
Kanak Jan Kalyan Samiti, Lalitpur
Manav Seva Kendra, Varanasi
National Social Scheme
Niranjan Singh, Firozabad
Pamco, Hathras
Param Lal Sewa Samiti, Hamirpur
Parivartan Samiti, Etawah
Prabodhini, Banda
Mr. Rahul Singh
Sah Bhawna Grameen Vikas Sansthan Sai Gamodyog Sansthan, Pratapgarh
Samiksha Trust, Ghaziabad
Sanchit Vikas Sansthan, Basti
Sarvahitkari Siksha Prasar Samiti, Mathura
Sarvangin Gramin Vikas Evam Prashikshan
Samiti, Mohammadapur , Ghazipur
Shah Bal Kalyan Evam Mahila Kalyan Samiti, Mirzapur
Shashwat Sahbhagi Sansthan, Sitapur
Shia Welfare Society, Bijnaur
Shiv Pujan Shukla Smarak Samiti, Allahabad
Social Welfare Organization, Bulandshahar
Society For Participatory Integrated
Development (SPID)
Society for People's Integrated Development,
Kone Robertsganj
Suman Samiti
Swabhiman Samiti, Maharajganj
Vision Of Intellectual \& Constructive
Entrepreneurship (VOICE), Lucknow
World Education and Health Organization

## UTTARAKHAND

Amrit Kunj Bhairav Samiti
Badridutt Pandey P.G. College, Bageshwar
Degree College, Barkot
Govt. Degree College, Karn Prayag
Govt. P.G. College, Pithoragarh
Himadri Jan Kalyan Sansthan
Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkie
Institute for Educational Leadership
Shristi Jan Kalyan Samiti
Swami Vivekanand Degree College,
Champawat
The Social Group of Satya
Vasudhev Kutumbukam (VK)

## WEST BENGAL

Gour College
Balurghat College
Bankura Christian College
Birati College
Burdwan University
Calcutta University
Centre for Servies in Rural Areas (CSRA)
Evening B.T. College
Kalyani University
Moynagari College
Pachthupi Jaimata Sporting Club
Pankhatuly Bhumika
Raiganj College
Songs of Unity and Liberty (SOUL)
St. Joseph College, North Point, Loreto College,
Inner Wheel Organisation
.
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## This is ASER

Rukmini Banerji

Sitting in the courtyard of her house in a village in Uttar Pradesh, Sangeeta was concentrating. First she listened to the problem ..... "Suppose your mother gave you fifty rupees and asked you to buy something from the market for fifteen rupees. How much money would you have left? . Sangeeta thought for a while. After a few minutes, very shyly she asked. "Can I make lines?" We gave her paper and pencil. Painstakingly, she began to make marks on the paper. We could hear her counting softly to herself as she drew the lines "......12, 13, 14, 15, 16,........

Meanwhile, the day carried on around us. Sangeeta's mother was busy feeding the buffaloes that were tied to a post in the courtyard. She talked to us about her children and their school, as she went about her work. Sangeeta's grandfather was getting impatient. He wanted the child to hurry up. Neighbours were curious so they came to chat as well. Soon they brought their children and wanted us to see if their children could read and do arithmetic. Other children who had been playing with an old tyre under a big tree came running to see what was going on.

This is a common scene during ASER. The very act of asking children to read or to do arithmetic generates a lot of interest. People are inquisitive and intrigued by what is going on. Some people take the reading tools, try to read it themselves and get their children to read. Discussions and debates begin in courtyards and lanes and even in the middle of fields - discussions about children's ability to read, their learning levels, about their teachers and about schooling and learning. The data from each village is aggregated at the district, the data is entered at the state level and the report is published first at the national level. However, immediately, on the day that ASER is done in a village there is an impact. Whether the adults are literate or not, the assessment activity itself leads to curiosity and questions. Often parents do not know what their children can or cannot do. Sometimes children surprise themselves as well. Something new is added right then and there. And strategies and solutions begin to emerge. This is ASER ${ }^{1}$.

Sangeeta has finished drawing 50 lines. The short vertical lines snake across the breadth of the page like a broad ribbon. She is now counting the lines. Patiently, she crosses off 15 lines and starts counting the remainder. Sangeeta's grandfather has wandered off.. Her mother has finished feeding the buffaloes and has started sweeping the courtyard. Many of the neighbours children have tried to read the simple paragraphs. But Sangeeta does not give up. She has finally finished counting. Now she looks up, still shy and says "35".

We see this over and over again, child after child, in village after village. When children are given a task, they do it very intently, with full attention. Whether a child can read or not, whether she or he can do subtraction problems or not, I never cease to being impressed by children. One on one, children carefully listen to what is expected of them and then do their best to meet these expectations. It is hard to remain unmoved by the intensity of children's desire to do well and by the seriousness of their effort. For me, this remains the enduring memory of ASER.

It is getting late. The ASER instructions say that the team has to visit 20 randomly picked households in the village. We have completed 18, another two to go. The young people who are with me are very diligent. Moving according to instructions, they pick the fifth house and start the process of talking to parents and children. Until the job is completely done, they will not leave the village.

When we started in 2005, there were many questions. How could all districts in the country be reached? How would local groups be found; groups that were interested first in understanding and then strengthening elementary education in their villages? Could people be trusted to do a reliable job? How to convince people that as citizens we need to engage, to participate and to work together if we want change?
${ }^{1}$ The word "ASER" in Hindi means impact.

Since the early days of ASER, we have learned many things. We have learned that there are people all over the country who come forward to take up new challenges. By and large, people can be trusted. Overwhelmingly, like the children in ASER, the adults too do a serious and diligent job. Much of the energy that fuels ASER comes from the young people of India. High school students in the densely forested mountains of Arunachal or teacher trainees in coastal Andhra, staff of the animal husbandry department in Ladakh, milk cooperatives in Gujarat, self help groups in Karnataka, rural women's BPOs in Rajasthan ..... people coming together, to explore and understand, to learn and to help in creating better opportunities for children.

The ASER report is published once a year. Discussions about the findings continue for months. Debates over data lead to more deliberations. Some of this thinking and talking leads to changes in plans, provisions, policies and practice from Delhi to Sangeeta's village in UP. But at its very core, the spirit of ASER revolves around individuals who come together once a year to make the map of India come alive with tremendous energy and immense possibility. This is ASER.


## Where do we want to be? By when?

This third ASER indicates major progress over last two years in enrollment and provision of schools, teachers, toilets, and water supply in most states. The jump observed in mid-day meals provision is truly remarkable. What we estimate is not a small achievement by any measure. Needs assessment, plans, and systematic work backed up with continuous, steady, and timely supply of funds up to the village level through the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) systems is an important factor that should be underscored. The increase in aanganwadi ICDS coverage, especially in the North, is also impressive.

The message is clear. Focus works. Goal setting works. Planning works. Continuity works. Where there is no focus, no goal setting, no planning for improvement, and where there is no continuity, progress is sketchy at best.

People want better education for children. There is already enough evidence of this. However, when we asked if children go to paid tuition classes the response surprised us. In West Bengal, Orissa, Kerala, and Bihar four very different states the proportion of children going for tuitions is the highest. The proportion of government school children going for tuitions is the highest (30-60\%) in these states. Himachal, Chattisgarh, UP, MP are among the ones where tuition-going children are the fewest. Tuitions cannot be blamed on a poor school system alone. The proportion of private school children who go for tuitions is alsmost double that of their government school friends. All we can say is that people will try every way to get a better education for their children. There is no evidence that tuitions really make a difference.

There is a need to plan for quality education. One major flaw in SSA is that plans are made from year to year. This may be fine for civil works and recruitment of teachers but not for overall improvement in quality of education which requires continuous focused work involving creative human involvement in every class. Considering that money supply is assured and even the new center-state sharing ratio is now agreed upon, the union and the state governments should prepare a three year plan to improve learning outcomes with clear goals, strategies, timelines, periodic measurement of performance, and expenditure. This is hardly difficult to do and it is critical if we want to improve quality.

We must decide what we want to achieve, by when, and go after it. Here are some clear agenda items.

## Starting early and one KG class in school is a must:

The ASER measurement happens in the middle of the academic year. By this time in 2006 38.2\% children in class I could not even identify alphabets. One year later, in the new cohort, nearly 6.3 percentage points more children in class I nationwide can read alphabets or text. This change is visible in most states. It is noteworthy that the proportion of the children who cannot even identify alphabets is almost exactly the same as children who cannot even identify numbers 1-9. Given the vastly increased ICDS network, it should be possible to take up a summer "school preparation" exercise for children entering class I and class II to impact early literacy and numeracy. Such summer voluntary campaigns taken up in Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, and Bihar have borne excellent results.

The "school preparatory class" is an interim measure. In reality, there is another factor that is growing. The proportion of 5 year olds entering schools has risen by about 15 percentage points over the last year and now $62 \% 5$ year olds are enrolled in schools. These children are too young to be in school. They tend to dropout and re-enroll thus contributing to the dropout rate.

It is time we created a national policy to have KG classes government schools for 5 year olds so that the children get systematic early childhood education. They need a good start before joining class I.

Learning reading, writing, arithmetic:
Over the last two years the Government of India, the Minister for HRD, and the Prime Minister himself have communicated to the states that learning of basic skills have to be improved. Several states have responded well and some improvement in the area of reading is visible. However, as a nation we still have to go into a mission mode to improve learning in our schools.

The all India charts below show my "expectation" of what proportion of children should be able to read class I or class II in comparison with the situation in 2006 and 2007.


The ASER2007 report has decoratively used some pages of class I and class II textbooks from different states. Since we supply these textbooks, it is reasonable to expect children to be able to read at these levels in these classes. The Indiawide situation shows that in the middle of the class III academic year, only $50 \%$ children can read a class I text. In the middle of class IV academic year only $40 \%$ children can read a class II text. While the proportion of children who can read class I text reaches $80 \%$ by the middle of class V , it takes the middle of class VII for $80 \%$ children to be able to read a class II text.

ASER2006 estimated a big jump over 2005 in the proportion of children who could read class I and class II text. There was some disbelief and some skepticism about the reported increase. Between 2006 and 2007, some more states have shown a similar jump. Andhra Pradesh and Himachal, one starting with a low baseline and the other starting at a higher have both shown that rapid progress is possible. A look at the Madhya Pradesh chart shows a big jump between 2005 and 2006 and a small increase in 2007.


The first lesson from the above graphs is that big jumps in children's ability to read are possible within one year or less. The second lesson comes from the Madhya Pradesh chart. While the state made a big jump between 2005 and 06, the next year did not see an energetic follow up to further improve upon the situation thanks to some discontinuity. What Andhra Pradesh and Himachal have achieved this year has to be built upon further.

In case of Arithmetic, similar improvements are possible. The states have not begun to focus on arithmetic as yet and the lack of improvement is visible in ASER2007.

## Universal upper primary education :

The fulfillment of the fundamental right to education demands that all children complete eight years of education by the time they are fourteen. The problem of provision of upper primary education has to be solved urgently in many states.

This report carries charts showing class-wise distribution of children in the sample. A quick look at these charts in the report for each state is enough to say if the state is more like Himachal, or Bihar, or in between.

School children: Class-wise distribution in ASER 2007 sample


Ideally, if all children who enter at Std I transition to the next class year after year, we should see a more or less horizontal line of proportion of children against the class as seen in the Himachal chart. Bihar is the exact opposite. However, since over $90 \%$ children in Bihar are now enrolled in schools, the chart indicates that large proportions of children are enrolled in much lower classes not appropriate for their age. At class I, II, and III, these children constitute nearly $25 \%$ of the class. While near $100 \%$ children in Himachal will complete at least eight years of education by the time they are 14, Bihar has a long way to go if we follow the run of the mill linear path, which is not useful in what is clearly a crisis situation. Special measures to allow older children to learn basic skills rapidly and leapfrog into the more age-appropriate classes may be a practical solution.
The India chart indicates that universal retention up to Std V is within reach. With some purposeful, innovative steps in addition to constructing schools and appointing teachers, there is no reason why we should not be able to achieve true UEE in less than a decade.

There is much to be done but we do recognize that much has been done, which is not appreciated by people outside and often also by those inside the government. ASER is a citizen's initiative and it does not fit into the "watch dog" stereotype because this report is not about watching. It is about observing, attempting to change, measuring to see if change has occurred, and go on trying.
Exactly one year ago, at the function to release ASER2006, young people from every state of India took a pledge in their state language to ensure that all children in their state would learn to read, write, and do basic arithmetic by the end of 2009. The Read India campaign was born. It has begun to take shape since July 2007 and reached over 250,000 schools and villages mostly in collaboration with state governments but in some cases without.

ASER and Read India are two aspects of the same effort. With planned, focused, continuous government action in collaboration, there is no reason why we should not succeed before the end of the decade.

# The More Things Change, The More They Don't Necessarily Remain The Same 

> "Basic education links the children, whether of cities or the villages, to all that is best and lasting in India."
> - Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi
> "Education is the most powerful weapon which you can use to change the world." - Nelson Mandela

The "half naked fakir" that Churchill referred to so contemptuously had an uncanny knack of getting things exactly right. Nearly a century ago, and long before most of the experts, Mahatma Gandhi understood the value of basic education as something that had the potential to unite us all, linking us to the best in our nation and our history. Many years later we are yet to redeem our pledge to our children completely, but it seems that there may well be reason to hope after all.

As in 2005 and 2006, this year's ASER exercise throws up interesting stories, each one telling us a little more about our school system and the children, parents and teachers who are part of it. To begin with, enrolments continue to increase, with the figures of out of school children in the 6-14 year age group down to 4.2 percent of the total number of children. This is good news and accords well with figures that the Ministry of HRD has recently shared with the six-monthly review mission, which indicate that the number of out of school children continues to stagnate at around 75 lakh. Clearly, programmes like Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) taken up in the last few years have had a positive impact on school enrolments, as well they might, with 98 percent of the rural population now having access to a primary school within 1 kilometre. With drop out rates at the primary stage declining to about 10 percent as reported by the $6^{\text {th }}$ SSA Review Mission ${ }^{2}$, this implies that a significant majority of children entering school are likely to complete at least this stage of education.
A more interesting aspect of this increase is that it no longer appears confined only to the elementary school age groups; enrolments at earlier stages have also gone up, with nearly 79 percent children in the age group of 3-4 years being in pre-school classes or anganwadis, as compared to only 68 percent of children surveyed last year. As many as 93 percent of five year olds surveyed in 2007 were either in balwadis, anganwadis or schools, up from 85 percent last year, which augurs well for both enrolment and retention at the next stage.

As one looks at the other end of the scale however, things are not quite so encouraging. 19.4 percent of children between the ages of 15-16 years are out of school, even though this is down from 21.2 percent last year. Not surprisingly, the figure for out of school girls is higher than for boys, a trend that remains consistent at all levels of the school system, although the improvement in girls' enrolment is better than that of boys.

Enrolments in private schools would also seem to be steady, hovering around the 19-20 percent mark. Although ASER does not make this distinction, it should be pointed out that many private schools continue to remain outside the scope of the so-called "recognised" system. Several writers have pointed to the lack of reliable and accurate data about the numbers of such schools, particularly in urban areas. Both the District Information System of Education (DISE) and NCERT's All India Educational Survey (AIES) restrict themselves to collecting information about recognised schools, which means that data about a large number of private unrecognised schools is omitted ${ }^{3}$. Children reported to be in private schools here would no doubt fall in both categories, recognised and unrecognised, yet there may not be much to choose from when it comes to looking at learning achievements.

[^0]On the provisioning front there are clear improvements with improved pupil-teacher ratios in visited schools, better availability of functioning toilets and drinking water facilities and a vastly improved availability of midday meals, although it would probably be worthwhile to probe the rather sharp decline in the receipt of school and teacher grants reported this year. All of these are no doubt factors that contribute to the increased enrolments that have been observed and the challenge now will be to continue with these improvements as well as to make sure that they have an effect on keeping children in school.

Sadly enough, learning levels remain a cause for concern. Nearly 40 percent children at class 5 level cannot read a class 2 text, while around 60 percent at that level are unable to carry out simple divisions. What should be more worrying though, is the fact that in class 2 , only 9 percent children can read the text appropriate to them, and 60 percent cannot even recognise numbers between 10 and 99. This would seem to be consistent with NCERT's own learning achievement surveys that indicate a mean achievement level of 58.5 percent in language and 46.5 percent in Maths at the class 5 level. So although more children are going to school today than ever before, it is not necessary that they are learning very much. And the difference in these levels between government and private schools continue to remain more or less as reported in ASER 2006, with children in the latter being better by about 10-12 percent as compared to their counterparts in government schools.

But the most interesting stories coming out of ASER 2007 are the two that relate to private coaching and familiarity with the English language. At every level in the school system, be it government or private, additional paid coaching appears to be the norm, rising from 12 and 19 percent at the class 1 stage respectively to about a quarter by class 8 . While it may be facile to assume a causal relationship between the two, one wonders if this might have something to do with the apparent increase in teacher absence that the report also seems to notice. With one in four teachers absent from school and only one half teaching, as researchers discovered during a nationally representative study of government primary schools, parents and children may all too often be left with no choice but to consider additional coaching ${ }^{4}$.

Many would recall Prof Amartya Sen's Pratichi Trust study of 2002 which found that of the class 3 and 4 children of government schools observed in West Bengal, nearly half were enrolled in private tuition classes. The conclusion drawn then had been that the relatively higher cost of private education was offset by a reduced dependence on private tuitions, since it was largely children from the government schools who were enrolled in coaching classes. In the present instance though, the percentage of children in private schools who are also enrolled in additional paid classes is higher (except in class 8), and one possible result may be the relatively better learning performance of these children that the report brings out. Either way, the fact that additional coaching exists at such high levels should be a warning flag that calls for greater investigation.

The demand for teaching English is one that has been articulated several times. Activists like Kancha Ilaiah hold that the school education system in India is squarely divided into two structures in terms of the medium of instruction, the regional language system and the English language system, and that in terms of the population, the former is meant for backward caste children, while the latter is reserved for the rich who constitute by and large the upper castes ${ }^{5}$. Indeed, he goes so far as to suggest that teaching in English would constitute a precondition for gradually reducing the existing system of reservations in educational institutions for the backward castes. Be that as it may, ASER 2007 seems to show that nearly two-third children in class 8 could read easy sentences in English, and

[^1]that 86 percent of these children could comprehend their meaning. The percentage of those who can read simple sentences starts increasing from class 5 onwards, reflecting no doubt the fact that many State governments choose to introduce the language at a later stage. Given that we are considering the situation in rural schools though, the relatively encouraging numbers of children who can comprehend English has implications for those planning vocational and secondary education initiatives, as well as potentially for the job market.

ASER 2007 reaffirms what many have been saying for the last few years-while we have had reasonable success in dealing with the provision of basic infrastructure, we need to take urgent action to improve quality in our schools. Although the prime role will always remain that of the government, given the vibrant and active civil society network that exists in India as well as the increasing realisation in the private sector of the need to contribute to social development, there is perhaps a case for more tripartite partnerships between government, NGOs and the private sector, particularly in helping to improve the quality of school education. Models of such partnerships already exist, and some of the more successful ones may well be usefully duplicated. If they should help in some small way to make a difference, perhaps then we would all truly be part of an abhiyan, one that changes the future of our nation and of our children.


## About the survey



## Choosing Villages: Sampling Strategy ${ }^{\text {² }}$

Dr. Wilima Wadhwa
The purpose of the ASER 2007's rapid assessment survey in rural areas is twofold: (i) to get reliable estimates of the status of children's schooling and basic learning (reading and arithmetic level) at the district level; and (ii) to measure the change in these basic learning and school statistics from last year. Every year a core set of questions regarding schooling status and basic learning levels remains the same. However a set of new questions are added for exploring different dimensions of schooling and learning in the elementary stage.

One government primary school in each sampled villages was visited during ASER 2005. This was not done in ASER 2006 but was done again in ASER 2007. This year's ASER survey links whether the sampled child is enrolled in the school that is visited. This will be able to better establish the links between schools and learning. Unlike, ASER 2006, this year mothers were not tested however, their education level was recorded.

The sampling strategy used will help to generate a representative picture of each district. The aim is to survey all rural districts. The estimates obtained will then be aggregated (using appropriate weights) to the state and all-India levels. Like last year, the sample size is 600 households per district. The sample is obtained by selecting 30 villages per district and 20 households per village.

The villages were randomly selected using the village directory of the 2001 Census. The sampling was done using the PPS (Probability Proportional to Size Sampling) technique. The PPS is a widely used standard sampling technique and is the appropriate technique to use when the sampling units are of different sizes. In our case, the sampling units are the villages. This method allows villages with larger populations to have a higher chance of being selected in the sample.

In ASER 2006, we retained the 20 villages from 2005 and added 10 new villages. In ASER 2007 we randomly dropped 10 villages from ASER 2005, kept the 10 villages from 2006 and added 10 more villages from the census village directory. The 10 new villages were also chosen using PPS. The 20 old villages and the 10 new villages gives us a "panel" of villages, which generates more precise estimates of changes. Since, one of the objectives of ASER 2007 is to measure the change in learning since 2005 and 2006, creating a panel is a more appropriate sampling strategy. Each district will receive a village list with appropriate block information along with the data from the 2001 Census on total number of households and total population. The village list will also specify which villages are from 2005, from 2006 and which are new villages.

Like ASER 2005 and 2006, the village list is final and cannot be replaced. This is to maintain randomness of the sample to obtain reliable estimates.

The ASER 2007 team has consulted with national level sampling experts including those at NSSO and ISI.

## What to do in the village?

Instructions given to volunteers

## HOW TO MAKE A MAP

A list of 30 villages with block names for each district will be provided to each district team. It is VERY IMPORTANT that each and every village on the list is visited and 20 randomly selected households per village are surveyed.
This note outlines basic instructions of what to do in a village. Surveyors need to follow these instructions in the field strictly.
Contact Sarpanch: Introduce yourself to the Sarpanch or to other senior members of the Panchayat. Tell them about ASER. Get the approximate number of households in the village from the Sarpanch. Often the number of households can be used to figure out if you are in the correct village.
To start MAKING A MAP - walk \& talk :

- To get to know the village, walk around first before you start mapping.
- Talk to people: How many different hamlets/sections are there in the village? Where are they located? What is the social composition of the households in each hamlet/section? What is the estimate of households in each hamlet/section? Tell them about ASER. This initial walking and talking may take more than an hour.
Map:
- Rough map : It is often helpful to first draw all the roads or paths coming into the village and going out of the village. It helps to first draw a map on the ground so that people around you can see what is being done. Use the help of local people to show the main landmarks temples, mosques, river, road, school, bus-stop, panchayat bhavan, shop etc. Mark the main roads/streets/paths through the village prominently on the map. If you can, mark the directions - north, south, east, west.
- Final map : Once everyone agrees that this map is a good representation of the village, and it matches with your experience of having walked around the whole village, then copy it on the sheet provided.
- Marking and numbering sections on the map: Use the map sheet provided.

o Village with hamlets: If the village has hamlets, then mark the hamlets on the map and indicate approximate number of households in each hamlet. If the village consists of more than 4 different hamlets, then make chits with numbers for each hamlet. Randomly pick 4 chits. On the map, indicate which hamlets were randomly picked for surveying. If there are 4 or less hamlets, then we will go to all of these hamlets.

o Village with continuous habitation : If the village is one continuous habitation then divide the entire village in 4 sections. For each section, note the estimated number of households.
- Verify all the information on the map with people in the village as you walk around.


## What to do in the village: How to sample households

- In the entire village, information will be collected for $\mathbf{2 0}$ randomly selected households. (5 households from each hamlet/section).
- Go to each hamlet/section. Try to find the central point in that habitation. Stand facing dwellings in the center of the habitation.
- Conduct the survey with every $5^{\text {th }}$ household rule. In every $5^{\text {th }}$ dwelling (ghar/house):
o Multiple kitchens : Ask how many kitchens or 'chulhas' are there? If there is more than one kitchen, then randomly select any one of the kitchens in that household. After completing survey in this house proceed to next $5^{\text {th }}$ house. (House in this case refers to every 'door or entrance to the house'). In this selected household, ask about all children in the age group 3 to 16 who eat from the same kitchen.
o No children : If there are no children or no children in the age group 3-16 in a household but there are inhabitants, INCLUDE THAT HOUSEHOLD. Take the following information like name of head of the household and total number of members of the household. Such a household WILL COUNT as one of the $\mathbf{5}$ surveyed households in each hamlet/section.
o House closed: If the selected dwelling is closed or if there is nobody at home, note that down on your compilation sheet as "house closed". This household DOES NOT count as a surveyed household. Move to the next/adjacent open house. Continue until you have 5 households in each hamlet/section in which there were inhabitants.
o No response: If a household refuses to participate, note that down on your compilation sheet as "No response". However, as above, this household DOES NOT count as a surveyed household. Move on to the next house. Continue until you have 5 households in each hamlet/ section in which not only were the inhabitants present, but they also participated in the survey.
- Stop after you have completed $\mathbf{5}$ households in each hamlet/section. If you have reached the end of the section before 5 households are sampled, go around again using the same every $5^{\text {th }}$ household rule. If a surveyed household gets selected again then go to the next household. Continue the survey till you have 5 households in the section.
- Now move to the next selected hamlet/section. Follow the same process.
- Make sure that you go to households ONLY when children are likely to be at home. This means that it should be a Sunday or holiday.


## How to sample HHs in a hamlet in a village?



## What to do in each household

IN EACH SAMPLED HOUSEHOLD : We will note information about children who live in the household on a regular basis.

- Children 3 and 4: On the children's sheet, note down child's name, age, whether they are attending anganwadi (ICDS) or any kind of pre-school center. We will not test these children. If the child is not going to any anganwadi/preschool, etc., note it down under the "Not going to Anganwadi" section.
- Children 5 to 16: On the household survey sheet, note down child's name, age and all other details. All children in this age group will be tested in basic reading, simple comprehension, basic math and English. (We know that younger children will not be able to read much or do sums but still follow the same process as for all children so as to keep the process uniform). Ensure that the child is comfortable before and during the test and that sufficient time is given to each child.
- Mothers: In the section of the sheet on mothers, list the information about all mothers living in the household. Note down mother's age, whether this person has attended school or not and up to what class they have studied. If the mother is present in the household then talk to her directly to get information from her. Please ensure that the mother's data is recorded for every child (each row).


## Other things to remember:

Ask members of the household as well as neighbors about who all live in the sampled household on a regular basis. We will take information only about these children.

- Older children: Often older girls and boys (in the age group 11 to 16) may not be thought of as children. Be sensitive to this issue. Avoid saying "children". Probe about who all live in the household to make sure that nobody that is in this age group gets left out. Often older children who cannot read are very shy and hesistant about being tested. Make them feel comfortable and encourage them to allow you to test them.
- Children who are not at home but somewhere in the village: Often children are busy working in the household or in the fields. Ask family members to call them so that you can speak to them directly. If they do not come immediately, mark that household and revisit it once you are done surveying the other households.
- Children out of the village: If there are children in the family but not in the village at present, do not take their details.
- Visiting children : Do not survey or test children who are visiting their relatives or friends in the sampled village.

Many children may come up to you and want to be included out of curiosity. Do not discourage children who want to be tested. You can interact with them. But concentrate on the fact that data must be noted down ONLY for children from households that have been randomly selected.

Be polite. Often a lot of people gather around and want to know what is going on. Explain what you are doing and why. Tell them about ASER. Remember to thank people after you have finished surveying the household.

## What to do in a School?

## Instructions given to volunteers

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

- Visit any government school in the village with classes from Std 1 to $7 / 8$. If there is no such school in the village which has classes from 1 to $7 / 8$, then from the remaining government schools visit the school with the highest enrollment in Std 1 to 4/5. In the top box of the Observation Sheet, tick according to the school type.
- Note the time of entry into the school.
- Meet the Head Master (if the Head Master (HM) is absent, then meet the senior most teacher of the school).
- When at the school, ask the Head Master for the Enrolment register or any official document on the enrolment in that school.
- Also note information on distance from district headquarters and if there is a bus-stop nearby.


## WHAT TO DO

## Section 1-Children's Enrollment \& Attendance

1. ASK for the registers of all the standards and fill in the enrollment. If a standard/class has many sections, then randomly choose any one section.
2. Then MOVE AROUND to the classes/areas where children are seated and take down their attendance class-wise by counting them YOURSELF. You may need to seek help from the teachers to distinguish children class-wise as they are normally found seated in mixed groups. In such a case, ask children from each standard to raise their hands. Count the number of raised hands and accordingly fill the same in the observation sheet, class - wise. Please note that only children who are physically present in the class while you are counting should be included.

## Section 2-Teachers

1. Ask the HM and note down the number of teachers appointed and present as well as the number absent, if applicable. Please note that the number of regular government teachers does not include the Head Master.
2. If the school has para-teachers or teachers appointed by the Panchayat or the Village Education Committee/Parent-Teacher Association, mark that separately.
3. Thereafter note how many of the absent teachers, if any, are absent due to official duty/ training.
4. Also ask each category of teachers (Head Master, regular teachers, para-teachers) whether they reside in the village or a neighbouring village. Count the number of teachers residing in the same visited village/neighbouring villages and write this number in the observation sheet.

## Section 3-School Grant Information

1. For this section, note down information for 2006 and 2007.
2. The Head Master should be asked this section. In the absence of the Head Master, ask another person this section and tick the designation of the person being asked this question. (Head Master/ Regular teacher/ Para teacher)
3. Ask if the school got a grant. If yes, note down the amount and when this grant was received. If the HM says that he/she is going to receive the grant in the future, then mark "no".
4. Thereafter go down the list and ask if money was spent on each item or not for both years. Mark "yes" or "no" accordingly.
5. Ask the person answering this section about the grant very politely. If the person refuses to answer or is hesitant to answer this section, then do not force the person and move on to the next section. The remaining questions of this section should be left BLANK.

## Section 4-Class Room Observations

1. This section is for Std. $\mathbf{2}$ and Std. $\mathbf{4}$ only. If there is more than one section for a class, then randomly choose any one.
2. OBSERVE the seating arrangement of children (are they in mixed groups or sitting class-wise) and the blackboards and fill accordingly.
3. OBSERVE if children have their textbooks, a pen/pencil, a notebook. Ask the children to show these items to make a correct assessment.
4. Ask the teacher if the teacher follows a timetable? If yes, ask the teacher to show it to you. If not, mark "no". Based on the timetable, assess if the subject being taught at the time of the observation matches with the subject mentioned in the timetable.

## Section 5-Teaching Learning Materials Grant

1. This section is for Std. 2 and 4 only. Also information needs to be gathered for 2006 and 2007. If the teacher says that he/she is going to receive the TLM grant in the future, then mark "no".
2. If the teacher got a grant, note the amount and the month in which this grant was received. Note that the grant is given per teacher and the amount noted must be per teacher.
3. Thereafter, go down the list and ask if money was spent on each item or not for both years. Mark "yes" or "no" accordingly.

## Section 6-Infrastructure in School

1. OBSERVE the number of classrooms and if they are being used for children.
2. Similarly OBSERVE if there is a hand pump (see if water is potable), toilet (see if toilet is usable) and a mid-day meal (see if there is any evidence of the same).
3. Ask the teacher if the school has library books. If it does, ask the teacher or the students to show you the library books.

## Section 7 - General Comments and Observations

Write any general comments/ observations that you noted while observing the school.

- Note the time of exit from the school.


## From 2005 to 2007: Evolution of ASER

| ASER 2005 |
| :--- |
| Age group 6-14 |
| Children were asked |
| Enrollment status |
| Type of school |
| Children also did: |
| Reading tasks |
| Arithmetic tasks |
| School visits |
| Sampling: |
| 20 randomly selected |
| villages |


| ASER 2006 |
| :--- |
| Age group 3-16 |
| Children were asked |
| Enrollment status |
| Type of school |
|  |
| Children 5-16 also did: |
| Reading tasks |
| Arithmetic tasks |
| And |
| Comprehension tasks |
| Writing tasks |
|  |
| Mothers were also |
| asked to read a simple |
| text |
| Sampling: |
| 20 ASER 2005 villages |
| Randomly selected 10 |
| new villages |
|  |

## ASER 2006

Age group 3-16
Children were asked Enrollment status Type of school Tuition status

Children 5-16 also did:
Reading tasks
Arithmetic tasks
And
Comprehension tasks Problem solving tasks English tasks

School visits

Sampling:
Randomly selected 10 ASER 2005 villages 10 ASER 2006 villages New 10 ASER 2007 villages


## ASER 2007: Tests and Testing

- All efforts are made to ensure that ASER 2007 tools are consistent with and comparable to ASER 2006 tools.
- A common framework is followed across all states in developing and refining tools to ensure that all elements in each tool are the same in every language.
- The content of all tests is cross-checked with state textbooks of Std 1 and 2 for equivalence. (In the case of English, the textbook for the year in which English is introduced was taken as the reference point).
- All tools go through a process of extensive field-testing with children across the country before finalization.
- All surveyors in all districts spent a "practice day" in the field during training.
- Children can choose the language that she/he is most comfortable to be tested in.

ASER 2007 asked ...

## Pre-schooling/Schooling status

Children in the age group 3 to 6 were asked if they go to any kind of preschool.

Children in the age group 5 to 16 were asked if they go to school or not. If they go to school they are asked about the type of school (government or private).

Children in the age group 5 to 16 were also asked if they currently attend any tuition class (paid class)

## Learning status

Children in the 5 to 16 age group are asked to do tasks that included

- Reading
- Arithmetic
- Comprehension
- Problem solving
- English

Children were tested at home. In a selected household, efforts are made to locate all children in the age group 3 to 16.

Before starting to test children, it is important that both the surveyor and the child are relaxed. The primary aim of the assessment exercise is to understand what children can do comfortably in reading, arithmetic, comprehension. Given this, it is essential that children are at ease and not worried about how they are going to perform. To help children to relax, surveyors chat with them or play simple games. Once the child is ready, then the testing tools are shown. The child has to be given sufficient time to read, to solve and to think. Often children will try to do a series of tasks until it is clear what he/she can do confidently. It is critical that the surveyor appreciates what the child is doing.

## ASER 2007 : Reading Tasks...



All children were assessed using a simple reading tool. The reading test has 4 categories:

- Alphabets : Set of common alphabets
- Words: Common familiar words with 2 letters and 1 or 2 matras
- Level 1 (Std 1) text: Set of simple 4 linked sentences. Each no more than 4-5 words. These words or their equivalent are in the Std 1 text book of the state.
- Level 2 (Std 2) text: "Short" story with 7-10 sentences. Sentence construction is straightforward, words are common and the context is familiar. These words (or their equivalent) are in the Std 2 textbook of the state.


## पहने की स्ता 11



In developing these tools, in each state language, care is taken to ENSURE

- comparability with the previous years' tool with respect to word count, sentence count, type of word and conjoint letters in words
- compatibility with the vocabulary and sentence construction used in Std 1 and Std 2 language textbooks of the state
- familiarity with words and context through extensive field piloting


## How to test reading?



## If she cannot correctly read at least 4 out of the 5 words she chooses, then show her the list of letters.



## LETTERS

Ask the child to read any 5 letters from the letters list. Let the child choose the letters herself. If she does not choose, then point out letters to her.

If she can correctly recognize at least 4 out of 5 letters with ease, then show her the list of words again.

If she can read 4 out of 5 letters but cannot read words, then mark her as a child who "can read letters".
If she cannot read 4 out of 5 letters correctly, then mark her as a child who "cannot even recognize letters" or as "nothing".

## ASER 2007 : Arithmetic Tasks...



All children were assessed using a simple arithmetic tool. The arithmetic test has 3 categories:

- Number recognition 1 to 9 : randomly chosen numbers from 1 to 9
- Number recognition 11 to 99 : randomly chosen numbers from 11 to 99
- Subtraction: 2 digit numerical problems with borrowing
- Division: 3 digit by 1 digit numerical problems.

ABTH THST/DIARSAMPLIT

| $\begin{gathered} 14 \text { हैदान } \\ \text { I- } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { H24 4Fu- } \\ 11-8 p \end{gathered}$ | पद्¢ | भाग |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ -35 \\ -\quad 48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 791B( |  |
| 4 |  | 84 -43 - | 6) 769 | Sample: Arithmetic test |
|  | $\square$ $\square$ 72 | $\begin{array}{rr} -31 \\ 46 \\ -37 & -13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 2 | 56 <br> 87 <br> 29 <br> 11 | - 43 <br> -18 -24 | 4) 513 | Similar tests developed in all languages |
|  | +4.0. |  |  |  |

## How to test arithmetic?

|  | SUBTRACTION: 2 DIGIT WITH BORROWING |
| :--- | :--- |
| START HERE | Show the child the subtraction problems. She can choose, if not you can <br> point. <br> Ask the child what the numbers are. She should be able to correctly iden- <br> tify the 2 digit numbers and the subtraction symbol. <br> Now ask her to write and solve the problem. Observe to see if she does it <br> in the correct written numerical form. <br> Ask her to do a second one. |



Point one by one to at least 5 numbers. Child can also choose.

Ask her to identify numbers.
If she can correctly identify at least 4 out of 5 numbers then mark her as a child who can "recognize numbers from 11-100."

If she cannot recognize numbers from 11-99, then give her the number recognition (1-9) task.


NUMBER RECOGNITION (1-9)
Point one by one to at least 5 numbers. Child can also choose.

Ask her to identify numbers.
If she can correctly identify at least 4 out of 5 numbers then mark her as a child who can "recognize numbers from 1-9."

If not, mark her as a child who "cannot recognize numbers" or "nothing".

## ASER 2007 : English tasks

## All children are given reading tasks in their own language (or the language of their choice). All children are also given a set of simple tasks to do in English. ${ }^{1}$

The English test includes reading and simple comprehension activities.
Children were asked to read :
Capital letters
Small letters
Words: These are common 3 letter words, familiar to children from their daily life. After reading the word, the child is asked what the word means. The child can reply in her own language. Words are chosen with care. Not only is the word easy to read in English, it is also a simple and familiar word in the child's own language.

Sentences: These are sentences with common words and simple syntax. Each sentence has no more than 5 words. After reading the sentence, the child is asked the meaning of the sentence in her own language.

The child is marked at the highest level that she can read comfortably. If the child can read a word, then she is asked the meaning of the word. If the child can read sentences, then she is asked the meaning of the sentence.


${ }^{1}$ Children who took the basic reading test in English did not have to do the English as a second language test. Before the survey, all surveyors were tested for the same Basic English Test at the time of training to ensure that they are confident in administering the test.

CAPITAL LETTERS
Point one by one to at least 5 alphabets.
Ask her to identify the alphabets.

If she correctly recognizes 4 out of 5 alphabets with ease, then show her the list of small alphabets. If she reads capital alphabets but is struggling with identifying small alphabets, then mark her as a child who can read "capital letters."

If she is unable to recognize 4 out of 5 capital alphabets from the list, then mark her as a child who "cannot even recognize capital alphabets" or as "nothing".

## SMALL LETTERS

Point one by one to at least 5 alphabets.
Ask her to identify the alphabets.

If she correctly recognizes 4 out of 5 small letters with ease, then show her If she reads small letters but is struggling the list of words. with reading words, then mark her as a child who can read "small letters."

## SIMPLE WORDS

Point one by one to at least 5 words.
Ask her to read the words.

If she correctly reads 4 out of 5 words, then show her the list of sentences.

If she reads words but is struggling with reading sentences, then mark her as "word" level child.

## EASY SENTENCES

Ask her to read the 4 sentences. If she reads at least 2 out of the 4 sentences fluently (does not stop frequently or read like she is reading a string of words), then mark her as "sentence level" child.

## Meaning of words and sentences in local language.

Word meanings : If a child reads words, ask her the meaning of the words in her own language. Meaning of words can be the literal meaning or it can be a close associated word. If she correctly says the meaning of at least 4 words, mark her as a child who "can say meanings"; else mark her as a child who "cannot say meanings".

Sentence meanings : If a child reads sentences, ask her the meaning of the sentences in her own language. She should, at least, be able to say the meaning of the underlined words in the sentence. If she can correctly tell the meaning of at least 2 sentences, mark her as a child who "can say meaning"; else mark her as a child who "cannot say meanings".

## ASER 2007 : Comprehension tasks

## Read and answer orally

In addition to reading, all children are also asked two comprehension questions based on the text that they read.

There are two tasks:
Level 1 text (Std 1 level)
Level 2 text (Std 2 level)
The child is asked to read the text. Then, the surveyor asks the child one question at a time. Both questions require retrieving information directly from the text. The child gives oral answers.


## कमको और बताओं (9-384)











## MATH TEGT/JTHR SAMPLET1


(i) आपये पास 50 रूपये है। आ आापने 35


(2) साप क्य पास 50 करये है। खत्ये 28






## How to test comprehension?

| Reading and Comprehension |
| :--- |
| - All children are to be assessed for comprehension. All children must be given both levels of |
| text to read and asked the questions that follow the text. |
| - Give the test paper to the child and say "Read this carefully. There are some questions you |
| have to answer after you finish reading." |
| - Let the child choose the text that she wants to read. Allow the child time to read the |
| passage. |
| - Make sure the child reads the passage herself. |
| - Once the child has finished reading, read the the first question aloud. Ask the child what the |
| answer is. If the child feels the need to read the passage again, allow her to do so. Repeat |
| the same process with the second question. |
| - Once the child finishes reading the short (Level 1) text and answering the questions based |
| on that text, give the child the longer text (Std. 2 level). Ask her to read the text and then |
| one by one read aloud the questions that follow. |
| - In answering the questions, the child may answer in one word, or phrase or sentence. As |
| long, she is able to communicate the main point, mark her as a child who "can" read, |
| understand and answer. If she cannot answer the question, then mark her as a child who |
| "cannot". |

## Problem Solving: Subtraction with borrowing

- Let the child know that you will tell her a math problem. You will tell her the problem orally but she can solve the problem however she wants.
- Give her a piece of paper and pencil in case she wishes to write and solve the problem. She can also solve it orally and give you the answer. Give her time to solve the problem.
- Read out the first word problem. Repeat the problem if necessary. Mark her as a child who "can do Q1", if she gives you the correct answer. If not, mark her as a child who "cannot do Q1".
- Next, read out the second word problem. If she gives you the correct answer, then mark her as a child who "can do Q2". If not, then mark her as a child "cannot do Q2".



## ASER2007 Rural: Findings
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Out of school
11 to 14 year-old GIRLS

Statewise map showing
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## ASER 2007 Findings

## Enrollment

- Overall proportions of out of school children have dropped. This decline is visible in all age categories for both boys and girls.
- For boys and girls in 7 to 10 year old age group, the percentage of out of school children in 2007 stands at below 3\% for rural India.
- For girls in the age group 11 to 14 , the percentage of out of school children has dropped from above $10 \%$ to $7.4 \%$.
- For Rajasthan and Bihar, the percentage of out of school children in the 6 to 14 age group was above $10 \%$ in 2006. This number has decreased to 6.5 \% in both states in 2007.
- Overall, enrollment in private schools has increased from $18.7 \%$ in 2006 to $19.3 \%$ in 2007. The rise in private school enrollment is noticeable in the older age group of 11 to 14 years. Private schools include government aided, unaided, recognized and unrecognized schools.


## Young Children

- Substantial increase in the proportion of children attending pre-schools (anganwadi or balwadi) is visible. $61.2 \%$ of 3 year olds in 2006 were attending pre-schools as compared to 75.3 of the same age in 2007. For 4 year olds, there is an increase from $71.9 \%$ to $81.8 \%$.
- Major increase in the proportion of children in pre-schools (anganwadi or balwadi) can be seen in states like Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, West Bengal, Orissa, Chattisgarh and Kerala with anganwadi-balwadi enrollment showing a rise of more than 10 percentage points between 2006 and 2007. Himachal Pradesh shows highest increase of 30 percentage points.


## Learning levels:

## Reading

Overall reading levels show improvements.

- Across the country, the proportion of children in Std 1 who could not even recognize alphabets has dropped from $38.4 \%$ in 2006 to $31.9 \%$ in 2007. The proportion of children in Std 1 and 2 who can recognize letters, read words or more has gone up nationally from $73.3 \%$ in 2006 to 78.3 in 2007.
- Many states show improvement in reading levels for children in Std 1 and 2 and there is considerable variation across states. In particular, Rajasthan, Arunachal, Manipur, Assam show an improvement of more than 10 percentage points. Increases are also visible in Jammu and Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat and Karnataka.
- National figures in 2007 show 58.3 \% children in Std 5 can read Std 2 level text. The same figure for 2006 was 53.0 \%.
- States in which there are significant improvements in the ability of children in Std 3 to 5 to read Std 1 level text (easy paragraphs) or more are the following: Himachal Pradesh and Andhra Pradesh where the improvements are over 10 percentage points. Improvements are also visible in some states in the North East such as Arunachal, Nagaland and Manipur. More modest improvements can be seen in Tamil Nadu, Madhya Pradesh and Punjab.


## Maths

- ASER2007 cannot observe any change in math learning ability anywhere in India except for a modes improvement in Himachal Pradesh.

English
For the first time in the last three years, children were assessed on simple reading and comprehension tasks in English.

- As expected, the ability to read English increases with age and years of schooling. While 60.9 \% of children in Std 1 cannot even read capital letters in English, by Std 527.9 \% of children can read easy sentences.
- High percentages of young children (Std 1 and 2) in states like Jammu \& Kashmir and in the North East can read letters or more.
- A higher percentage of older school going children (Std 3 to 5 ) in most of the North East states are able to read English sentences as compared to other states.
- In Gujrat, where English introduced in Std V, 74\% children learn to read alphabets or words by Std IV. But by Std VI 25.8 \% children can read sentences, well below the national average of 42.4\%.
- Children who could read words were asked to say the meaning of the word in their own language. More than half the children who can read words, even if they are in Std I, are able to say the meaning of the words. Out of the children who can read English sentences over $70 \%$ in Std I-IV and over $80 \%$ in Std V-VIII can say the meaning of the sentences in their language.


## Comprehension

ASER 2007 has explored the relationship between a child's ability to read and comprehend text by asking children oral questions based on texts of different levels.

- As expected, the ability to comprehend is closely linked with the ability to read. What is revealing is that when children are given a text that is more advanced than they are comfortable reading, a section of children is still able to tackle the text and understand it.
- For example, among all 6-10 year olds, $23 \%$ are comfortably able to read words but not as yet able to read sentences fluently. Of these halting readers, about $11 \%$ can answer questions based on the Std 1 level text and about $6 \%$ on Std 2 level text. Note that the Std 1 and 2 level texts are higher than what the child can read comfortably.
- Of children who are reading a Std 1 level, $66 \%$ of children in the 6-10 age group can answer questions from a Std 1 level text. Even though these children cannot comfortably read a Std 2 level text, 23\% can answer questions based on a Std 2 level text.
- Similar patterns are visible among the older children (11-14 year) as well. Not only can a majority of children answer questions based on the level of text that they are comfortably reading but a significant percentage try to read a higher level of text and understand it.


## Private schooling



Maps may not be accurate or to scale. These are mere representations.
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## Age 4 Years Aanganwadi




## Problem solving

All children were orally asked two problems. Both problems were about money and involved children subtracting numbers from Rs. 50. Children could give the answer orally or in written form.

- A small proportion of 6-8 year-olds could correctly answer the problems. In this age group, $18 \%$ of school going children could answer questions as compared to $6 \%$ of non-school going children of the same age group.
- The ability to solve these problems is higher with older children: $50 \%$ of $9-10$ year old children can solve both problems and almost $74 \%$ children in the 11-14 age group can do the same. These numbers are much lower for children who are out of school but in the same age groups.
- For older children (age 11-14), of those who can correctly solve written numerical subtraction problems, about 66\% can solve the word problems. Of children who can solve written division problems, close to $94 \%$ can solve the word problems.


## School observations:

Schools visited in ASER 2007 are schools located in the sampled village. One government primary school is visited and observations are made. If there is more than one government primary school in the village, the larger one is chosen for observation. Similar visits were done in ASER 2005. Given our interest in primary classes, visited schools could be one of two types: primary schools with classes up to Std 4 or 5 and middle schools which had classes from Std 1 to Std 8. Typically school visits have been done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

- Overall, compared to 2005, teacher's attendance shows improvement in 2007 whereas children's attendance levels are relatively unchanged. Both figure observations are based on one visit to the school.
- In terms of provision, the number of schools with water provision and supply has risen from $67 \%$ to $72 \%$ for primary schools and $73 \%$ to $77 \%$ in middle schools. Similar improvements are also seen in terms of useable toilets.
- However, overall across the schools visited, there are still a little over $25 \%$ schools that either do not have water or if they do have water it is not useable. The corresponding figure for toilets is $40 \%$ \%.
- In about 92.6 \% of the schools visited on a random day in October/November 2007, midday meal was seen being prepared or served. This figure is much higher than the comparable figure in 2005, which stood at 71.1\%.
- Well over 75\% of all teachers had received TLM grants for 2006 and over half had received them for 2007. Similarly for school maintenance grants, over $80 \%$ of schools had received their grants in 2006 and over $60 \%$ in 2007. It is likely that the remainder of grants may be sent to schools and teachers by the end of the 2007-08 financial year.
- Multi-grade classes are a common feature of primary and middle schools in India due to small enrollments in small habitations. Children in about half of all classes in visited schools sat with children belonging to another standard. This number ranges from close to $70 \%$ in states like Bihar and Jharkhand to as low as 3\% in Kerala.
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## The National picture



## INDIA rural

All analysis based on data from 567 out of 584 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school | Total | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School |  | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 73.4 | 18.7 | 1.3 | 6.6 | 100 | 75.3 | 19.3 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 71.4 | 18.4 | 1.2 | 9.0 | 100 | 72.8 | 19.9 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 75.3 | 18.6 | 1.5 | 4.7 | 100 | 78.7 | 17.5 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 74.3 | 20.2 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 100 | 77.4 | 19.1 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 76.4 | 16.7 | 1.6 | 5.4 | 100 | 80.4 | 15.5 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 71.7 | 18.5 | 1.0 | 8.9 | 100 | 70.9 | 21.5 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 71.7 | 19.7 | 0.9 | 7.7 | 100 | 70.3 | 22.8 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 71.8 | 17.0 | 1.0 | 10.3 | 100 | 71.6 | 20.0 | 1.1 | 7.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 60.2 | 17.9 | 0.7 | 21.2 | 100 | 55.1 | 24.6 | 0.9 | 19.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 61.1 | 18.1 | 0.6 | 20.2 | 100 | 55.6 | 25.2 | 0.9 | 18.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 59.0 | 17.7 | 0.8 | 22.6 | 100 | 54.3 | 23.9 | 1.0 | 20.8 | 100 |
| Age and Class |  |  |  | Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in pre-school or school | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 61.2 |  |  |  | 38.8 | 100 | 75.3 |  |  |  | 24.7 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 71.9 |  |  |  | 28.1 | 100 | 81.8 |  |  |  | 18.2 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 38.4 | 32.8 | 12.7 | 1.2 | 15.0 | 100 | 30.2 | 44.7 | 16.5 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 3.4 | 68.7 | 19.7 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 100 | 4.9 | 71.2 | 18.9 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 |

## India rural

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 31.8 | 44.2 | 17.0 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 100 |
| II | 11.2 | 31.6 | 34.4 | 13.8 | 9.0 | 100 |
| III | 5.3 | 16.8 | 29.0 | 27.4 | 21.6 | 100 |
| IV | 2.9 | 8.9 | 18.1 | 27.6 | 42.5 | 100 |
| V | 1.9 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 22.2 | 58.7 | 100 |
| VI | 1.2 | 3.0 | 6.9 | 17.2 | 71.7 | 100 |
| VII | 1.0 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 12.9 | 79.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 8.6 | 86.6 | 100 |
| Total | 8.0 | 16.1 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 41.6 | 100 |


| Arithmetic levelf \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 31.7 | 42.9 | 20.1 | 3.9 | 1.5 | 100 |
| II | 11.7 | 31.8 | 38.7 | 14.2 | 3.7 | 100 |
| III | 5.6 | 18.4 | 33.7 | 31.1 | 11.2 | 100 |
| IV | 3.3 | 10.4 | 24.1 | 34.7 | 27.6 | 100 |
| V | 2.1 | 6.5 | 17.1 | 31.9 | 42.4 | 100 |
| VI | 1.5 | 3.8 | 12.8 | 27.8 | 54.2 | 100 |
| VII | 1.2 | 2.8 | 9.9 | 23.4 | 62.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.9 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 18.3 | 71.6 | 100 |
| Total | 8.2 | 16.7 | 22.0 | 23.1 | 30.1 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 60.9 | 22.9 | 9.4 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 100 |
| II | 38.5 | 30.3 | 17.4 | 9.6 | 4.2 | 100 |
| III | 23.2 | 26.3 | 23.4 | 19.3 | 7.8 | 100 |
| IV | 14.1 | 19.7 | 21.9 | 28.1 | 16.2 | 100 |
| V | 8.2 | 13.9 | 18.6 | 31.4 | 27.9 | 100 |
| VI | 4.8 | 9.0 | 13.8 | 30.1 | 42.4 | 100 |
| VII | 3.6 | 6.3 | 10.2 | 26.3 | 53.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.4 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 20.3 | 65.9 | 100 |
| Total | 21.9 | 18.0 | 15.9 | 20.6 | 23.6 | 100 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 23.3 | 11.1 | 6.1 | Word | 7.3 | 22.0 | 13.8 |
| Std 1 level text | 19.3 | 66.0 | 23.8 | Std 1 level text | 15.9 | 72.9 | 35.3 |
| Std 2 level text | 26.0 | 91.0 | 82.4 | Std 2 level text | 71.7 | 95.2 | 90.3 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 74.5 | 6.5 | 18.1 |
| 9 to 10 | 39.6 | 9.1 | 49.8 |
| 11 to 14 | 17.9 | 7.2 | 73.6 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 90 | 3.0 | 6.3 |
| 9 to 10 | 74.8 | 5.8 | 18.8 |
| 11 to 14 | 55.2 | 7.8 | 35.6 |

















## Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to

a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 12.0 | 15.7 | 19.1 | 21.3 | 23.3 | 23.4 | 24.3 | 26.1 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 19.5 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 25.9 | 26.2 | 24.1 | 25.0 | 24.8 |  |



School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 8715; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 4577

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 81.2 | 78.8 | 91.0 | 87.4 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 4.2 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 57.7 | 38.1 | 73.7 | 53.5 |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 73.5 | 75.0 | 73.4 | 75.5 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending <br> \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 12.6 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 11.9 |
|  | 55.3 | 60.1 | 60.1 | 67.9 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 39.5 | 37.2 | 39.0 | 35.0 |
|  <br> teachers present | 34.1 | 33.9 | 31.0 | 30.0 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 81.5 | 78.4 | 58.0 | 57.3 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 83.1 |  | 62.5 |  |
|  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Multigrade classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 54.7 |  | 51.3 |  |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 48.2 |  | 42.7 |  |

Note: One Std II class and one Std IV class was observed during the school visit. Children's sitting arrangement was observed. Surveyors also observed whether most (75\%) of children had textbooks, notebook and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

Performance of states: 2007

|  | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | \% <br> Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 63.9 | 3.6 | 29.7 | 92.1 | 93.7 | 91.2 | 51.8 | 67.0 | 29.8 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 91.4 | 1.0 | 22.6 | 92.1 | 93.6 | 82.4 | 84.7 | 80.0 | 44.2 |
| Punjab | 73.2 | 2.9 | 31.8 | 87.2 | 84.5 | 74.3 | 71.4 | 68.9 | 31.1 |
| Uttarakhand | 76.2 | 2.2 | 25.0 | 79.2 | 79.4 | 65.6 | 71.7 | 65.1 | 28.9 |
| Haryana | 77.1 | 3.6 | 36.0 | 77.5 | 78.6 | 64.9 | 72.2 | 70.9 | 33.9 |
| Rajasthan | 60.5 | 6.5 | 26.7 | 69.6 | 70.9 | 47.9 | 57.9 | 51.1 | 12.6 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 65.6 | 3.9 | 29.1 | 67.2 | 65.7 | 44.3 | 52.2 | 42.8 | 11.1 |
| Bihar | 67.7 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 74.7 | 72.1 | 53.0 | 68.8 | 69.8 | 25.5 |
| Sikkim | 45.0 | 1.8 | 27.2 | 91.1 | 99.3 | 98.6 | 82.4 | 87.3 | 83.8 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 48.8 | 5.6 | 12.7 | 93.7 | 94.3 | 93.6 | 68.2 | 77.5 | 61.3 |
| Nagaland | 48.8 | 3.3 | 37.0 | 98.0 | 98.3 |  | 71.7 | 74.4 |  |
| Manipur | 79.5 | 4.5 | 57.3 | 97.1 | 97.9 | 94.3 | 77.5 | 85.1 | 58.5 |
| Tripura | 76.1 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 84.2 | 81.6 | 69.7 | 59.7 | 55.8 | 20.8 |
| Meghalaya | 67.4 | 7.5 | 40.3 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 88.9 | 82.2 | 84.2 | 56.3 |
| Assam | 71.1 | 6.9 | 11.5 | 82.0 | 83.1 | 31.0 | 65.9 | 58.7 | 14.0 |
| West Bengal | 85.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 87.9 | 89.1 | 59.8 | 76.9 | 75.8 | 22.3 |
| Jharkhand | 71.5 | 5.0 | 10.3 | 76.9 | 75.1 | 52.3 | 64.1 | 58.9 | 16.6 |
| Orissa | 76.3 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 73.6 | 70.3 | 39.1 | 63.6 | 52.1 | 20.6 |
| Chhattisgarh | 85.8 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 78.9 | 79.6 | 42.3 | 59.6 | 48.9 | 6.4 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 92.4 | 2.2 | 13.2 | 93.0 | 92.4 | 60.5 | 83.0 | 77.7 | 13.0 |
| Gujarat | 82.1 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 81.7 | 83.9 | 26.9 | 64.6 | 56.0 | 6.5 |
| Daman \& Diu | 95.5 | 1.6 | 23.1 | 88.4 | 92.2 | 53.5 | 67.9 | 61.5 | 30.5 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 91.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 88.8 | 89.2 | 19.1 | 72.0 | 54.1 | 8.8 |
| Maharashtra | 93.3 | 1.8 | 25.8 | 92.1 | 93.0 | 40.0 | 85.0 | 67.4 | 15.2 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 78.8 | 4.3 | 29.3 | 81.3 | 85.6 | 56.2 | 74.7 | 64.3 | 21.9 |
| Karnataka | 91.8 | 3.5 | 11.6 | 83.5 | 82.1 | 41.0 | 57.2 | 44.5 | 7.4 |
| Goa | 92.8 | 0.5 | 37.1 | 96.1 | 93.2 | 79.9 | 86.2 | 85.7 | 55.3 |
| Kerala | 92.6 | 0.4 | 55.2 | 96.7 | 96.1 | 81.6 | 82.2 | 71.9 | 44.0 |
| Tamilnadu | 86.1 | 1.2 | 15.5 | 60.3 | 66.6 | 53.4 | 49.2 | 43.0 | 10.8 |
| Pondicherry | 95.8 | 1.1 | 20.6 | 74.4 | 66.9 | 69.3 | 44.4 | 41.4 | 20.5 |
| All India | 78.8 | 4.2 | 19.3 | 78.3 | 78.2 | 50.1 | 66.4 | 59.4 | 17.2 |

ASER 2007 : Sikkim data available for only 1 district. Nagaland data for 10 out of 11 districts. Tripura 3 out of 4 districts. Meghalaya 6 out of 7 districts. Mizoram and Andaman Nicobar not covered in 2007. Nagaland children were tested in English so English as a second language data is not reported.

Private schools include government aided, unaided, recognized and unrecognized schools.

India rural

## Performance of states: 2006

|  | Anganwadi or Balwadi | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels | Std 3-5 : <br> Learning levels |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or pre-school | \% Children (Age: 6-14) Out of School | \% Children (Age: 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3 5) who CAN DO SUBTRACTION or more |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 59.3 | 4.7 | 32.0 | 87.8 | 58.1 | 74.1 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 61.2 | 1.3 | 19.0 | 86.0 | 74.1 | 72.1 |
| Punjab | 61.8 | 3.2 | 41.5 | 84.1 | 66.3 | 65.2 |
| Uttarakhand | 67.6 | 2.4 | 21.0 | 87.4 | 75.9 | 74.9 |
| Haryana | 73.6 | 4.9 | 43.1 | 78.2 | 79.6 | 78.1 |
| Rajasthan | 65.9 | 10.8 | 25.2 | 54.4 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 48.1 | 6.0 | 30.3 | 58.5 | 51.4 | 47.1 |
| Bihar | 52.1 | 12.8 | 11.5 | 70.1 | 70.6 | 72.4 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 60.0 | 7.6 | 15.7 | 75.6 | 58.7 | 72.8 |
| Nagaland | 65.6 | 5.0 | 48.7 | 96.9 | 65.5 | 73.5 |
| Manipur | 73.5 | 5.6 | 58.7 | 83.8 | 66.0 | 83.3 |
| Tripura | 67.8 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 95.5 | 73.3 | 75.1 |
| Meghalaya | 71.8 | 6.8 | 42.8 | 95.5 | 85.0 | 85.6 |
| Assam | 75.4 | 4.4 | 9.6 | 68.5 | 67.1 | 69.5 |
| West Bengal | 72.9 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 90.6 | 81.8 | 82.9 |
| Jharkhand | 67.2 | 8.9 | 11.3 | 71.8 | 68.9 | 70.5 |
| Orissa | 62.0 | 9.1 | 4.3 | 72.6 | 67.9 | 63.1 |
| Chhattisgarh | 71.1 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 77.3 | 60.8 | 56.6 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 76.9 | 3.8 | 11.5 | 87.6 | 79.2 | 81.4 |
| Gujarat | 84.6 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 78.5 | 66.5 | 62.7 |
| Daman \& Diu | 95.1 | 1.0 | 23.2 | 91.5 | 79.6 | 74.2 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 67.1 | 6.3 | 17.2 | 85.7 | 66.4 | 59.1 |
| Maharashtra | 90.5 | 3.8 | 18.3 | 87.6 | 79.6 | 70.4 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 83.5 | 4.2 | 18.5 | 87.1 | 59.7 | 71.6 |
| Karnataka | 85.3 | 4.9 | 16.0 | 78.4 | 56.1 | 45.9 |
| Goa | 91.3 | 1.6 | 43.0 | 96.6 | 86.8 | 83.0 |
| Kerala | 81.8 | 0.4 | 46.8 | 98.6 | 85.4 | 82.7 |
| Tamilnadu | 89.7 | 2.1 | 19.5 | 63.1 | 46.4 | 53.0 |
| Pondicherry | 97.3 | 0.3 | 26.2 | 68.8 | 43.4 | 47.6 |
| Mizoram | 76.0 | 3.7 | 23.2 | 88.1 | 91.8 | 93.1 |
| Andaman \& Nicobar | 86.6 | 1.5 | 8.5 | 87.0 | 61.0 | 76.9 |
| Total | 66.7 | 6.6 | 18.7 | 73.1 | 66.0 | 65.2 |

ASER 2006: J \& K data includes 13 out of 14 districts. Rajasthan 31 out of 32 districts. Arunachal Pradesh includes 8 out of 13 districts. Nagaland 10 out of 11 districts. Manipur 8 out of 9 districts. Mizoram 7 out of 8 districts. Tripura 2 out of 4 districts. Meghalaya 5 out of 7 . Assam 11 out of 23 districts. West Bengal 16 out of 17 districts.

## State Comparisons : School observations: 2005 \& 2007

| STATE | \% of appointed teachers attending |  | \% of enrolled children attending |  | \% of schools that had water which was usable |  | \% of schools that had toilets which wer usable |  | \% of schools with mid-day meal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 | 2007 | 2005 | 2007 | 2005 | 2007 | 2005 | 2007 | 2005 | 2007 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir |  | 89.8 |  | 83.6 |  | 43.9 |  | 46.0 |  | 95.1 |
| Himachal Pradesh |  | 89.7 |  | 88.9 |  | 81.5 |  | 46.1 |  | 97.8 |
| Punjab | 75.4 | 85.5 | 83.4 | 80.7 | 81.5 | 85.4 | 87.8 | 90.6 | 16.8 | 84.0 |
| Uttarakhand | 77.0 | 92.4 | 85.7 | 85.8 | 68.9 | 70.0 | 55.2 | 74.8 | 83.2 | 97.8 |
| Haryana | 74.7 | 91.9 | 81.1 | 82.6 | 75.2 | 81.4 | 68.6 | 81.9 | 79.5 | 96.8 |
| Rajasthan | 80.2 | 88.2 | 73.0 | 70.1 | 62.7 | 73.4 | 63.3 | 75.2 | 83.2 | 98.6 |
| Uttar Pradesh |  | 91.8 |  |  |  | 84.0 |  | 54.3 | 56.2 | 94.9 |
| Bihar | 78.1 | 86.0 | 52.7 | 58.0 | 68.3 | 73.9 | 28.4 | 51.0 | 39.2 | 63.4 |
| Arunachal Pradesh |  | 87.4 |  | 80.2 |  | 55.6 |  | 33.2 |  | 64.4 |
| Nagaland |  | 91.7 |  | 83.5 |  | 33.9 |  | 73.2 |  | 96.9 |
| Manipur |  | 88.5 |  | 78.2 |  | 10.3 |  | 37.0 |  | 77.8 |
| Tripura |  | 78.2 |  | 81.4 |  | 52.9 |  | 50.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Meghalaya |  | 92.9 |  | 85.5 |  | 25.0 |  | 37.8 |  | 87.9 |
| Assam | 91.2 | 88.3 | 71.1 | 71.0 | 60.0 | 61.4 | 28.9 | 35.2 | 37.6 | 92.1 |
| West Bengal | 73.7 | 90.1 | 73.3 | 69.6 | 69.4 | 80.8 | 58.7 | 72.6 | 78.0 | 98.3 |
| Jharkhand | 81.1 | 87.8 | 60.3 | 62.2 | 60.1 | 73.2 | 27.7 | 34.0 | 68.5 | 90.3 |
| Orissa | 75.6 | 89.2 | 67.3 | 71.2 | 69.2 | 77.2 | 23.4 | 43.9 | 69.6 | 97.1 |
| Chhattisgarh | 89.0 | 91.3 | 73.2 | 72.1 | 74.2 | 74.6 | 10.8 | 19.9 | 96.0 | 97.6 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 84.2 | 89.9 | 67.6 | 66.4 | 64.3 | 74.1 | 30.8 | 50.2 | 77.3 | 95.6 |
| Gujarat | 87.0 | 93.1 | 81.6 | 84.9 | 72.8 | 83.7 | 64.7 | 87.0 | 88.9 | 94.6 |
| Daman \& Diu | 95.5 | 95.0 | 94.7 | 84.1 | 93.3 | 90.9 | 60.0 | 90.9 | 93.3 | 100.0 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 98.2 | 97.7 | 83.5 | 84.4 | 66.7 | 81.8 | 70.8 | 42.9 | 91.7 | 100.0 |
| Maharashtra | 85.0 | 92.2 | 82.9 | 92.1 | 56.9 | 69.4 | 52.2 | 79.4 | 84.1 | 98.8 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 80.3 | 85.6 | 77.8 | 76.2 | 63.9 | 71.1 | 50.3 | 57.1 | 98.9 | 98.4 |
| Karnataka | 78.0 | 86.5 | 78.1 | 75.8 | 74.7 | 71.8 | 66.4 | 73.5 | 80.8 | 98.3 |
| Goa | 95.3 | 97.3 | 89.5 | 93.9 | 91.9 | 97.7 | 37.8 | 93.0 | 47.1 | 93.0 |
| Kerala | 85.4 | 89.8 | 95.1 | 90.4 | 88.1 | 94.3 | 93.2 | 96.1 | 94.1 | 98.3 |
| Tamilnadu | 83.4 | 94.4 | 90.6 | 90.9 | 69.9 | 76.3 | 62.2 | 53.9 | 85.4 | 78.9 |
| All India | 80.2 | 89.7 | 74.1 | 74.1 | 69.2 | 73.7 | 48.3 | 59.1 | 72.7 | 92.2 |

Data includes all schools of standards 1 to $4 / 5$ and 1 to 8
Figures are indicative of observation on the day of the survey. Blank cells indicate insufficient data.


## Jammu and Kashmir Himachal Pradesh <br> Uttarakhand Punjab <br> Haryana



# JAMMU AND KASHMIR rural 

All analysis based on data from 14 out of 14 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school | Total | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa <br> + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 59.8 | 32.0 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 100 | 64.7 | 29.7 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 62.4 | 29.0 | 2.7 | 6.0 | 100 | 66.3 | 27.4 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 56.9 | 34.6 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 100 | 62.9 | 31.8 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 56.2 | 36.9 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 100 | 61.9 | 33.5 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 57.8 | 32.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 100 | 64.1 | 29.7 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 64.8 | 28.0 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 100 | 68.9 | 25.7 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 64.3 | 30.4 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 100 | 68.4 | 27.3 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 65.4 | 25.0 | 1.4 | 8.3 | 100 | 69.6 | 23.8 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 68.3 | 19.6 | 1.0 | 11.1 | 100 | 69.4 | 18.9 | 0.7 | 11.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 68.2 | 21.7 | 0.9 | 9.3 | 100 | 69.1 | 21.7 | 0.6 | 8.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 68.5 | 16.7 | 1.2 | 13.5 | 100 | 69.8 | 15.1 | 0.7 | 14.4 | 100 |
| Age and Class Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 57.1 |  |  |  | 42.9 | 100 | 69.7 |  |  |  | 30.3 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 61.7 |  |  |  | 38.3 | 100 | 58.1 |  |  |  | 41.9 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 20.4 | 27.0 | 29.4 | 4.2 | 19.1 | 100 | 14.9 | 38.7 | 33.4 | 3.9 | 9.1 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 3.2 | 44.0 | 38.6 | 8.7 | 5.6 | 100 | 2.4 | 53.0 | 36.5 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 |  |  |  | Rec Nu | gnize bers |  |  |  |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | (Std 1) Text | (Std 2 text) | Total | Std. | Nothing | 1-9 | 10-99 | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 11.1 | 43.0 | 37.5 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 100 | I | 9.3 | 32.6 | 48.9 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 100 |
| II | 4.3 | 26.6 | 46.2 | 15.8 | 7.1 | 100 | II | 3.0 | 16.5 | 54.7 | 21.3 | 4.5 | 100 |
| III | 1.7 | 19.7 | 40.7 | 24.0 | 13.9 | 100 | III | 2.0 | 7.9 | 40.1 | 39.3 | 10.8 | 100 |
| IV | 1.0 | 11.2 | 35.9 | 27.1 | 24.9 | 100 | IV | 0.5 | 5.3 | 25.8 | 49.9 | 18.5 | 100 |
| v | 1.1 | 11.0 | 23.6 | 31.3 | 33.1 | 100 | V | 0.6 | 2.3 | 16.1 | 49.7 | 31.2 | 100 |
| VI | 0.4 | 6.4 | 21.7 | 27.0 | 44.5 | 100 | VI | 0.5 | 2.2 | 12.0 | 40.8 | 44.5 | 100 |
| VII | 0.7 | 2.5 | 14.1 | 22.9 | 59.8 | 100 | VII | 0.8 | 1.2 | 6.8 | 34.7 | 56.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.4 | 2.3 | 10.4 | 17.3 | 69.7 | 100 | VIII | 0.3 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 26.4 | 67.7 | 100 |
| Total | 2.8 | 16.5 | 29.8 | 21.3 | 29.6 | 100 | Total | 2.3 | 9.4 | 27.5 | 33.5 | 27.3 | 100 |

## Comparisons



| English reading level: \% Children who |  |  |  |  |  |  | English comprehension |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| I | 12.2 | 29.4 | 36.1 | 18.0 | 4.4 | 100 | I | 45.0 | 58.8 |
| II | 5.0 | 15.0 | 39.2 | 31.5 | 9.4 | 100 | II | 45.3 | 54.6 |
| III | 2.2 | 9.0 | 33.3 | 37.7 | 17.8 | 100 | III | 48.5 | 54.4 |
| IV | 0.5 | 5.2 | 24.6 | 41.5 | 28.2 | 100 | IV | 47.5 | 63.2 |
| V | 1.2 | 2.8 | 20.1 | 33.2 | 42.7 | 100 | V | 44.7 | 66.2 |
| VI | 1.1 | 1.4 | 12.1 | 28.0 | 57.5 | 100 | VI | 58.1 | 72.8 |
| VII | 1.1 | 1.3 | 7.4 | 18.3 | 72.0 | 100 | VII | 62.3 | 72.1 |
| VIII | 0.9 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 16.2 | 79.4 | 100 | VIII | 76.7 | 79.4 |
| Total | 3.4 | 9.1 | 23.8 | 28.8 | 34.9 | 100 | Total | 50.3 | 69.5 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 38.3 | 11.0 | 6.6 | Word | 18.5 | 18.3 | 13.1 |
| Std 1 level text | 20.8 | 54.4 | 21.5 | Std 1 level text | 24.5 | 61.7 | 26.6 |
| Std 2 level text | 13.9 | 75.0 | 68.9 | Std 2 level text | 51.9 | 90.9 | 81.6 |



Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer
Children in age group : 6 to 10

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |


| Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt. <br> school | 6.0 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 11.9 | 12.6 | 17.9 |
| Pvt. <br> school | 13.1 | 22.5 | 21.0 | 19.2 | 32.5 | 30.3 | 28.2 | 33.8 |



## Jammu and Kashmir rural

School Observations: schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 109; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 151

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) |  | 92.0 | 88.2 |  |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | Insufficient <br> Data | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  | 79.2 | 53.7 |  |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | Insufficient <br> Data | 83.1 | 83.9 |  |
| \% schools with less than 50\% <br> enrolled children attending |  | 2.5 | 3.3 |  |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending |  | 72.5 | 73.6 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | Insufficient <br> Data | 14.0 | 16.7 |  |
|  <br> teachers present |  | 12.5 | 16.0 |  |


| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | Insufficient Data |  | 48.6 | 43.3 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available |  |  | 10.5 | 10.6 |
| Schools with water provision and water available |  |  | 41.0 | 46.1 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | Insufficient Data |  | 49.5 | 37.6 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used |  |  | 8.4 | 13.5 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use |  |  | 42.1 | 48.9 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | Insuffi Dat | cient <br> a | 95.3 | 95.0 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 93.3 | 91.8 | 70.4 | 71.9 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 86.8 |  | 63.6 |  |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Schools in which... |  | Std. |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Anantnag | 52.7 | 9.6 | 31.2 | 97.3 | 98.0 | 90.1 | 70.6 | 80.3 | 47.5 |
| Budgam | 69.7 | 4.0 | 19.1 | 89.7 | 94.2 | 92.3 | 38.4 | 57.8 | 37.2 |
| Baramulla | 53.7 | 6.3 | 46.3 | 90.9 | 94.6 | 93.2 | 48.2 | 65.9 | 55.6 |
| Doda* |  | 1.0 | 19.6 | 97.9 | 98.7 | 97.6 | 73.2 | 84.8 | 50.3 |
| Jammu | 71.9 | 0.1 | 43.8 | 85.4 | 86.4 | 81.9 | 51.2 | 59.0 | 34.5 |
| Kargil | 56.4 | 1.7 | 31.4 | 96.1 | 96.4 | 94.9 | 60.5 | 62.3 | 43.9 |
| Kathua | 70.8 | 1.7 | 40.4 | 96.9 | 97.4 | 97.8 | 40.3 | 72.7 | 26.4 |
| Kupwara* |  | 1.3 | 38.7 | 90.6 | 90.5 |  | 56.6 | 66.9 | 50.0 |
| Leh (Ladakh) | 78.7 | 1.3 | 36.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.0 | 56.0 | 64.4 | 22.2 |
| Pulwama | 62.1 | 3.5 | 27.1 | 88.9 | 91.7 | 92.9 | 34.4 | 52.5 | 14.8 |
| Poonch* |  | 4.2 | 14.1 | 96.2 | 95.8 | 92.5 | 51.9 | 60.9 | 14.5 |
| Rajauri* |  | 0.0 | 32.8 | 100.0 | 99.7 | 100.0 | 41.2 | 80.4 | 4.7 |
| Srinagar* |  | 3.2 | 27.0 | 94.5 | 94.4 | 87.5 | 32.9 | 48.0 | 12.5 |
| Udhampur* |  | 2.9 | 3.8 | 84.9 | 89.6 | 85.5 | 55.2 | 59.0 | 20.0 |
| Total | 63.9 | 3.6 | 29.7 | 92.1 | 93.7 | 91.2 | 51.8 | 67.0 | 29.8 |



[^2]

# HIMACHAL PRADESH rural 

All analysis based on data from 12 out of 12 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & + \text { EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 79.6 | 19.0 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 100 | 76.4 | 22.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 81.8 | 16.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 100 | 77.8 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 75.6 | 23.4 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100 | 73.9 | 25.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 73.1 | 26.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 | 72.0 | 27.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 78.4 | 20.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 100 | 76.1 | 23.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 85.3 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100 | 81.1 | 16.9 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 83.5 | 15.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100 | 77.1 | 21.0 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 87.2 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100 | 85.1 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 86.1 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 100 | 81.1 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 85.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 100 | 81.6 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 86.9 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 100 | 80.6 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 56.3 |  |  |  | 43.7 | 100 | 90.6 |  |  |  | 9.4 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 66.4 |  |  |  | 33.6 | 100 | 92.3 |  |  |  | 7.7 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 31.0 | 31.7 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 100 | 23.1 | 33.4 | 41.3 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 2.1 | 67.2 | 28.7 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 100 | 1.6 | 66.1 | 31.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 |

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 12.9 | 45.7 | 24.3 | 7.3 | 9.8 | 100 |
| II | 2.6 | 20.7 | 36.4 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 100 |
| III | 1.5 | 8.0 | 17.8 | 30.2 | 42.6 | 100 |
| IV | 0.7 | 4.0 | 7.7 | 22.7 | 64.8 | 100 |
| V | 0.3 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 11.2 | 82.3 | 100 |
| VI | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 8.2 | 87.1 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 93.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 3.9 | 95.4 | 100 |
| Total | 2.4 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 13.9 | 61.4 | 100 |


| Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing |  | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 10.6 | 41.8 | 34.9 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 100 |
| II | 1.9 | 19.3 | 44.4 | 26.4 | 8.1 | 100 |
| III | 1.8 | 9.2 | 24.0 | 40.6 | 24.5 | 100 |
| IV | 1.1 | 5.0 | 9.7 | 38.3 | 45.8 | 100 |
| V | 0.4 | 2.5 | 6.9 | 23.4 | 66.9 | 100 |
| VI | 0.5 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 18.7 | 75.8 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.6 | 4.0 | 12.8 | 82.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 89.1 | 100 |
| Total | 2.1 | 10.0 | 16.2 | 22.7 | 49.0 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 23.0 | 33.7 | 24.3 | 13.2 | 5.8 | 100 |
| II | 11.6 | 22.6 | 25.1 | 28.6 | 12.1 | 100 |
| III | 6.1 | 12.8 | 19.3 | 33.5 | 28.3 | 100 |
| IV | 3.5 | 6.4 | 14.7 | 32.0 | 43.4 | 100 |
| V | 2.7 | 4.9 | 10.3 | 22.2 | 59.9 | 100 |
| VI | 0.4 | 1.8 | 7.6 | 19.2 | 71.1 | 100 |
| VII | 0.6 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 13.6 | 80.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.3 | 1.3 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 86.9 | 100 |
| Total | 6.1 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 21.6 | 47.7 | 100 |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | English comprehension |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Of those who <br> can read words, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| I | 64.5 | 69.8 |
| II | 62.1 | 76.7 |
| III | 69.4 | 80.3 |
| IV | 67.4 | 77.9 |
| V | 64.3 | 82.3 |
| VI | 60.9 | 87.7 |
| VII | 66.7 | 89.1 |
| VIII | 61.6 | 91.6 |
| Total | 65.3 | 85.5 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : $\mathbf{1 1}$ to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 17.4 | 9.3 | 4.8 | Word | 2.6 | 19.2 | 18.4 |
| Std 1 level text | 19.5 | 64.2 | 28.2 | Std 1 level text | 6.9 | 72.3 | 46.5 |
| Std 2 level text | 46.7 | 90.1 | 80.3 | Std 2 level text | 89.2 | 95.4 | 89.3 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 60.0 | 7.7 | 30.5 |
| 9 to 10 | 23.0 | 7.2 | 68.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 9.1 | 5.3 | 84.6 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 83.8 | 0.0 | 16.2 |
| 9 to 10 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 33.3 |
| 11 to 14 | 35.5 | 7.5 | 55.8 |














为我



Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |


| Children in age group :11 to 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

Himachal Pradesh rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 207; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 24

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) |  | 89.8 | 89.2 |  |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | Insufficient <br> Data | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  | 72.6 | 65.0 |  |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | Insufficient <br> Data | 88.6 | 91.8 |  |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending |  | 2.7 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending |  | 92.0 | 95.5 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median ) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | Insufficient <br> Data | 17.7 | 18.0 |  |
|  <br> teachers present |  | 17.0 | 19.6 |  |


| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | Insufficient Data |  | 15.3 | 8.3 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available |  |  | 3.9 | 4.2 |
| Schools with water provision and water available |  |  | 80.8 | 87.5 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | Insufficient Data |  | 42.2 | 29.2 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used |  |  | 11.8 | 25.0 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use |  |  | 46.1 | 45.8 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | Insuffi Da | ficient ta | 97.5 | 100.0 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 93.1 | 93.5 | 87.3 | 87.4 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 92.6 |  | 88.0 |  |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools in which... |  | \% Schools |
| Std II class sits with <br> another class | 61.9 | 78.3 |
| Std IV class sits with <br> another class | 56.8 | 62.5 |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


[^3]
## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Bilaspur | 94.6 | 0.5 | 25.6 | 88.5 | 89.7 | 87.2 | 88.5 | 84.2 | 50.3 |
| Chamba | 86.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 84.4 | 89.3 | 69.6 | 71.1 | 66.1 | 28.6 |
| Hamirpur | 94.1 | 0.0 | 36.0 | 95.5 | 97.2 | 93.8 | 91.1 | 91.4 | 54.9 |
| Kangra | 93.5 | 0.1 | 36.1 | 95.0 | 96.7 | 87.7 | 83.9 | 74.9 | 40.9 |
| Kinnaur | 85.9 | 0.1 | 12.4 | 95.5 | 94.6 | 89.4 | 81.7 | 74.5 | 42.2 |
| Kullu | 86.2 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 91.6 | 94.2 | 74.2 | 84.9 | 83.4 | 48.9 |
| Lahul\&Spiti | 90.4 | 1.0 | 13.3 | 85.5 | 89.2 | 77.1 | 88.5 | 78.5 | 50.8 |
| Mandi | 96.7 | 1.1 | 19.3 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 84.5 | 90.1 | 89.5 | 44.9 |
| Shimla | 79.2 | 0.9 | 21.3 | 99.5 | 99.0 | 87.9 | 95.3 | 92.0 | 57.2 |
| Sirmaur | 89.8 | 1.6 | 10.9 | 87.3 | 89.0 | 64.3 | 68.0 | 66.4 | 24.8 |
| Solan | 96.4 | 0.7 | 18.3 | 89.0 | 91.1 | 84.2 | 88.3 | 86.2 | 60.5 |
| Una | 98.7 | 0.6 | 21.2 | 84.1 | 86.7 | 81.0 | 79.3 | 65.5 | 38.2 |
| Total | 91.4 | 1.0 | 22.6 | 92.1 | 93.6 | 82.4 | 84.7 | 80.0 | 44.2 |




All analysis based on data from 13 out of 13 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school | Total | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa <br> + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 75.9 | 21.0 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 100 | 71.9 | 25.0 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 76.4 | 18.5 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 100 | 72.8 | 22.5 | 0.8 | 4.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 75.4 | 22.0 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 100 | 70.9 | 26.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 73.7 | 23.9 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 100 | 67.7 | 30.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 77.4 | 19.6 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 100 | 74.8 | 23.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 78.4 | 17.9 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 100 | 74.9 | 20.8 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 76.0 | 20.4 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 100 | 72.7 | 23.5 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 81.4 | 14.6 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 100 | 77.3 | 17.8 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 75.0 | 11.7 | 1.0 | 12.3 | 100 | 73.1 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 14.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 75.6 | 12.2 | 0.9 | 11.3 | 100 | 71.6 | 15.3 | 0.5 | 12.7 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 74.0 | 11.0 | 1.2 | 13.8 | 100 | 74.8 | 8.7 | 0.6 | 16.0 | 100 |
| Age and Cla |  |  |  | Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 59.2 |  |  |  | 40.8 | 100 | 74.8 |  |  |  | 25.2 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 80.2 |  |  |  | 19.8 | 100 | 77.8 |  |  |  | 22.2 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 32.5 | 26.9 | 13.0 | 0.6 | 27.0 | 100 | 19.0 | 45.7 | 28.1 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 6.5 | 63.1 | 27.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 100 | 1.9 | 63.6 | 32.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rect } \\ & \text { Nur } \end{aligned}$ | gnize bers |  |  |  |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | (Std 1) <br> Text | (Std 2 text) | Total | Std. | Nothing | 1-9 | 10-99 | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 31.2 | 42.3 | 17.4 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 100 | I | 29.4 | 40.1 | 22.3 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 100 |
| II | 9.4 | 30.1 | 37.5 | 12.6 | 10.4 | 100 | II | 10.9 | 31.1 | 40.3 | 10.4 | 7.3 | 100 |
| III | 3.3 | 13.5 | 30.4 | 26.1 | 26.7 | 100 | III | 4.0 | 17.0 | 34.0 | 29.5 | 15.6 | 100 |
| IV | 1.2 | 7.0 | 17.4 | 27.8 | 46.6 | 100 | IV | 1.3 | 10.0 | 20.6 | 34.9 | 33.2 | 100 |
| V | 1.1 | 2.7 | 7.5 | 18.3 | 70.4 | 100 | V | 0.8 | 4.3 | 12.1 | 29.5 | 53.3 | 100 |
| VI | 0.3 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 10.5 | 84.3 | 100 | VI | 0.3 | 2.3 | 8.4 | 23.4 | 65.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 8.3 | 89.5 | 100 | VII | 0.2 | 1.7 | 5.2 | 17.2 | 75.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 92.0 | 100 | VIII | 0.2 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 14.8 | 80.6 | 100 |
| Total | 7.0 | 14.4 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 47.6 | 100 | Total | 7.0 | 15.4 | 20.1 | 20.4 | 37.1 | 100 |

## Comparisons



| English reading level: \% Children who |  |  |  |  |  |  | English comprehension |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| I | 45.1 | 27.9 | 13.1 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 100 | I | 67.2 | 83.7 |
| II | 22.7 | 34.3 | 21.5 | 12.2 | 9.4 | 100 | II | 61.0 | 87.5 |
| III | 12.8 | 24.4 | 22.1 | 21.5 | 19.2 | 100 | III | 61.6 | 81.4 |
| IV | 5.7 | 16.0 | 20.6 | 31.4 | 26.4 | 100 | IV | 62.6 | 84.4 |
| V | 3.4 | 9.8 | 12.8 | 32.7 | 41.3 | 100 | V | 66.5 | 87.8 |
| VI | 2.1 | 4.1 | 8.9 | 25.8 | 59.0 | 100 | VI | 71.6 | 85.8 |
| VII | 1.8 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 19.6 | 69.9 | 100 | VII | 68.0 | 84.4 |
| VIII | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 16.5 | 77.1 | 100 | VIII | 71.9 | 87.0 |
| Total | 13.7 | 17.0 | 14.6 | 20.7 | 34.1 | 100 | Total | 65.7 | 85.6 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 23.7 | 7.2 | 4.2 | Word | 4.7 | 25.6 | 12.8 |
| Std 1 level text | 17.8 | 64.8 | 17.3 | Std 1 level text | 11.5 | 69.5 | 25.8 |
| Std 2 level text | 31.1 | 91.5 | 83.2 | Std 2 level text | 80.3 | 95.6 | 91.6 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 69.7 | 5.5 | 23.5 |
| 9 to 10 | 33.9 | 8.2 | 56.5 |
| 11 to 14 | 13.3 | 6.6 | 79.1 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 90.3 | 1.0 | 8.7 |
| 9 to 10 | 78.6 | 0.0 | 21.4 |
| 11 to 14 | 53.3 | 5.1 | 40.6 |











Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer
Children in age group : 6 to 10

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

Children in age group : 11 to 14

| Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in specific |  |  |  |
| Arithmetic <br> level | Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | None | arithmetic level who can solve |  |
| Simple <br> problem <br> only | Both <br> problems |  |  |  |
| Number <br> recognition <br> 10-99 | 8.6 | 59.5 | 14.8 | 23.7 |
| Subtraction | 21.1 | 17.5 | 12.2 | 67.9 |
| Division | 66.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 93.5 |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 3.6 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 8.8 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 13.1 | 17.9 | 21.3 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 20.7 | 26.4 | 24.6 |  |

Uttarakhand rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 306; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 14

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 75.6 | 92.9 | 92.1 | 97.2 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 8.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 54.1 | 80.0 | 81.4 | 91.7 |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 86.2 | 87.5 | 85.6 | 90.1 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 2.7 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 7.1 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 84.6 | 92.9 | 79.0 | 85.7 |



| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 24.9 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 6.5 | 6.7 | 9.8 | 7.1 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 68.6 | 73.3 | 68.9 | 92.9 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 25.7 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 0.0 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 19.3 | 28.6 | 16.3 | 21.4 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 55.1 | 57.1 | 74.7 | 78.6 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 84.7 | 73.3 | 98.0 | 92.9 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.


Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Uttarkashi | 79.1 | 1.3 | 20.7 | 76.5 | 77.2 | 61.4 | 68.3 | 61.9 | 21.7 |
| Chamoli | 87.5 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 84.6 | 87.5 | 74.1 | 72.8 | 71.3 | 40.3 |
| Rudraprayag | 71.1 | 0.3 | 16.9 | 85.0 | 82.3 | 73.7 | 75.4 | 69.6 | 24.8 |
| Tehri Garhwal | 66.7 | 0.8 | 20.5 | 75.4 | 68.8 | 45.0 | 75.1 | 63.7 | 15.1 |
| Dehradun* |  | 2.6 | 33.1 | 74.5 | 75.7 | 63.2 | 67.6 | 56.0 | 39.9 |
| Pauri Garhwal | 84.4 | 0.7 | 22.2 | 82.4 | 87.6 | 73.7 | 78.1 | 71.1 | 35.8 |
| Pithoragarh | 89.3 | 1.1 | 18.8 | 78.4 | 75.7 | 56.5 | 77.1 | 67.3 | 35.4 |
| Bageshwar | 64.4 | 1.6 | 21.8 | 89.2 | 87.9 | 75.5 | 79.3 | 69.0 | 52.2 |
| Almora | 61.0 | 0.4 | 13.0 | 76.3 | 73.0 | 69.4 | 72.0 | 65.4 | 18.3 |
| Champawat | 52.9 | 0.8 | 13.1 | 80.6 | 81.0 | 73.6 | 84.7 | 77.5 | 41.4 |
| Nainital* |  | 2.1 | 20.5 | 84.0 | 86.8 | 77.4 | 81.7 | 77.9 | 67.7 |
| Udham Singh Nagar | 76.7 | 6.8 | 30.4 | 79.2 | 81.2 | 60.9 | 62.3 | 60.2 | 18.0 |
| Haridwar | 92.6 | 3.5 | 43.3 | 76.4 | 78.2 | 66.7 | 63.7 | 58.1 | 16.6 |
| Total | 76.2 | 2.2 | 25.0 | 79.2 | 79.4 | 65.6 | 71.7 | 65.1 | 28.9 |



* Blank cells indicate insufficient data.
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## थात्तम्दी प्रमउब- 2



All analysis based on data from 19 out of 19 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 54.9 | 41.5 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 100 | 64.5 | 31.8 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 57.5 | 37.2 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 100 | 64.3 | 30.5 | 0.6 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 50.7 | 46.7 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 100 | 64.2 | 33.1 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 47.8 | 49.6 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 100 | 61.9 | 35.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 55.0 | 42.6 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 100 | 67.4 | 30.0 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 61.4 | 34.0 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 100 | 66.9 | 28.4 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 58.8 | 37.1 | 0.2 | 3.9 | 100 | 65.7 | 30.1 | 0.6 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 64.6 | 30.2 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 100 | 68.5 | 26.2 | 0.5 | 4.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 62.3 | 25.9 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 100 | 57.0 | 28.5 | 0.8 | 13.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 61.8 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 100 | 56.7 | 29.6 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 62.9 | 25.4 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 100 | 57.4 | 27.0 | 0.8 | 14.8 | 100 |
| Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 54.5 |  |  |  | 45.5 | 100 | 69.3 |  |  |  | 30.7 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 71.4 |  |  |  | 28.6 | 100 | 78.5 |  |  |  | 21.5 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 21.2 | 22.8 | 46.1 | 1.1 | 8.8 | 100 | 19.2 | 34.2 | 39.7 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 3.8 | 37.3 | 54.7 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 100 | 4.9 | 50.2 | 40.9 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71 |

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Level 1 | Level 2 |  |  |  |  | gnize bers |  |  |  |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | (Std 1) <br> Text | (Std 2 text) | Total | Std. | Nothing | 1-9 | 10-99 | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 19.9 | 47.4 | 22.0 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 100 | I | 22.3 | 44.3 | 20.6 | 7.1 | 5.7 | 100 |
| II | 6.2 | 28.9 | 37.7 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 100 | II | 9.4 | 32.6 | 29.5 | 17.8 | 10.7 | 100 |
| III | 2.9 | 15.0 | 28.4 | 24.4 | 29.4 | 100 | III | 3.8 | 19.7 | 24.3 | 31.7 | 20.4 | 100 |
| IV | 2.0 | 8.5 | 17.7 | 24.2 | 47.6 | 100 | IV | 2.3 | 11.1 | 17.1 | 31.2 | 38.4 | 100 |
| V | 0.9 | 4.9 | 9.0 | 20.5 | 64.7 | 100 | V | 1.7 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 27.7 | 54.1 | 100 |
| VI | 0.8 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 14.3 | 73.0 | 100 | VI | 1.3 | 5.0 | 8.9 | 21.6 | 63.3 | 100 |
| VII | 0.5 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 82.2 | 100 | VII | 0.6 | 3.5 | 5.0 | 16.7 | 74.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 6.8 | 88.6 | 100 | VIII | 0.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 12.0 | 81.9 | 100 |
| Total | 3.9 | 13.6 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 50.6 | 100 | Total | 4.9 | 15.2 | 14.9 | 21.5 | 43.5 | 100 |

## Comparisons



## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 32.3 | 32.7 | 18.7 | 10.4 | 5.9 | 100 |
| II | 19.8 | 27.3 | 25.5 | 17.7 | 9.7 | 100 |
| III | 12.8 | 19.2 | 25.3 | 24.6 | 18.1 | 100 |
| IV | 8.6 | 13.7 | 17.8 | 30.8 | 29.2 | 100 |
| V | 4.9 | 9.4 | 12.8 | 29.8 | 43.2 | 100 |
| VI | 3.3 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 24.8 | 53.4 | 100 |
| VII | 2.5 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 20.2 | 67.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.7 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 13.4 | 76.8 | 100 |
| Total | 10.4 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 22.1 | 37.6 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | English comprehension |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Of those who <br> can read words, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| I | 49.0 | 86.5 |
| II | 64.6 | 88.4 |
| III | 57.7 | 89.2 |
| IV | 65.3 | 83.3 |
| V | 64.2 | 88.2 |
| VI | 70.8 | 90.4 |
| VII | 66.3 | 90.3 |
| VIII | 71.6 | 92.7 |
| Total | 64.4 | 89.6 |

## Comprehension \＆Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group ： 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group ： 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \％Children in specific reading level | \％at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \％Children in specific reading level | \％at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 23.0 | 14.5 | 7.8 | Word | 7.1 | 36.2 | 24.3 |
| Std 1 level text | 18.7 | 72.5 | 38.8 | Std 1 level text | 13.2 | 81.2 | 51.4 |
| Std 2 level text | 35.1 | 94.4 | 90.0 | Std 2 level text | 74.8 | 97.5 | 94.4 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In－school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \％Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 67.2 | 3.5 | 28.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 32.0 | 4.7 | 61.7 |
| 11 to 14 | 12.9 | 3.5 | 82.2 |
| Children not in－school |  |  |  |
| Age group | \％Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 87.1 | 2.2 | 8.4 |
| 9 to 10 | 69.0 | 4.5 | 22.5 |
| 11 to 14 | 54.7 | 3.4 | 39.7 |

## 相掅 we It wet <br> 畨 <br>  




 nat loph a





## Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to

a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group ：6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \％Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \％Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std． | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| Govt． <br> school | 9.1 | 11.7 | 13.8 | 13.6 | 16.2 | 14.6 | 12.7 | 20.4 |
| Pvt． <br> school | 22.8 | 20.9 | 23.0 | 30.9 | 28.7 | 20.7 | 26.2 | 29.6 |



School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 358; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 48

|  | 2005 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> 185 | Std. <br> $1-45$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ <br> 345 |
|  | 76.5 | 74.2 | 85.3 | 87.1 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 6.3 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 48.0 | 21.5 | 55.7 | 46.5 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  |  |  |  |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 90.0 | 87.2 | 80.6 | 81.5 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 2.2 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 2.2 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 80.0 | 89.2 | 72.5 | 77.8 |


|  | 2005 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median ) <br>  <br> Std. <br> $1-8$Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 32.2 | 26.2 | 31.9 | 26.9 |
|  <br> teachers present | 35.5 | 28.5 | 29.4 | 24.0 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 5.4 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 12.5 | 16.7 | 11.5 | 6.3 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 82.1 | 81.0 | 84.5 | 91.7 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 5.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 85.6 | 92.7 | 89.3 | 100.0 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 20.5 | 9.8 | 83.2 | 89.6 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.
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## Performance of districts

|  | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% <br> Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Bhatinda | 60.2 | 3.7 | 36.3 | 95.7 | 91.9 | 80.8 | 84.7 | 84.9 | 47.1 |
| Faridkot | 76.8 | 5.7 | 39.3 | 91.4 | 90.9 | 83.2 | 55.2 | 60.3 | 10.2 |
| Fatehgarh Sahib | 76.9 | 1.8 | 35.4 | 88.0 | 85.5 | 84.2 | 75.5 | 72.8 | 32.0 |
| Firozpur | 91.6 | 8.8 | 28.4 | 82.3 | 82.7 | 68.4 | 58.3 | 62.1 | 20.9 |
| Gurdaspur | 93.3 | 1.1 | 38.7 | 91.8 | 86.8 | 84.1 | 87.9 | 86.6 | 35.9 |
| Hoshiarpur | 72.1 | 1.0 | 17.4 | 92.8 | 88.7 | 85.4 | 71.6 | 65.1 | 22.8 |
| Jalandhar | 57.5 | 6.2 | 36.4 | 95.8 | 92.4 | 90.4 | 72.5 | 72.3 | 29.9 |
| Kapurthala | 54.4 | 2.8 | 38.9 | 80.5 | 78.8 | 68.7 | 52.6 | 47.7 | 28.8 |
| Ludhiana | 62.5 | 1.6 | 20.4 | 80.5 | 67.5 | 50.3 | 77.1 | 75.2 | 36.5 |
| Mansa | 53.7 | 3.2 | 38.2 | 90.3 | 88.0 | 77.6 | 72.8 | 68.7 | 36.9 |
| Moga | 77.4 | 4.5 | 34.6 | 92.9 | 91.6 | 87.2 | 81.8 | 74.3 | 48.0 |
| Muktsar | 71.4 | 3.9 | 29.2 | 87.3 | 91.6 | 80.9 | 73.4 | 71.6 | 34.7 |
| Nawashehar | 93.8 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 93.1 | 86.1 | 77.1 | 73.1 | 71.7 | 32.2 |
| Sangrur* |  | 0.5 | 32.2 | 98.2 | 96.3 | 88.8 | 91.3 | 91.3 | 45.6 |
| SAS Nagar | 77.3 | 1.2 | 35.6 | 95.2 | 94.2 | 93.7 | 71.7 | 70.6 | 43.4 |
| Patiala | 53.5 | 4.4 | 40.8 | 73.3 | 78.2 | 60.2 | 66.5 | 49.8 | 23.9 |
| Rupnagar | 80.6 | 0.3 | 40.4 | 92.9 | 88.3 | 84.4 | 81.9 | 86.7 | 33.6 |
| Amritsar* |  | 4.4 | 34.2 | 93.4 | 90.5 | 82.2 | 50.8 | 46.1 | 20.7 |
| Tarn Taran | 60.3 | 0.5 | 41.4 | 61.5 | 63.8 | 34.2 | 27.8 | 26.9 | 4.3 |
| Total | 73.2 | 2.9 | 31.8 | 87.2 | 84.5 | 74.3 | 71.4 | 68.9 | 31.1 |
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All analysis based on data from 20 out of 20 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 51.3 | 43.1 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 100 | 59.9 | 36.0 | 0.5 | 3.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 52.3 | 40.3 | 0.6 | 6.8 | 100 | 59.4 | 34.6 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 50.2 | 45.8 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 100 | 60.5 | 36.9 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 46.2 | 51.2 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 100 | 56.6 | 41.3 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 55.5 | 38.7 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 100 | 65.5 | 31.2 | 0.5 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 54.5 | 38.8 | 0.5 | 6.2 | 100 | 60.4 | 33.5 | 0.5 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 51.5 | 43.7 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 100 | 57.6 | 37.7 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 58.5 | 32.4 | 0.8 | 8.4 | 100 | 64.0 | 28.4 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 51.9 | 32.4 | 0.6 | 15.1 | 100 | 53.2 | 30.8 | 0.3 | 15.7 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 51.9 | 35.2 | 0.4 | 12.5 | 100 | 52.6 | 33.4 | 0.1 | 13.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 51.9 | 27.8 | 1.0 | 19.4 | 100 | 54.2 | 27.2 | 0.5 | 18.2 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  | Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/ Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 72.3 |  |  |  | 27.7 | 100 | 77.5 |  |  |  | 22.5 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 75.4 |  |  |  | 24.6 | 100 | 76.5 |  |  |  | 23.5 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 31.4 | 20.9 | 35.6 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 100 | 17.0 | 36.6 | 37.3 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 2.1 | 41.2 | 49.0 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 100 | 3.4 | 51.5 | 40.5 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 32.7 | 40.5 | 16.2 | 4.2 | 6.4 | 100 |
| II | 11.0 | 27.2 | 30.4 | 15.3 | 16.2 | 100 |
| III | 5.1 | 16.0 | 24.8 | 22.6 | 31.5 | 100 |
| IV | 2.6 | 6.7 | 16.3 | 23.7 | 50.8 | 100 |
| V | 1.6 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 17.4 | 70.5 | 100 |
| VI | 1.0 | 1.3 | 3.9 | 11.3 | 82.5 | 100 |
| VII | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 7.2 | 88.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 5.5 | 92.0 | 100 |
| Total | 7.9 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 13.5 | 51.7 | 100 |


| Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 30.6 | 38.6 | 21.2 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 100 |
| II | 11.2 | 27.3 | 32.2 | 17.8 | 11.6 | 100 |
| III | 5.7 | 14.2 | 27.4 | 27.8 | 25.0 | 100 |
| IV | 3.4 | 7.1 | 15.5 | 30.4 | 43.7 | 100 |
| V | 2.1 | 3.5 | 8.9 | 24.2 | 61.3 | 100 |
| VI | 1.3 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 17.5 | 74.3 | 100 |
| VII | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 13.2 | 81.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 88.0 | 100 |
| Total | 7.8 | 13.0 | 15.3 | 18.0 | 45.8 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons



| English reading level: \% Children who |  |  |  |  |  |  | English comprehension |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| I | 45.1 | 27.6 | 15.5 | 6.8 | 5.0 | 100 | I | 42.3 | 81.8 |
| II | 23.9 | 26.2 | 22.3 | 16.1 | 11.4 | 100 | II | 43.7 | 81.3 |
| III | 15.4 | 19.3 | 20.9 | 23.9 | 20.5 | 100 | III | 48.7 | 81.6 |
| IV | 9.2 | 12.6 | 18.8 | 26.4 | 33.0 | 100 | IV | 58.0 | 84.5 |
| V | 6.2 | 8.1 | 13.1 | 24.6 | 47.9 | 100 | V | 53.3 | 85.3 |
| VI | 3.3 | 3.8 | 9.5 | 21.6 | 61.8 | 100 | VI | 59.8 | 87.7 |
| VII | 1.9 | 3.2 | 7.3 | 15.0 | 72.5 | 100 | VII | 61.4 | 91.5 |
| VIII | 1.2 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 12.4 | 80.9 | 100 | VIII | 53.2 | 90.2 |
| Total | 14.6 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 18.2 | 39.0 | 100 | Total | 53.2 | 87.5 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 19.3 | 7.0 | 4.6 | Word | 4.8 | 16.7 | 12.7 |
| Std 1 level text | 16.6 | 55.2 | 28.4 | Std 1 level text | 11.0 | 68.6 | 35.2 |
| Std 2 level text | 36.6 | 91.1 | 88.2 | Std 2 level text | 80.2 | 95.5 | 93.6 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 69.3 | 3.2 | 26.9 |
| 9 to 10 | 36.3 | 4.2 | 58.8 |
| 11 to 14 | 16.0 | 3.7 | 79.7 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 91.0 | 0.5 | 7.2 |
| 9 to 10 | 72.7 | 2.7 | 24.6 |
| 11 to 14 | 59.4 | 1.8 | 38.2 |

## 4नात क्ष प्ता है वुद काओ वस्ता का है   <br>  <br> 













 Fhod wall nitir

Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer
Children in age group : 6 to 10


## level

Number recognition 10-99
Subtraction
Division

## Arithmetic <br>  level

Number

| recognition <br> $10-99$ | 6.0 | 72.8 | 5.2 | 20.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Subtraction | 16.6 | 35.8 | 10.8 | 52.1 |
| Division | 73.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 94.7 |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 5.1 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 10.7 |  |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 11.0 | 11.1 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 17.0 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 19.5 |  |  |



Haryana rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 302; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 79

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 75.3 | 73.6 | 92.5 | 89.5 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 2.2 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 34.8 | 18.9 | 73.6 | 63.4 |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 81.4 | 82.5 | 82.0 | 84.9 |
| \% schools with less than 50\% <br> enrolled children attending | 1.9 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 74.5 | 81.7 | 80.8 | 85.5 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 36.6 | 32.1 | 36.7 | 29.2 |
|  <br> teachers present | 37.3 | 34.4 | 32.4 | 29.2 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 18.4 | 8.6 | 11.9 | 11.7 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 10.2 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 7.8 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 71.4 | 85.7 | 81.6 | 80.5 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 10.4 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 7.8 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 21.6 | 24.8 | 11.4 | 16.9 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 67.9 | 71.4 | 83.6 | 75.3 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 82.7 | 70.9 | 97.3 | 94.9 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

[^6]
## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Ambala | 82.6 | 1.0 | 40.3 | 81.6 | 84.2 | 72.0 | 62.9 | 65.1 | 29.5 |
| Bhiwani* |  | 2.7 | 37.7 | 84.4 | 80.5 | 60.4 | 73.4 | 74.9 | 36.0 |
| Fatehabad | 96.3 | 3.2 | 34.5 | 66.9 | 72.1 | 44.1 | 68.4 | 61.2 | 15.2 |
| Hisar* |  | 3.0 | 38.2 | 71.8 | 75.6 | 70.5 | 71.8 | 70.7 | 28.8 |
| Jhajjar | 94.2 | 0.7 | 45.3 | 84.7 | 87.2 | 74.2 | 79.4 | 76.5 | 42.1 |
| Jind | 81.8 | 2.6 | 31.0 | 78.3 | 69.7 | 59.1 | 66.4 | 65.0 | 28.9 |
| Kaithal | 83.3 | 3.0 | 40.2 | 84.0 | 83.1 | 77.5 | 69.2 | 73.9 | 44.8 |
| Karnal | 83.6 | 4.3 | 29.7 | 72.5 | 76.3 | 57.0 | 62.9 | 61.6 | 14.0 |
| Kurukshetra* |  | 2.1 | 37.3 | 80.4 | 83.6 | 82.9 | 67.5 | 67.6 | 51.3 |
| Mahendragarh | 90.6 | 1.8 | 42.2 | 76.8 | 78.2 | 64.9 | 79.7 | 77.8 | 47.2 |
| Panchkula | 93.6 | 1.6 | 20.3 | 88.3 | 94.4 | 87.2 | 81.7 | 93.4 | 34.4 |
| Panipat* |  | 1.7 | 38.4 | 85.1 | 87.4 | 74.9 | 81.1 | 74.9 | 36.3 |
| Rewari | 83.8 | 1.4 | 44.7 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 74.2 | 87.4 | 87.2 | 62.4 |
| Rohtak* |  | 2.0 | 48.1 | 87.5 | 87.4 | 81.7 | 75.6 | 73.1 | 42.0 |
| Sirsa | 63.0 | 4.5 | 31.8 | 85.4 | 85.6 | 67.5 | 70.9 | 72.4 | 35.3 |
| Sonipat | 90.8 | 1.9 | 45.5 | 95.7 | 93.4 | 89.4 | 85.6 | 86.7 | 51.4 |
| Yamunanagar | 74.1 | 3.9 | 32.2 | 68.4 | 76.8 | 51.0 | 67.5 | 65.8 | 30.4 |
| Mewat | 39.6 | 14.9 | 14.4 | 58.7 | 61.4 | 42.3 | 58.8 | 47.7 | 16.0 |
| Faridabad | 50.3 | 4.0 | 45.3 | 65.2 | 67.3 | 55.9 | 75.1 | 75.4 | 27.3 |
| Gurgaon | 83.4 | 3.0 | 25.7 | 78.6 | 79.1 | 54.7 | 78.1 | 76.7 | 14.3 |
| Total | 77.1 | 3.6 | 36.0 | 77.5 | 78.6 | 64.9 | 72.2 | 70.9 | 33.9 |



* Blank cells indicate insufficient data.


> Rajasthan
> Bihar
> West Bengal
> Jharkhand
> Uttar Pradesh



## RAJASTHAN rural

All analysis based on data from 32 out of 32 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa <br> + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 63.6 | 25.2 | 0.4 | 10.8 | 100 | 66.3 | 26.7 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 62.7 | 23.1 | 0.3 | 13.9 | 100 | 64.7 | 25.3 | 0.4 | 9.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 63.3 | 28.3 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 100 | 66.7 | 28.5 | 0.6 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 62.8 | 31.1 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 100 | 65.0 | 31.6 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 64.0 | 24.6 | 0.5 | 11.0 | 100 | 68.9 | 24.4 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 64.8 | 21.0 | 0.3 | 13.9 | 100 | 66.4 | 23.7 | 0.2 | 9.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 66.1 | 23.7 | 0.3 | 9.9 | 100 | 67.2 | 26.3 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 63.1 | 17.1 | 0.2 | 19.6 | 100 | 65.3 | 20.0 | 0.4 | 14.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 56.8 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 27.8 | 100 | 54.0 | 19.6 | 0.3 | 26.2 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 60.5 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 100 | 56.0 | 21.3 | 0.3 | 22.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 49.8 | 12.2 | 0.3 | 37.7 | 100 | 50.7 | 16.7 | 0.4 | 32.2 | 100 |
| Age and Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 63.3 |  |  |  | 36.7 | 100 | 60.1 |  |  |  | 39.9 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 68.7 |  |  |  | 31.3 | 100 | 60.8 |  |  |  | 39.2 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 6.0 | 48.5 | 22.2 | 0.3 | 23.0 | 100 | 11.7 | 48.6 | 30.1 | 1.1 | 8.6 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 0.8 | 59.4 | 28.6 | 0.3 | 10.9 | 100 | 3.2 | 60.5 | 29.6 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 100 |

Rajasthan rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 45.0 | 43.0 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 100 |
| II | 16.0 | 42.5 | 29.1 | 7.5 | 5.0 | 100 |
| III | 5.8 | 22.7 | 35.7 | 20.8 | 15.0 | 100 |
| IV | 2.3 | 11.5 | 25.2 | 28.8 | 32.2 | 100 |
| V | 1.2 | 5.9 | 15.7 | 26.3 | 50.9 | 100 |
| VI | 0.7 | 2.9 | 7.7 | 19.5 | 69.3 | 100 |
| VII | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 13.6 | 82.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 6.6 | 90.6 | 100 |
| Total | 9.6 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 15.7 | 40.8 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 42.2 | 43.7 | 12.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 100 |
| II | 16.2 | 42.3 | 30.8 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 100 |
| III | 7.1 | 25.7 | 36.6 | 23.0 | 7.5 | 100 |
| IV | 3.4 | 13.9 | 30.1 | 31.8 | 20.9 | 100 |
| V | 1.7 | 7.5 | 20.6 | 33.2 | 37.1 | 100 |
| VI | 1.0 | 4.5 | 14.6 | 27.3 | 52.7 | 100 |
| VII | 0.6 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 22.2 | 67.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.2 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 14.9 | 79.2 | 100 |
| Total | 9.6 | 18.6 | 20.3 | 20.2 | 31.3 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 64.0 | 25.7 | 7.3 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 100 |
| II | 40.4 | 32.7 | 18.0 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 100 |
| III | 23.1 | 29.3 | 23.3 | 20.0 | 4.2 | 100 |
| IV | 12.6 | 22.1 | 22.9 | 30.1 | 12.3 | 100 |
| V | 7.8 | 14.5 | 19.6 | 36.9 | 21.2 | 100 |
| VI | 4.2 | 9.9 | 13.7 | 35.7 | 36.5 | 100 |
| VII | 2.3 | 4.9 | 8.5 | 31.6 | 52.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.8 | 2.8 | 5.0 | 21.9 | 69.4 | 100 |
| Total | 20.4 | 18.5 | 15.2 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 100 |
| S |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

|  | Of those who <br> can read words, <br> $\%$ who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> $\%$ who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| I | 44.3 | 56.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 54.1 | 69.5 |
| III | 56.1 | 74.3 |
| IV | 63.1 | 75.3 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 63.4 | 74.0 |
| VI | 63.2 | 80.5 |
| VII | 64.0 | 80.9 |
| VIII | 65.6 | 86.5 |
| Total | 62.1 | 81.2 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 22.8 | 10.1 | 5.3 | Word | 8.3 | 19.3 | 10.6 |
| Std 1 level text | 17.3 | 60.3 | 18.6 | Std 1 level text | 16.3 | 69.1 | 30.3 |
| Std 2 level text | 25.1 | 89.3 | 81.7 | Std 2 level text | 70.6 | 94.5 | 89.8 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 75.6 | 5.4 | 17.6 |
| 9 to 10 | 42.8 | 9.0 | 46.4 |
| 11 to 14 | 19.1 | 7.1 | 72.1 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 93.1 | 0.9 | 5.3 |
| 9 to 10 | 76.2 | 5.3 | 18.5 |
| 11 to 14 | 50.8 | 7.0 | 39.9 |

##     <br>  












Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

## Children in age group : 6 to 10

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |


| Children in age group : 11 to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| Govt. <br> school | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 8.9 |
| Pvt. <br> school | 6.8 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 13.6 | 13.1 | 19.6 |



School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 365; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 449

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 80.7 | 79.2 | 91.6 | 85.4 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 5.4 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 55.8 | 37.5 | 75.0 | 49.6 |




| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 71.3 | 75.2 | 67.3 | 72.4 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 11.8 | 4.4 | 14.9 | 9.1 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 49.2 | 56.0 | 40.1 | 53.2 |



Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.
*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% <br> Children <br> (Age 6-14) <br> in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Ajmer | 72.5 | 8.2 | 17.5 | 64.2 | 67.8 | 48.9 | 58.4 | 46.6 | 9.0 |
| Alwar | 66.7 | 5.0 | 36.3 | 71.3 | 74.1 | 52.9 | 66.8 | 65.4 | 23.6 |
| Banswara | 75.0 | 10.5 | 11.0 | 52.0 | 57.1 | 33.7 | 30.6 | 17.4 | 2.3 |
| Baran | 65.2 | 6.2 | 23.1 | 61.2 | 65.9 | 39.3 | 47.9 | 47.1 | 8.8 |
| Barmer | 55.1 | 9.0 | 3.8 | 63.3 | 62.1 | 52.7 | 66.7 | 66.2 | 31.6 |
| Bharatpur | 49.0 | 6.2 | 46.3 | 64.0 | 66.7 | 57.5 | 60.4 | 59.4 | 23.5 |
| Bhilwara | 71.6 | 5.4 | 9.6 | 87.4 | 85.3 | 55.0 | 60.1 | 51.4 | 10.8 |
| Bikaner | 53.4 | 14.1 | 26.7 | 60.1 | 58.6 | 32.7 | 57.4 | 41.1 | 7.7 |
| Bundi | 58.5 | 5.4 | 19.7 | 78.2 | 78.1 | 51.8 | 53.2 | 51.5 | 9.2 |
| Chittaurgarh | 81.0 | 5.2 | 12.5 | 67.7 | 64.5 | 33.5 | 51.2 | 42.2 | 6.7 |
| Churu | 72.5 | 7.8 | 43.2 | 61.5 | 58.0 | 33.0 | 52.7 | 42.1 | 7.2 |
| Dausa | 49.0 | 3.4 | 31.1 | 71.4 | 69.1 | 45.1 | 64.9 | 57.7 | 16.1 |
| Dhaulpur | 56.9 | 5.5 | 28.2 | 68.5 | 73.1 | 48.7 | 51.2 | 49.5 | 12.6 |
| Dungarpur | 62.8 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 79.8 | 81.4 | 46.2 | 48.6 | 38.2 | 4.2 |
| Ganganagar | 48.9 | 6.3 | 32.2 | 87.7 | 88.1 | 69.4 | 76.5 | 80.9 | 14.1 |
| Hanumangarh | 78.8 | 2.7 | 35.7 | 77.3 | 75.1 | 52.6 | 73.5 | 58.1 | 15.9 |
| Jaipur | 45.5 | 2.3 | 38.8 | 71.5 | 75.5 | 51.6 | 67.9 | 64.3 | 15.5 |
| Jaisalmer | 63.6 | 14.3 | 4.0 | 60.5 | 67.8 | 40.7 | 53.5 | 46.7 | 8.8 |
| Jalor | 71.5 | 9.6 | 13.1 | 58.7 | 55.7 | 34.6 | 55.4 | 48.5 | 8.9 |
| Jhalawar | 75.6 | 10.2 | 17.7 | 67.2 | 68.0 | 40.3 | 49.9 | 42.2 | 8.6 |
| Jhunjhunu | 44.6 | 1.6 | 48.5 | 76.2 | 76.0 | 56.1 | 72.4 | 65.2 | 23.8 |
| Jodhpur | 56.0 | 9.9 | 24.3 | 69.3 | 68.0 | 53.7 | 58.4 | 45.3 | 12.9 |
| Karauli | 43.1 | 5.9 | 32.9 | 64.0 | 68.3 | 47.6 | 52.2 | 50.0 | 8.1 |
| Kota | 40.9 | 3.2 | 47.2 | 68.5 | 71.8 | 47.5 | 50.4 | 45.0 | 11.7 |
| Nagaur | 40.5 | 5.6 | 37.0 | 67.1 | 65.5 | 46.6 | 57.4 | 48.9 | 10.6 |
| Pali | 62.7 | 7.3 | 19.3 | 63.5 | 70.0 | 38.1 | 50.4 | 43.7 | 8.6 |
| Rajasamand | 87.3 | 3.5 | 8.2 | 82.4 | 82.0 | 67.4 | 63.3 | 45.8 | 5.8 |
| Sawai Madhopur | 56.5 | 5.8 | 26.6 | 75.5 | 75.8 | 56.4 | 50.8 | 54.0 | 16.4 |
| Sikar | 54.7 | 2.1 | 47.6 | 87.4 | 88.9 | 72.7 | 64.4 | 64.2 | 8.3 |
| Sirohi | 62.5 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 63.8 | 64.9 | 37.6 | 44.1 | 41.9 | 10.0 |
| Tonk | 65.0 | 7.0 | 34.6 | 70.1 | 74.7 | 53.9 | 55.7 | 48.8 | 13.4 |
| Udaipur | 56.8 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 66.1 | 69.4 | 31.6 | 52.4 | 37.3 | 8.4 |
| Total | 60.5 | 6.5 | 26.7 | 69.6 | 70.9 | 47.9 | 57.9 | 51.1 | 12.6 |



All analysis based on data from 37 out of 37 districts

## Enrollment

|  |  | enrol | nt and 0 | of school | ol ch | dren 2 | vs. 20 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |
|  | \% Child diffe | $1 \text { each }$ types | ge group in schools | \% Out of school |  | \% Child | l eac types | ge group in schools | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 72.2 | 11.5 | 3.4 | 12.8 | 100 | 84.1 | 7.4 | 2.1 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 72.0 | 10.7 | 3.2 | 14.2 | 100 | 83.2 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 72.6 | 12.7 | 3.7 | 11.1 | 100 | 84.7 | 7.7 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 73.2 | 14.0 | 3.4 | 9.4 | 100 | 84.3 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 71.7 | 11.0 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 100 | 85.2 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 73.2 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 14.6 | 100 | 83.3 | 6.3 | 1.8 | 8.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 75.2 | 10.3 | 2.2 | 12.3 | 100 | 83.6 | 7.0 | 1.6 | 7.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 70.4 | 8.4 | 3.5 | 17.6 | 100 | 82.9 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 9.7 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 66.2 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 26.2 | 100 | 73.6 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 17.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 67.9 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 25.1 | 100 | 74.4 | 6.5 | 2.3 | 16.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 63.2 | 5.7 | 2.9 | 28.2 | 100 | 72.2 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 20.0 | 100 |
| Age and Class |  |  |  | Note: "Not in school |  | cludes chil | ho hav | gone to scho | or have dro | ed out. |

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 47.4 |  |  |  | 52.6 | 100 | 63.9 |  |  |  | 36.1 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 56.4 |  |  |  | 43.7 | 100 | 71.0 |  |  |  | 29.1 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 29.6 | 33.4 | 7.4 | 2.0 | 27.6 | 100 | 20.4 | 59.5 | 6.1 | 1.8 | 12.2 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 0.4 | 68.2 | 12.6 | 4.1 | 14.8 | 100 | 6.4 | 76.3 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 100 |

Bihar rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 37.3 | 40.6 | 15.2 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 100 |
| II | 11.3 | 29.0 | 33.0 | 14.3 | 12.3 | 100 |
| III | 5.0 | 15.4 | 25.8 | 25.6 | 28.2 | 100 |
| IV | 3.3 | 7.4 | 16.5 | 23.0 | 49.8 | 100 |
| V | 1.9 | 4.2 | 10.2 | 15.7 | 67.9 | 100 |
| VI | 1.4 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 11.6 | 78.7 | 100 |
| VII | 1.3 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 9.5 | 84.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 6.0 | 90.1 | 100 |
| Total | 11.0 | 17.2 | 16.8 | 14.1 | 41.0 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 39.7 | 35.9 | 16.6 | 5.5 | 2.3 | 100 |
| II | 14.3 | 27.2 | 32.1 | 18.7 | 7.8 | 100 |
| III | 6.9 | 15.4 | 22.4 | 33.4 | 22.0 | 100 |
| IV | 4.3 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 31.2 | 42.5 | 100 |
| V | 2.2 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 21.1 | 63.1 | 100 |
| VI | 1.7 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 16.8 | 73.6 | 100 |
| VII | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 82.3 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 7.5 | 87.2 | 100 |
| Total | 12.4 | 16.2 | 15.8 | 18.9 | 36.7 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 60.2 | 23.6 | 10.2 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 100 |
| II | 31.6 | 29.2 | 20.9 | 13.3 | 5.1 | 100 |
| III | 16.9 | 21.7 | 22.6 | 25.8 | 13.0 | 100 |
| IV | 10.1 | 13.1 | 19.0 | 32.0 | 25.8 | 100 |
| V | 6.7 | 8.7 | 13.7 | 29.8 | 41.2 | 100 |
| VI | 4.2 | 6.0 | 8.9 | 26.1 | 54.8 | 100 |
| VII | 3.1 | 3.5 | 6.1 | 18.6 | 68.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.6 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 14.6 | 75.5 | 100 |
| Total | 22.6 | 16.6 | 14.7 | 19.6 | 26.5 | 100 |

## English comprehension

| Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| I | 39.4 | 63.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 43.9 | 74.9 |
| III | 52.2 | 81.8 |
| IV | 57.8 | 81.5 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 59.7 | 84.5 |
| VI | 62.5 | 87.7 |
| VII | 62.0 | 86.7 |
| VIII | 64.7 | 88.4 |
| Total | 55.8 | 85.1 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading leve | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 21.7 | 10.4 | 7.2 | Word | 7.9 | 16.3 | 12.4 |
| Std 1 level text | 16.0 | 62.0 | 24.8 | Std 1 level text | 13.0 | 69.0 | 35.9 |
| Std 2 level text | 28.2 | 89.8 | 85.9 | Std 2 level text | 72.0 | 94.0 | 90.5 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 73.9 | 4.0 | 21.4 |
| 9 to 10 | 41.3 | 5.5 | 52.2 |
| 11 to 14 | 21.3 | 4.8 | 73.0 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 92.0 | 1.7 | 5.9 |
| 9 to 10 | 83.1 | 4.9 | 11.9 |
| 11 to 14 | 70.2 | 3.7 | 25.0 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

Children in age group : 6 to 10

|  |
| :--- |
| Arithmetic |
| level |
|  |
| Number |
| recognition |
| $10-99$ |
| Subtraction |
| Division |


| Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | \% Children in specific |  |  |
| Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | None | Simple <br> problem <br> only | Both <br> problems |
| 19.8 | 83.1 | 4.3 | 11.6 |
| 21.4 | 48.8 | 10.6 | 39.4 |
| 24.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 87.2 |


| Children in age group : $\mathbf{1 1}$ to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic level | \% <br> Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 8.1 | 75.6 | 4.4 | 18.9 |
| Subtraction | 16.7 | 35.5 | 12.1 | 51.2 |
| Division | 66.9 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 92.1 |

Bihar rural
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School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 442; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 454

| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 79.6 | 75.6 | 86.2 | 85.8 |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 5.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 50.8 | 32.2 | 57.0 | 46.3 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 5007 |  |  |  |


| Pupil teacher ratio (median) | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ \text { 1-8 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Based on children enrolled \& teachers appointed | 56.5 | 64.1 | 49.5 | 63.6 |
| Based on children \& teachers present | 35.0 | 44.1 | 33.8 | 42.2 |
| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | 21.4 | 10.0 | 21.8 | 9.8 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available | 14.3 | 18.2 | 11.9 | 8.9 |
| Schools with water provision and water available | 64.3 | 71.8 | 66.3 | 81.3 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | 63.4 | 34.7 | 40.6 | 19.1 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used | 14.8 | 29.2 | 17.2 | 21.3 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use | 21.8 | 36.1 | 42.2 | 59.6 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | 38.4 | 38.9 | 62.7 | 64.2 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 53.4 | 52.9 | 59.4 | 56.7 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 38.1 | 42.7 | 29.9 | 34.6 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 12.6 | 17.2 | 22.6 | 18.3 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 59.2 | 52.2 | 30.1 | 29.0 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 67.7 |  | 41.2 |  |
|  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Multigrade classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 69.1 |  | 55.6 |  |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 64.2 |  | 52.6 |  |

Over $85 \%$ of classes that were visited have blackboards. In over $70 \%$ of these classes, children have textbooks, and in $80 \%$ of classes children have pens and note books.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.
*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.


## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | $\%$ Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Pashchim Champaran | 67.2 | 11.8 | 7.6 | 77.0 | 76.0 | 46.0 | 69.8 | 65.3 | 20.0 |
| Purbi Champaran | 85.6 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 91.6 | 89.0 | 76.7 | 77.9 | 84.6 | 41.7 |
| Sheohar | 44.4 | 9.9 | 3.6 | 60.0 | 55.6 | 36.8 | 48.5 | 48.6 | 17.1 |
| Sitamarhi | 44.4 | 14.6 | 5.6 | 83.3 | 77.3 | 48.2 | 79.7 | 74.6 | 20.7 |
| Madhubani | 63.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 78.4 | 72.1 | 53.2 | 70.5 | 72.2 | 34.4 |
| Supaul | 66.2 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 56.0 | 54.2 | 35.7 | 50.4 | 49.8 | 13.2 |
| Araria | 66.3 | 24.0 | 4.0 | 78.0 | 78.3 | 53.0 | 64.0 | 64.9 | 27.8 |
| Kishanganj | 60.6 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 75.5 | 75.8 | 54.3 | 54.2 | 55.1 | 5.1 |
| Purnia | 80.0 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 80.6 | 67.0 | 41.8 | 70.8 | 73.3 | 20.0 |
| Katihar | 62.1 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 81.4 | 78.0 | 59.0 | 59.2 | 68.1 | 15.0 |
| Madhepura | 78.1 | 15.1 | 3.7 | 87.5 | 91.0 | 80.9 | 83.1 | 85.6 | 52.4 |
| Saharsa | 40.7 | 10.8 | 9.2 | 83.5 | 81.8 | 61.1 | 82.6 | 76.0 | 14.8 |
| Darbhanga | 76.5 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 82.4 | 75.2 | 55.7 | 61.3 | 65.7 | 26.5 |
| Muzaffarpur | 39.7 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 64.1 | 62.5 | 43.3 | 55.4 | 47.4 | 11.9 |
| Gopalganj | 99.5 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 78.8 | 80.5 | 63.0 | 70.3 | 79.1 | 23.4 |
| Siwan | 74.6 | 2.0 | 17.6 | 70.8 | 65.4 | 49.9 | 56.7 | 52.1 | 19.2 |
| Saran | 58.8 | 10.2 | 7.5 | 82.4 | 75.3 | 62.8 | 62.8 | 67.9 | 22.1 |
| Vaishali | 89.8 | 0.6 | 14.4 | 63.6 | 58.9 | 56.6 | 69.4 | 69.7 | 32.3 |
| Samastipur | 56.8 | 2.8 | 6.3 | 65.4 | 57.3 | 42.2 | 73.8 | 71.4 | 19.2 |
| Begusarai | 86.9 | 7.7 | 12.3 | 84.3 | 88.2 | 67.3 | 78.7 | 87.8 | 26.7 |
| Khagaria | 45.5 | 11.3 | 8.3 | 75.1 | 71.6 | 54.8 | 70.6 | 72.8 | 22.0 |
| Bhagalpur* |  | 4.0 | 4.8 | 71.3 | 67.8 | 48.3 | 64.8 | 72.6 | 28.7 |
| Banka | 74.7 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 62.8 | 58.9 | 42.0 | 61.2 | 62.3 | 16.3 |
| Munger | 37.1 | 4.5 | 7.8 | 73.6 | 72.0 | 59.7 | 68.7 | 71.4 | 36.5 |
| Lakhisarai | 37.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 76.9 | 79.5 | 64.1 | 78.6 | 80.1 | 38.3 |
| Sheikhpura | 55.1 | 6.6 | 2.5 | 57.7 | 61.3 | 32.0 | 70.0 | 69.5 | 25.9 |
| Nalanda | 64.2 | 7.6 | 11.0 | 67.6 | 66.5 | 50.8 | 67.3 | 63.6 | 20.5 |
| Patna | 63.3 | 3.0 | 17.7 | 75.3 | 81.4 | 59.5 | 67.5 | 68.3 | 29.0 |
| Bhojpur | 71.2 | 4.8 | 10.2 | 74.1 | 75.5 | 53.2 | 59.8 | 68.1 | 19.9 |
| Buxar | 72.1 | 4.0 | 9.1 | 84.7 | 80.9 | 65.9 | 72.4 | 74.9 | 25.5 |
| Kaimur (Bhabua) | 74.8 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 80.0 | 76.2 | 56.2 | 79.1 | 73.3 | 29.9 |
| Rohtas | 73.2 | 5.0 | 14.2 | 76.4 | 73.0 | 47.9 | 65.9 | 63.3 | 12.6 |
| Jehanabad | 68.4 | 2.1 | 5.6 | 75.4 | 83.7 | 67.0 | 74.9 | 70.6 | 30.5 |
| Aurangabad | 96.4 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 92.1 | 90.1 | 86.3 | 89.2 | 90.8 | 63.2 |
| Gaya | 67.6 | 5.2 | 9.3 | 57.6 | 58.3 | 42.3 | 65.1 | 60.1 | 19.4 |
| Nawada | 46.1 | 13.6 | 6.4 | 75.9 | 80.4 | 55.8 | 78.8 | 81.3 | 29.2 |
| Jamui | 56.5 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 74.8 | 69.9 | 42.3 | 74.0 | 76.0 | 22.9 |
| Total | 67.7 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 74.7 | 72.1 | 53.0 | 68.8 | 69.8 | 25.5 |
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All analysis based on data from 17 out of 17 districts
Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 87.4 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 7.8 | 100 | 88.8 | 4.3 | 2.1 | 4.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 84.6 | 2.5 | 1.3 | 11.6 | 100 | 87.4 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 6.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 90.8 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 100 | 92.5 | 4.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 91.0 | 3.8 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 100 | 92.0 | 4.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 90.7 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 100 | 93.0 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 83.8 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 13.0 | 100 | 83.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 10.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 82.5 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 13.7 | 100 | 83.2 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 11.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 85.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 12.1 | 100 | 84.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 8.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 69.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 29.3 | 100 | 71.3 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 22.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 65.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 33.2 | 100 | 66.0 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 28.1 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 73.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 24.9 | 100 | 77.6 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 16.1 | 100 |
| Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007


West Bengal rural

## Learning Level

| Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 19.4 | 51.4 | 20.2 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 100 |
| II | 5.9 | 20.4 | 51.6 | 17.5 | 4.7 | 100 |
| III | 3.4 | 11.7 | 20.3 | 52.1 | 12.6 | 100 |
| IV | 2.1 | 5.5 | 11.3 | 25.8 | 55.4 | 100 |
| V | 1.7 | 2.8 | 8.9 | 20.1 | 66.5 | 100 |
| VI | 0.6 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 14.8 | 78.3 | 100 |
| VII | 0.2 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 13.7 | 81.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 89.9 | 100 |
| Total | 4.7 | 13.5 | 17.8 | 22.4 | 41.7 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 18.8 | 53.0 | 19.6 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 100 |
| II | 4.0 | 23.5 | 49.6 | 19.6 | 3.3 | 100 |
| III | 3.0 | 13.3 | 19.9 | 51.2 | 12.6 | 100 |
| IV | 1.9 | 5.8 | 12.6 | 27.9 | 51.8 | 100 |
| V | 1.6 | 3.9 | 9.0 | 25.6 | 60.0 | 100 |
| VI | 1.0 | 2.1 | 6.6 | 20.5 | 69.8 | 100 |
| VII | 1.2 | 2.3 | 6.3 | 17.0 | 73.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 12.9 | 81.2 | 100 |
| Total | 4.3 | 14.7 | 18.2 | 25.0 | 37.8 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 57.6 | 26.4 | 7.6 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 100 |
| II | 25.3 | 43.8 | 18.0 | 10.7 | 2.3 | 100 |
| III | 14.3 | 21.1 | 27.7 | 32.2 | 4.8 | 100 |
| IV | 6.7 | 11.8 | 14.1 | 45.2 | 22.2 | 100 |
| V | 4.8 | 9.1 | 11.6 | 31.8 | 42.8 | 100 |
| VI | 2.8 | 5.6 | 7.9 | 29.4 | 54.3 | 100 |
| VII | 2.2 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 29.8 | 56.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.1 | 2.2 | 4.6 | 20.3 | 71.8 | 100 |
| Total | 16.0 | 18.0 | 14.0 | 26.3 | 25.7 | 100 |

## English comprehension

| Of those who <br> can read words, <br> $\%$ who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| I | 63.5 | 89.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 62.2 | 62.5 |
| III | 46.0 | 74.0 |
| IV | 58.6 | 48.6 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 65.3 | 73.3 |
| $\mathbf{V I}$ | 71.6 | 84.7 |
| VII | 73.3 | 77.7 |
| VIII | 66.6 | 84.8 |
| Total | 60.6 | 75.2 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

## Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally

Children in age group : 6 to 10

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children <br> in specific <br> reading level | \% at each level who can orally <br> answer questions |  |
| Reading leved on Std 1 |  |  |  |
| basel text only | based on Std 2 <br> level text |  |  |
| Word | 23.1 | 11.1 | 5.7 |
| Std 1 level text | 26.4 | 75.0 | 13.6 |
| Std 2 level text | 29.0 | 93.1 | 71.5 |


$\left.$| Children in age group : 11 to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading level\% Children <br> in specific <br> reading level | \% at each level who can orally <br> answer questions |  |  |
| based on Std 1 |  |  |  |
| level text only |  |  |  | | based on Std 2 |
| :---: |
| level text | \right\rvert\,


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 63.5 | 14.6 | 20.8 |
| 9 to 10 | 23.0 | 10.8 | 63.8 |
| 11 to 14 | 12.6 | 8.6 | 76.6 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 78.8 | 9.9 | 8.1 |
| 9 to 10 | 64.1 | 4.8 | 31.1 |
| 11 to 14 | 49.9 | 12.8 | 34.1 |



Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer

| Arithmetic level | Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 22.7 | 84.8 | 6.8 | 6.9 |
| Subtraction | 27.9 | 24.9 | 32.1 | 39.8 |
| Division | 26.8 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 90.6 |

School going children attending tuition class


West Bengal rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 354; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 8

| 2005 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 72.5 | 0.0 | 90.2 | 87.5 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 16.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 52.8 | 0.0 | 71.2 | 75.0 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 47.5 | 0.0 | 45.0 | 54.3 |
|  <br> teachers present | 38.7 | 0.0 | 34.2 | 47.7 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 16.1 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 16.7 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 14.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 69.6 | 0.0 | 80.7 | 83.3 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 23.7 | 0.0 | 12.6 | 16.7 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 18.4 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 16.7 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 57.9 | 0.0 | 72.7 | 66.7 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 76.3 | 0.0 | 98.6 | 83.3 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 74.0 | 0.0 | 69.5 | 74.2 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 8.2 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 16.7 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 50.7 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 66.7 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 63.8 | 61.5 | 43.0 | 45.9 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 70.1 |  | 55.3 |  |
|  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Multigrade classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 36.7 |  | 28.6 |  |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 25.1 |  | 14.3 |  |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In about $90 \%$ of the Std 2 classes, most children have text books, pens or pencils and note books. In close to $85 \%$ of the Std 4 classes, most children have text books and note books.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


[^8]
## Performance of districts

|  | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Darjeeling | 65.6 | 2.7 | 27.4 | 81.1 | 86.7 | 83.3 | 65.9 | 69.9 | 53.9 |
| Jalpaiguri | 86.7 | 2.5 | 10.2 | 64.5 | 80.6 | 54.9 | 57.5 | 66.6 | 18.8 |
| Cooch Behar | 75.4 | 1.7 | 14.4 | 86.5 | 87.7 | 60.4 | 62.1 | 60.2 | 8.9 |
| Uttar Dinajpur | 88.5 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 87.7 | 86.2 | 57.3 | 74.9 | 76.8 | 25.2 |
| Dakshin Dinajpur | 95.7 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 72.1 | 73.8 | 45.2 | 55.7 | 52.5 | 4.7 |
| Malda | 79.6 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 70.8 | 70.0 | 47.0 | 74.8 | 75.5 | 9.4 |
| Murshidabad | 83.9 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 88.5 | 91.6 | 51.8 | 46.7 | 54.3 | 11.7 |
| Birbhum | 89.7 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 90.5 | 89.0 | 67.0 | 71.3 | 65.5 | 13.5 |
| Bardhaman | 85.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 93.6 | 95.6 | 52.5 | 88.9 | 91.6 | 31.1 |
| Nadia | 76.4 | 4.7 | 1.2 | 90.2 | 92.5 | 60.1 | 75.0 | 61.4 | 14.2 |
| North 24 Parganas | 83.3 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 85.9 | 88.9 | 65.8 | 54.0 | 46.3 | 10.0 |
| Hugli | 100.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 98.9 | 97.4 | 66.3 | 91.4 | 89.7 | 26.6 |
| Bankura | 90.3 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 90.0 | 88.5 | 57.4 | 70.1 | 65.6 | 13.9 |
| Puruliya | 86.9 | 15.6 | 1.3 | 68.0 | 65.7 | 34.6 | 56.9 | 60.6 | 6.0 |
| Medinipur | 97.2 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 75.5 | 98.6 | 97.9 | 38.5 |
| Howrah | 98.1 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 97.4 | 67.5 | 92.9 | 91.2 | 44.1 |
| South 24 Parganas | 68.3 | 4.8 | 7.2 | 91.1 | 93.7 | 62.0 | 74.7 | 67.6 | 10.0 |
| Total | 85.0 | 4.8 | 4.3 | 87.9 | 89.1 | 59.8 | 76.9 | 75.8 | 22.3 |



1321. ता किता



Heve trit ir wo




 4

All analysis based on data from 22 out of 22 districts

## Enrollment

|  |  | . | t and out | of sch | ool ch | dren 2 | vs. 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |
|  | \% Child | each types | ge group in schools | \% Out of school |  | \% Child diffe | in each types | ge group in schools | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 75.5 | 11.3 | 4.4 | 8.9 | 100 | 81.3 | 10.3 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 73.4 | 11.4 | 3.7 | 11.5 | 100 | 79.3 | 10.9 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 77.0 | 10.9 | 5.2 | 6.9 | 100 | 82.7 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 77.3 | 11.9 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 100 | 82.6 | 10.6 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 76.7 | 9.8 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 100 | 82.9 | 8.5 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 74.0 | 11.8 | 2.5 | 11.7 | 100 | 78.9 | 11.6 | 2.6 | 7.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 75.3 | 11.8 | 2.3 | 10.5 | 100 | 78.9 | 12.5 | 2.5 | 6.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 72.4 | 11.8 | 2.7 | 13.0 | 100 | 78.8 | 10.5 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 59.0 | 12.0 | 1.8 | 27.2 | 100 | 62.2 | 14.8 | 2.2 | 20.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 61.1 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 26.0 | 100 | 62.3 | 14.7 | 2.3 | 20.7 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 55.7 | 13.0 | 2.1 | 29.2 | 100 | 62.2 | 14.9 | 2.1 | 20.9 | 100 |
| Age and Class |  |  |  | Note: "Not in school |  | includes chil | ho have | gone to schoo | or have dro | ed out. |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



Young Children
In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

|  |  |  |  | 06 |  |  |  |  |  | 07 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% C | ildren |  |  |  |  | \% | ildren |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \quad \overline{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \\ & \frac{3}{\pi} \end{aligned}$ |  | n Sch |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 흥 } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{3}{\pi} \\ & \frac{\pi}{\pi} \end{aligned}$ |  | S Sch |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 62.2 |  |  |  | 37.8 | 100 | 69.7 |  |  |  | 30.3 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 73.2 |  |  |  | 26.8 | 100 | 73.5 |  |  |  | 26.5 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 26.6 | 44.7 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 15.7 | 100 | 20.5 | 59.9 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 9.6 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 3.2 | 71.2 | 10.6 | 6.9 | 8.2 | 100 | 4.3 | 78.1 | 8.8 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103 |

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 33.6 | 48.4 | 12.4 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 100 |
| II | 11.9 | 38.8 | 32.5 | 10.6 | 6.3 | 100 |
| III | 4.2 | 17.0 | 36.2 | 24.2 | 18.3 | 100 |
| IV | 2.3 | 8.5 | 21.0 | 30.7 | 37.5 | 100 |
| V | 1.2 | 4.5 | 11.5 | 23.9 | 58.9 | 100 |
| VI | 1.1 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 19.6 | 72.5 | 100 |
| VII | 0.8 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 11.9 | 82.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 90.6 | 100 |
| Total | 9.1 | 19.4 | 18.1 | 16.7 | 36.8 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 35.6 | 47.8 | 11.8 | 3.5 | 1.5 | 100 |
| II | 13.6 | 39.9 | 31.5 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 100 |
| III | 5.7 | 21.0 | 34.2 | 29.2 | 9.9 | 100 |
| IV | 3.3 | 11.8 | 22.2 | 36.5 | 26.2 | 100 |
| V | 2.2 | 7.3 | 14.4 | 33.5 | 42.7 | 100 |
| VI | 2.2 | 3.5 | 6.8 | 28.3 | 59.2 | 100 |
| VII | 2.1 | 2.5 | 5.8 | 17.9 | 71.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.1 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 11.7 | 82.5 | 100 |
| Total | 10.4 | 21.1 | 18.6 | 21.5 | 28.4 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 59.8 | 28.6 | 6.8 | 3.6 | 1.2 | 100 |
| II | 34.7 | 34.4 | 19.4 | 8.9 | 2.6 | 100 |
| III | 17.5 | 29.8 | 25.3 | 20.6 | 6.9 | 100 |
| IV | 9.6 | 20.4 | 22.2 | 31.7 | 16.0 | 100 |
| V | 5.3 | 14.7 | 19.1 | 33.6 | 27.3 | 100 |
| VI | 3.6 | 7.9 | 12.7 | 33.1 | 42.6 | 100 |
| VII | 3.0 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 24.8 | 57.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.0 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 22.5 | 67.4 | 100 |
| Total | 21.2 | 21.3 | 16.1 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 100 |

## English comprehension

| Of those who | Of those who can |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| can read words, |  |
| \%ead sentences, |  |
| \% who can tell |  |
| \%eaning of the can tell |  |
| meaning of |  |
| words |  |$\quad$| sentences |
| :---: |


| I | 49.4 | 69.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 45.7 | 69.7 |
| III | 51.5 | 71.6 |
| IV | 54.0 | 72.2 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 52.1 | 74.4 |
| VI | 54.2 | 74.4 |
| VII | 58.5 | 75.9 |
| VIII | 65.5 | 77.9 |
| Total | 53.6 | 74.9 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 24.2 | 8.0 | 5.5 | Word | 8.9 | 13.7 | 11.5 |
| Std 1 level text | 18.0 | 63.3 | 26.8 | Std 1 level text | 18.0 | 73.2 | 32.4 |
| Std 2 level text | 22.0 | 87.3 | 80.7 | Std 2 level text | 67.2 | 93.2 | 88.3 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 76.0 | 5.2 | 17.7 |
| 9 to 10 | 42.2 | 9.1 | 46.9 |
| 11 to 14 | 20.0 | 8.6 | 69.6 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 86.1 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| 9 to 10 | 64.3 | 10.2 | 25.5 |
| 11 to 14 | 48.3 | 12.4 | 36.1 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer
Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |


| Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic level | \% <br> Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 11.0 | 66.5 | 12.8 | 19.3 |
| Subtraction | 24.8 | 19.8 | 18.4 | 58.6 |
| Division | 55.4 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 92.8 |

Children in age group : $\mathbf{1 1}$ to $\mathbf{1 4}$ dren in arithmetic level who can solve Simple Both only problems
12.8
19.3
58.6

Division

Jharkhand rural

## School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 224; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 275

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 83.7 | 76.5 | 91.5 | 84.7 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 61.0 | 31.8 | 78.0 | 44.1 |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 60.9 | 62.0 | 62.9 | 61.6 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 26.4 | 28.4 | 23.1 | 21.1 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 26.4 | 20.9 | 26.0 | 21.5 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 48.1 | 54.9 | 47.0 | 49.8 |
|  <br> teachers present | 34.6 | 44.4 | 33.5 | 34.9 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 80.3 | 75.9 | 56.8 | 56.6 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 83.0 |  | 57.6 |  |


| Water/toilet | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> and midday meal <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 36.0 | 13.9 | 29.8 | 8.6 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 12.5 | 14.6 | 7.8 | 9.4 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 51.5 | 71.5 | 62.4 | 82.0 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 71.0 | 31.8 | 63.4 | 25.3 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 11.5 | 25.2 | 15.3 | 30.5 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 17.5 | 43.0 | 21.3 | 44.2 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 61.8 | 75.8 | 87.0 | 93.0 |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. |

Close to $90 \%$ classes that were visited have blackboards. In more than $85 \%$ of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.






Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.
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## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Garhwa | 43.3 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 62.7 | 66.6 | 37.2 | 66.6 | 60.8 | 9.9 |
| Palamu | 65.5 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 69.5 | 63.5 | 40.4 | 61.4 | 55.1 | 23.1 |
| Chatra | 69.4 | 4.0 | 7.5 | 48.1 | 49.1 | 31.2 | 47.3 | 37.6 | 4.5 |
| Hazaribag | 87.8 | 1.0 | 24.5 | 89.3 | 85.6 | 75.3 | 71.8 | 68.2 | 20.1 |
| Kodarma | 76.2 | 2.1 | 19.0 | 85.0 | 87.2 | 69.9 | 73.5 | 71.6 | 27.0 |
| Giridih | 56.2 | 4.5 | 14.5 | 67.2 | 69.1 | 59.0 | 77.8 | 74.5 | 30.3 |
| Deoghar | 97.8 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 82.4 | 81.3 | 56.6 | 64.9 | 62.4 | 7.6 |
| Godda | 72.4 | 5.0 | 11.0 | 83.0 | 80.6 | 44.5 | 73.0 | 69.4 | 18.2 |
| Sahibganj | 50.7 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 67.4 | 62.4 | 34.2 | 59.8 | 59.1 | 22.0 |
| Pakur | 77.2 | 6.8 | 3.8 | 67.6 | 74.2 | 49.3 | 52.1 | 53.7 | 19.7 |
| Dumka | 46.6 | 12.2 | 0.4 | 89.7 | 84.8 | 12.7 | 58.9 | 65.2 | 1.6 |
| Dhanbad | 68.0 | 2.3 | 11.8 | 85.3 | 83.7 | 73.0 | 85.3 | 87.0 | 38.7 |
| Bokaro | 51.6 | 3.7 | 6.8 | 72.6 | 65.3 | 49.6 | 45.0 | 40.1 | 12.0 |
| Ranchi | 90.0 | 5.5 | 16.0 | 67.2 | 67.4 | 45.6 | 53.0 | 41.3 | 7.4 |
| Lohardaga | 63.5 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 69.0 | 67.6 | 48.4 | 65.9 | 58.6 | 20.1 |
| Gumla | 88.5 | 3.5 | 7.8 | 79.1 | 74.7 | 48.4 | 73.0 | 54.8 | 14.5 |
| West Singhbhum | 64.6 | 14.2 | 6.1 | 99.5 | 90.5 | 26.1 | 40.5 | 33.4 | 3.7 |
| East Singhbhum | 76.7 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 88.3 | 81.4 | 75.2 | 63.7 | 48.5 | 11.3 |
| Latehar | 75.7 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 76.4 | 71.2 | 49.7 | 67.5 | 62.1 | 17.9 |
| Jamtara | 88.2 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 94.6 | 92.4 | 75.8 | 72.8 | 73.8 | 21.1 |
| Sindega | 73.2 | 4.3 | 13.3 | 80.7 | 84.0 | 70.5 | 68.2 | 59.2 | 10.1 |
| Saraikela | 66.9 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 92.3 | 90.6 | 71.2 | 67.2 | 69.4 | 16.4 |
| Total | 71.5 | 5.0 | 10.3 | 76.9 | 75.1 | 52.3 | 64.1 | 58.9 | 16.6 |
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All analysis based on data from 69 out of 69 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 61.8 | 30.3 | 1.9 | 6.0 | 100 | 65.6 | 29.1 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 59.1 | 30.2 | 1.8 | 8.9 | 100 | 62.0 | 30.5 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 64.8 | 29.4 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 100 | 70.9 | 25.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 62.5 | 32.2 | 2.0 | 3.3 | 100 | 68.3 | 28.2 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 67.8 | 25.7 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 100 | 74.2 | 21.7 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 57.6 | 31.9 | 1.6 | 8.9 | 100 | 56.6 | 35.3 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 57.2 | 34.2 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 100 | 55.4 | 37.8 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 58.2 | 28.9 | 1.8 | 11.1 | 100 | 58.2 | 32.0 | 1.4 | 8.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 47.4 | 28.7 | 1.3 | 22.6 | 100 | 41.9 | 37.7 | 1.0 | 19.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 49.2 | 29.1 | 1.1 | 20.6 | 100 | 43.8 | 38.1 | 1.0 | 17.2 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 44.7 | 28.1 | 1.5 | 25.6 | 100 | 39.5 | 37.1 | 1.1 | 22.2 | 100 |
| Age and Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/ Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 41.4 |  |  |  | 58.6 | 100 | 62.8 |  |  |  | 37.2 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 54.6 |  |  |  | 45.4 | 100 | 67.6 |  |  |  | 32.4 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 28.8 | 31.0 | 18.2 | 1.4 | 20.6 | 100 | 19.1 | 48.6 | 21.2 | 1.9 | 9.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 1.9 | 61.0 | 28.3 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 100 | 4.3 | 66.5 | 23.3 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 100 |

Uttar Pradesh rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 45.8 | 41.0 | 9.2 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 100 |
| II | 18.2 | 41.3 | 26.6 | 7.8 | 6.0 | 100 |
| III | 8.8 | 26.9 | 31.9 | 17.8 | 14.5 | 100 |
| IV | 5.3 | 16.4 | 22.6 | 25.3 | 30.3 | 100 |
| V | 3.2 | 10.6 | 16.0 | 23.3 | 46.9 | 100 |
| VI | 1.9 | 5.4 | 9.5 | 17.9 | 65.3 | 100 |
| VII | 1.4 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 14.0 | 75.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.9 | 2.2 | 4.3 | 9.3 | 83.3 | 100 |
| Total | 13.4 | 21.9 | 17.4 | 14.6 | 32.8 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 47.3 | 40.5 | 9.6 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 100 |
| II | 19.8 | 43.8 | 26.6 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 100 |
| III | 9.5 | 31.4 | 34.3 | 18.0 | 7.0 | 100 |
| IV | 6.2 | 20.6 | 28.6 | 27.3 | 17.3 | 100 |
| V | 4.2 | 13.8 | 21.6 | 30.9 | 29.5 | 100 |
| VI | 3.0 | 8.3 | 16.6 | 27.6 | 44.6 | 100 |
| VII | 2.1 | 6.2 | 13.2 | 24.2 | 54.3 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.3 | 4.3 | 9.1 | 19.8 | 64.5 | 100 |
| Total | 14.4 | 24.6 | 21.1 | 18.3 | 21.6 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 65.6 | 23.3 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 100 | I | 41.9 | 66.8 |
| II | 44.6 | 31.1 | 15.2 | 6.7 | 2.5 | 100 | II | 50.1 | 70.8 |
| III | 29.4 | 30.3 | 22.0 | 13.6 | 4.7 | 100 | III | 51.6 | 76.3 |
| IV | 20.4 | 24.1 | 23.0 | 21.9 | 10.6 | 100 | IV | 55.2 | 77.4 |
| V | 14.2 | 18.6 | 21.9 | 26.9 | 18.4 | 100 | V | 54.6 | 77.9 |
| VI | 8.5 | 11.9 | 19.2 | 27.7 | 32.8 | 100 | VI | 61.4 | 81.0 |
| VII | 6.3 | 7.7 | 15.5 | 26.1 | 44.3 | 100 | VII | 62.3 | 83.2 |
| VIII | 5.2 | 6.5 | 11.1 | 22.6 | 54.7 | 100 | VIII | 63.3 | 85.7 |
| Total | 28.7 | 21.4 | 17.0 | 16.8 | 16.1 | 100 | Total | 56.7 | 81.3 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 21.9 | 9.5 | 5.3 | Word | 10.7 | 17.1 | 10.4 |
| Std 1 level text | 14.7 | 59.3 | 22.7 | Std 1 level text | 16.9 | 67.4 | 30.9 |
| Std 2 level text | 18.7 | 88.6 | 81.3 | Std 2 level text | 62.9 | 94.0 | 89.2 |



Ability of children by arithmetic level to Iisten to a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic level | \% <br> Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 24.6 | 75.7 | 8.9 | 14.2 |
| Subtraction | 16.1 | 34.1 | 13.0 | 50.8 |
| Division | 10.8 | 7.2 | 4.7 | 87.2 |

## School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 9.0 | 11.5 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 11.6 | 15.1 | 17.0 | 17.4 | 19.5 | 20.1 | 21.9 | 24.5 |  |



Uttar Pradesh rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 1796; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 92

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 79.4 | 67.1 | 91.9 | 90.5 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 5.6 | 15.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 56.1 | 42.9 | 75.3 | 69.8 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 64.0 | 68.5 | 59.4 | 64.2 |
|  <br> teachers present | 50.7 | 53.5 | 41.0 | 43.7 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 8.7 | 8.0 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 12.5 | 13.9 | 10.3 | 9.9 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 78.7 | 78.1 | 84.0 | 84.6 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 24.3 | 25.3 | 15.2 | 12.1 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 33.6 | 39.6 | 30.4 | 36.3 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 42.1 | 35.1 | 54.4 | 51.6 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 56.7 | 52.5 | 95.0 | 93.3 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 67.0 | 63.0 | 64.4 | 66.0 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 20.0 | 23.5 | 19.9 | 20.0 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 38.3 | 33.7 | 30.9 | 37.8 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 81.5 | 77.4 | 32.4 | 30.3 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 86.0 |  | 59.8 |  |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.
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## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Saharanpur | 97.0 | 4.7 | 33.0 | 69.1 | 61.7 | 46.0 | 70.7 | 62.1 | 21.2 |
| Muzaffarnagar | 61.5 | 8.0 | 40.4 | 67.9 | 66.3 | 59.6 | 45.2 | 45.5 | 15.0 |
| Bijnor* |  | 0.8 | 35.1 | 73.2 | 68.5 | 50.8 | 65.8 | 54.7 | 14.9 |
| Moradabad | 41.0 | 3.2 | 24.3 | 68.7 | 73.1 | 54.4 | 46.0 | 49.0 | 6.9 |
| Rampur | 87.2 | 4.2 | 12.2 | 51.2 | 54.6 | 36.0 | 28.9 | 21.6 | 4.4 |
| Jyotiba Phule Nagar | 67.1 | 6.5 | 42.5 | 88.6 | 88.9 | 86.0 | 75.2 | 65.3 | 41.1 |
| Meerut | 42.7 | 1.8 | 39.1 | 85.1 | 86.9 | 78.0 | 78.8 | 61.2 | 25.7 |
| Baghpat* |  | 1.9 | 44.9 | 69.4 | 64.8 | 64.2 | 66.7 | 69.3 | 34.0 |
| Ghaziabad | 82.8 | 3.2 | 42.5 | 73.2 | 72.3 | 63.7 | 73.9 | 59.8 | 28.0 |
| Gautam Buddha Nagar | 56.3 | 2.8 | 42.6 | 68.0 | 70.2 | 55.0 | 69.9 | 56.9 | 26.5 |
| Bulandshahar | 61.5 | 4.8 | 28.7 | 77.5 | 80.8 | 66.1 | 66.0 | 47.9 | 12.6 |
| Aligarh | 67.9 | 2.0 | 32.2 | 71.6 | 72.2 | 50.1 | 47.6 | 42.6 | 11.4 |
| Hathras | 51.9 | 3.9 | 18.8 | 56.4 | 53.8 | 31.2 | 50.3 | 46.7 | 14.7 |
| Mathura | 81.2 | 3.8 | 43.5 | 69.0 | 65.7 | 54.7 | 55.3 | 48.6 | 14.0 |
| Agra | 57.5 | 4.0 | 43.7 | 70.3 | 78.6 | 52.9 | 49.0 | 43.6 | 10.3 |
| Firozabad | 94.3 | 0.9 | 26.8 | 53.4 | 50.5 | 40.7 | 53.7 | 37.8 | 12.4 |
| Etah | 98.4 | 0.3 | 19.0 | 71.2 | 69.4 | 44.1 | 44.5 | 46.3 | 3.1 |
| Mainpuri | 85.5 | 0.6 | 31.0 | 64.5 | 67.7 | 42.5 | 61.7 | 57.9 | 32.3 |
| Budaun | 53.1 | 12.1 | 16.2 | 78.7 | 74.4 | 57.0 | 41.4 | 45.3 | 4.8 |
| Bareilly | 53.9 | 9.6 | 26.4 | 75.7 | 78.5 | 48.9 | 54.6 | 48.0 | 11.4 |
| Pilibhit | 95.6 | 7.0 | 28.5 | 67.3 | 71.8 | 48.3 | 43.2 | 30.5 | 12.9 |
| Shahjahanpur | 3.5 | 4.6 | 13.7 | 52.5 | 49.4 | 30.9 | 37.7 | 30.4 | 5.1 |
| Lakhimpur Kheri | 61.5 | 6.3 | 24.6 | 45.5 | 51.8 | 23.8 | 40.1 | 27.9 | 4.5 |
| Sitapur | 68.1 | 9.7 | 24.0 | 59.1 | 60.4 | 42.9 | 39.2 | 27.6 | 4.4 |
| Hardoi | 66.2 | 3.7 | 23.6 | 66.0 | 65.7 | 49.8 | 40.6 | 36.7 | 7.6 |
| Unnao | 70.8 | 4.7 | 27.0 | 74.2 | 75.3 | 53.8 | 39.4 | 31.0 | 5.3 |
| Lucknow | 44.6 | 7.2 | 31.3 | 71.8 | 73.6 | 47.9 | 54.5 | 39.2 | 10.9 |
| Rae Bareli | 78.3 | 3.9 | 29.0 | 57.3 | 56.1 | 29.3 | 36.1 | 26.0 | 5.2 |
| Farrukhabad | 56.7 | 4.4 | 21.5 | 65.4 | 65.7 | 50.3 | 43.7 | 43.5 | 5.4 |
| Kannauj | 90.5 | 1.7 | 12.8 | 72.4 | 74.9 | 65.2 | 53.9 | 49.5 | 6.4 |
| Etawah | 86.6 | 1.7 | 15.1 | 61.5 | 65.1 | 57.3 | 64.5 | 59.2 | 6.1 |
| Auraiya | 87.3 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 61.5 | 61.2 | 55.2 | 60.3 | 65.9 | 7.2 |
| Kanpur Dehat | 85.8 | 0.8 | 27.8 | 62.5 | 57.9 | 43.0 | 27.7 | 22.6 | 4.4 |
| Jalaun | 72.0 | 2.2 | 18.9 | 71.0 | 61.9 | 42.3 | 45.1 | 44.9 | 10.5 |
| Jhansi | 73.4 | 2.0 | 15.6 | 75.8 | 69.1 | 44.9 | 50.0 | 51.2 | 7.0 |
| Lalitpur | 93.5 | 1.4 | 8.1 | 70.0 | 70.2 | 44.9 | 45.1 | 44.5 | 5.1 |
| Hamirpur | 65.3 | 4.1 | 20.0 | 70.7 | 68.3 | 44.4 | 63.2 | 62.7 | 17.1 |
| Mahoba | 64.7 | 2.4 | 20.6 | 73.9 | 74.2 | 40.0 | 50.4 | 42.8 | 5.0 |
| Banda | 55.5 | 4.4 | 14.9 | 64.4 | 60.4 | 26.6 | 50.6 | 46.3 | 3.5 |
| Chitrakoot | 74.1 | 3.7 | 19.7 | 55.5 | 47.3 | 26.3 | 30.1 | 22.9 | 5.8 |
| Fatehpur | 98.7 | 3.2 | 31.0 | 87.4 | 78.7 | 47.1 | 53.7 | 53.5 | 16.9 |

Uttar Pradesh rural

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Pratapgarh | 58.9 | 4.1 | 35.7 | 66.1 | 61.2 | 30.6 | 41.2 | 24.7 | 3.9 |
| Kaushambi | 42.6 | 5.8 | 30.7 | 69.6 | 69.7 | 52.3 | 55.9 | 42.4 | 14.2 |
| Allahabad | 59.6 | 3.4 | 38.2 | 72.0 | 65.5 | 43.7 | 54.8 | 38.6 | 14.0 |
| Barabanki | 75.1 | 10.3 | 26.6 | 74.8 | 83.1 | 37.3 | 48.8 | 30.2 | 12.1 |
| Faizabad | 45.9 | 2.0 | 38.1 | 76.1 | 75.5 | 63.3 | 62.2 | 55.2 | 7.5 |
| Ambedkar Nagar | 72.7 | 1.3 | 32.9 | 55.3 | 57.6 | 28.0 | 54.8 | 46.0 | 4.3 |
| Sultanpur | 38.1 | 2.7 | 36.4 | 68.2 | 67.3 | 36.1 | 49.8 | 34.3 | 5.2 |
| Bahraich | 97.9 | 1.9 | 12.9 | 73.9 | 67.8 | 48.2 | 60.9 | 55.7 | 16.1 |
| Shrawasti | 86.7 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 83.2 | 84.5 | 37.9 | 80.3 | 75.1 | 19.1 |
| Balrampur | 81.0 | 3.7 | 19.6 | 72.3 | 65.8 | 39.6 | 62.2 | 55.6 | 19.3 |
| Gonda | 63.5 | 5.6 | 21.0 | 60.1 | 58.3 | 19.8 | 40.4 | 32.7 | 4.8 |
| Siddharth Nagar | 43.1 | 6.2 | 20.8 | 66.2 | 58.3 | 38.4 | 53.9 | 33.1 | 8.9 |
| Basti | 78.6 | 2.5 | 29.3 | 64.6 | 62.3 | 43.1 | 42.5 | 36.4 | 13.2 |
| Sant Kabir Nagar | 50.0 | 1.7 | 33.4 | 77.6 | 71.2 | 40.0 | 52.0 | 44.0 | 11.2 |
| Mahrajganj | 40.9 | 6.8 | 29.2 | 63.1 | 56.0 | 33.5 | 55.9 | 39.8 | 14.9 |
| Gorakhpur | 38.1 | 2.1 | 44.3 | 69.2 | 68.7 | 50.0 | 47.9 | 36.2 | 11.9 |
| Kushinagar | 89.4 | 2.1 | 26.2 | 77.8 | 64.2 | 38.5 | 67.2 | 51.5 | 3.3 |
| Deoria | 58.1 | 1.4 | 50.6 | 47.6 | 48.0 | 35.1 | 42.0 | 27.5 | 9.6 |
| Azamgarh | 66.1 | 2.6 | 29.6 | 45.2 | 53.0 | 33.3 | 48.4 | 26.1 | 5.4 |
| Mau | 75.2 | 0.6 | 37.9 | 71.4 | 67.6 | 52.8 | 68.6 | 57.6 | 21.2 |
| Ballia | 45.7 | 3.2 | 36.5 | 73.5 | 76.1 | 59.4 | 59.8 | 43.9 | 16.6 |
| Jaunpur | 40.7 | 2.7 | 35.1 | 61.2 | 59.3 | 33.4 | 52.9 | 34.0 | 10.4 |
| Ghazipur | 84.1 | 1.5 | 46.1 | 75.4 | 71.1 | 56.3 | 62.4 | 53.8 | 20.3 |
| Chandauli | 44.6 | 5.1 | 28.5 | 61.5 | 54.7 | 32.5 | 55.0 | 40.8 | 12.6 |
| Varanasi | 73.9 | 3.6 | 29.9 | 83.3 | 78.2 | 49.3 | 72.1 | 55.7 | 15.9 |
| Sant Ravidas Nagar | 55.4 | 1.5 | 29.4 | 80.2 | 66.3 | 47.1 | 51.9 | 40.9 | 12.1 |
| Mirzapur | 74.7 | 1.9 | 18.7 | 72.6 | 70.2 | 52.7 | 48.3 | 41.4 | 8.3 |
| Sonbhadra | 54.1 | 7.8 | 24.7 | 71.0 | 59.7 | 39.7 | 54.4 | 30.3 | 7.8 |
| Total | 65.6 | 3.9 | 29.1 | 67.2 | 65.7 | 44.3 | 52.2 | 42.8 | 11.1 |




## Gujarat <br> Daman and Diu Dadra and Nagar Haveli Madhya Pradesh <br> Chhattisgarh <br> Orissa



All analysis based on data from 25 out of 25 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school | Total | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 89.3 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 100 | 90.2 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 86.0 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 8.4 | 100 | 86.4 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 92.4 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 100 | 94.2 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 92.2 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 100 | 94.4 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 92.6 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 3.5 | 100 | 94.0 | 3.2 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 85.2 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 8.9 | 100 | 83.9 | 9.3 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 87.2 | 5.8 | 0.1 | 6.9 | 100 | 84.3 | 9.8 | 0.7 | 5.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 82.3 | 5.9 | 0.1 | 11.7 | 100 | 83.3 | 8.7 | 0.4 | 7.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 70.2 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 22.1 | 100 | 49.9 | 25.8 | 0.5 | 23.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 73.6 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 19.1 | 100 | 50.3 | 28.8 | 0.5 | 20.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 64.4 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 100 | 49.4 | 21.5 | 0.5 | 28.6 | 100 |
| and Class <br> Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadf/Anganwadf) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 79.1 |  |  |  | 20.9 | 100 | 76.5 |  |  |  | 23.5 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 89.1 |  |  |  | 10.9 | 100 | 86.7 |  |  |  | 13.3 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 67.3 | 22.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 100 | 36.1 | 55.4 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 1.3 | 91.9 | 3.8 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 100 | 3.3 | 90.5 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 119 |

## Gujarat rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 30.0 | 53.8 | 12.5 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 100 |
| II | 11.7 | 42.5 | 33.3 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 100 |
| III | 3.0 | 17.6 | 39.3 | 27.1 | 13.0 | 100 |
| IV | 1.0 | 7.7 | 20.8 | 36.9 | 33.7 | 100 |
| V | 0.7 | 4.6 | 12.0 | 34.5 | 48.2 | 100 |
| VI | 0.5 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 24.3 | 66.9 | 100 |
| VII | 0.6 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 14.2 | 80.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 9.3 | 87.4 | 100 |
| Total | 5.6 | 17.0 | 18.4 | 21.0 | 38.1 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 24.0 | 54.3 | 18.8 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 100 |
| II | 11.6 | 41.4 | 39.1 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 100 |
| III | 4.6 | 18.6 | 43.3 | 27.5 | 6.0 | 100 |
| IV | 2.0 | 9.5 | 27.9 | 41.7 | 18.9 | 100 |
| V | 1.5 | 4.9 | 19.9 | 39.4 | 34.4 | 100 |
| VI | 0.9 | 3.1 | 14.3 | 34.6 | 47.2 | 100 |
| VII | 1.0 | 1.9 | 7.4 | 26.7 | 63.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 1.3 | 4.7 | 20.2 | 73.3 | 100 |
| Total | 5.6 | 17.4 | 24.4 | 25.6 | 27.0 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 83.3 | 12.7 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| II | 67.4 | 23.5 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 | 100 |
| III | 44.7 | 31.9 | 14.2 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 100 |
| IV | 26.1 | 31.5 | 19.9 | 17.4 | 5.1 | 100 |
| V | 11.1 | 26.0 | 21.2 | 29.4 | 12.4 | 100 |
| VI | 6.8 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 33.5 | 25.8 | 100 |
| VII | 4.2 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 34.0 | 38.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.0 | 6.1 | 8.5 | 24.5 | 58.9 | 100 |
| Total | 32.3 | 22.0 | 13.1 | 18.1 | 14.5 | 100 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

| Of those who <br> can read words, <br> $\%$ who can tell <br> Std. <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| I | 30.3 | 95.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 52.6 | 43.6 |
| III | 48.2 | 67.9 |
| IV | 57.5 | 69.0 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 60.2 | 74.7 |
| VI | 65.5 | 76.1 |
| VII | 63.7 | 82.0 |
| VIII | 64.8 | 85.7 |
| Total | 61.1 | 79.6 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 26.4 | 8.3 | 4.1 | Word | 4.9 | 18.0 | 12.1 |
| Std 1 level text | 23.3 | 67.0 | 23.8 | Std 1 level text | 19.0 | 81.0 | 40.0 |
| Std 2 level text | 19.8 | 93.9 | 83.3 | Std 2 level text | 73.6 | 97.0 | 92.1 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 82.4 | 5.8 | 11.3 |
| 9 to 10 | 45.8 | 9.2 | 43.7 |
| 11 to 14 | 15.2 | 7.0 | 77.1 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 88.1 | 6.0 | 4.9 |
| 9 to 10 | 75.0 | 6.8 | 18.3 |
| 11 to 14 | 52.4 | 7.0 | 40.2 |












Ability of children by arithmetic level to Iisten to a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

## School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 4.0 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 5.9 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 10.3 | 13.0 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 19.8 | 23.5 | 26.6 | 26.1 | 40.3 | 31.1 | 35.2 | 26.0 |  |



Gujarat rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 72; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 503

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 84.3 | 87.5 | 94.5 | 92.9 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 5.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 65.0 | 54.7 | 85.2 | 69.8 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 31.0 | 36.3 | 28.8 | 32.0 |
|  <br> teachers present | 28.0 | 33.3 | 24.8 | 29.4 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 26.8 | 18.9 | 15.5 | 12.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 9.8 | 7.1 | 11.3 | 2.7 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 63.4 | 74.0 | 73.2 | 85.3 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 45.2 | 23.5 | 12.1 | 8.0 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 14.3 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 3.9 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 40.5 | 67.4 | 78.8 | 88.1 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 92.7 | 88.4 | 95.7 | 94.5 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 96.6 | 85.7 | 81.5 | 85.5 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 9.3 | 1.5 | 4.3 | 2.8 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 72.1 | 79.3 | 68.1 | 85.8 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 94.6 | 94.1 | 91.2 | 90.2 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 89.5 |  | 85.2 |  |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


[^11]
## Performance of districts

| District | Pre- school <br> $\%$ <br> Children <br> (Age 3-4) in <br> Anganwadi <br> or <br> other <br> pre-school | Out of <br> school <br> $\%$ <br> Children <br> (Age 6-14) <br> Out of <br> school |  | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Kachchh | 82.9 | 6.7 | 3.0 | 72.5 | 76.3 | 17.0 | 54.5 | 56.7 | 2.5 |
| Banas Kantha | 72.6 | 8.1 | 4.0 | 80.1 | 85.9 | 8.0 | 77.3 | 67.8 | 4.6 |
| Patan | 52.1 | 0.9 | 11.4 | 92.4 | 93.6 | 27.1 | 82.5 | 85.7 | 14.7 |
| Mahesana | 43.1 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 89.5 | 89.6 | 51.8 | 78.4 | 83.9 | 10.8 |
| Sabar Kantha | 79.3 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 79.2 | 75.2 | 18.5 | 57.9 | 52.3 | 5.7 |
| Gandhinagar | 82.2 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 78.4 | 80.3 | 11.1 | 50.0 | 32.0 | 1.6 |
| Ahmedabad | 76.9 | 4.6 | 10.2 | 83.8 | 75.3 | 28.1 | 63.3 | 49.7 | 9.8 |
| Surendranagar | 95.0 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 92.3 | 93.1 | 55.6 | 82.1 | 74.1 | 4.4 |
| Rajkot | 94.9 | 2.8 | 16.5 | 74.4 | 76.6 | 34.1 | 64.7 | 53.9 | 6.1 |
| Jamnagar | 82.1 | 4.1 | 14.8 | 91.8 | 95.2 | 54.8 | 74.0 | 66.7 | 16.5 |
| Porbandar | 97.3 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 87.5 | 85.2 | 30.0 | 67.8 | 54.9 | 12.7 |
| Junagadh | 84.9 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 84.5 | 89.5 | 48.9 | 68.0 | 61.0 | 19.6 |
| Amreli | 55.6 | 4.6 | 8.7 | 89.9 | 91.7 | 30.3 | 76.2 | 64.7 | 7.9 |
| Bhavnagar | 71.7 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 78.6 | 82.1 | 31.1 | 58.3 | 48.0 | 6.3 |
| Anand | 91.1 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 92.6 | 92.0 | 44.9 | 69.3 | 60.1 | 8.0 |
| Kheda | 94.6 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 72.4 | 80.5 | 35.3 | 60.0 | 45.7 | 6.9 |
| Panch Mahals | 88.8 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 74.8 | 76.5 | 13.8 | 52.2 | 46.4 | 3.0 |
| Dahod | 90.6 | 3.6 | 5.4 | 76.6 | 83.5 | 23.0 | 56.1 | 45.2 | 1.4 |
| Vadodara | 79.4 | 4.1 | 5.6 | 75.0 | 78.1 | 12.9 | 51.3 | 29.5 | 0.7 |
| Narmada | 85.9 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 65.2 | 66.2 | 7.0 | 23.1 | 34.0 | 0.6 |
| Bharuch | 87.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 76.6 | 81.4 | 33.7 | 53.8 | 51.5 | 7.7 |
| Surat | 84.8 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 87.2 | 90.9 | 12.4 | 58.6 | 47.9 | 3.3 |
| The Dangs | 84.8 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 63.5 | 71.0 | 28.4 | 50.9 | 36.3 | 3.2 |
| Navsari | 67.3 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 99.4 | 96.1 | 31.5 | 77.8 | 74.3 | 5.9 |
| Valsad | 84.2 | 2.5 | 4.9 | 84.2 | 85.3 | 18.2 | 61.8 | 40.8 | 2.5 |
| Total | 82.1 | 3.7 | 5.8 | 81.7 | 83.9 | 26.9 | 64.6 | 56.0 | 6.5 |



# DAMAN AND DIU rural 

All analysis based on data from 2 out of 2 districts
Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 75.8 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 75.3 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 78.1 | 20.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100 | 75.8 | 21.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 71.1 | 28.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 | 70.2 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 67.6 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100 | 66.2 | 32.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 74.9 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 100 | 74.7 | 24.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 81.3 | 17.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 100 | 82.3 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 78.2 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 79.6 | 17.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 84.7 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 100 | 85.3 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 88.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 100 | 73.3 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 85.3 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 100 | 71.4 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 90.8 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 100 | 75.3 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 100 |
| Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 94.4 |  |  |  | 5.6 | 100 | 92.7 |  |  |  | 7.3 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 95.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 | 100 | 97.7 |  |  |  | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 73.4 | 10.6 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 100 | 35.5 | 47.8 | 11.4 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 1.0 | 72.1 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100 | 6.4 | 60.5 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100 |

## Daman and Diurural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | 8.4 | 44.4 | 30.3 | 7.2 | 2.7 | 100 |
| III | 3.9 | 22.6 | 34.7 | 16.7 | 17.8 | 100 |
| IV | 1.1 | 6.8 | 32.4 | 22.6 | 31.8 | 100 |
| V | 1.5 | 5.3 | 12.0 | 24.0 | 57.2 | 100 |
| VI | 2.6 | 2.5 | 9.9 | 24.7 | 60.4 | 100 |
| VII | 0.7 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 20.6 | 72.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 11.5 | 81.0 | 100 |
| Total | 4.3 | 11.9 | 19.7 | 18.3 | 45.8 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 9.5 | 47.3 | 37.3 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 100 |
| II | 6.2 | 28.6 | 36.2 | 18.4 | 10.6 | 100 |
| III | 2.6 | 15.1 | 38.0 | 27.5 | 16.9 | 100 |
| IV | 2.9 | 8.6 | 24.1 | 30.8 | 33.6 | 100 |
| v | 0.9 | 6.6 | 19.6 | 20.2 | 52.7 | 100 |
| VI | 1.3 | 3.8 | 16.0 | 29.5 | 49.4 | 100 |
| VII | 0.9 | 3.9 | 12.2 | 30.0 | 53.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 1.2 | 9.6 | 23.8 | 64.9 | 100 |
| Total | 3.1 | 14.5 | 24.2 | 22.9 | 35.3 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 46.3 | 29.6 | 9.5 | 9.3 | 5.4 | 100 |
| II | 46.8 | 17.2 | 6.8 | 16.3 | 12.9 | 100 |
| III | 29.6 | 20.5 | 11.1 | 17.5 | 21.3 | 100 |
| IV | 26.2 | 16.0 | 9.4 | 19.2 | 29.2 | 100 |
| V | 5.7 | 20.6 | 12.0 | 22.8 | 38.9 | 100 |
| VI | 3.1 | 12.3 | 18.5 | 23.9 | 42.3 | 100 |
| VII | 3.0 | 10.1 | 12.9 | 25.7 | 48.3 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.8 | 5.4 | 8.3 | 23.5 | 61.0 | 100 |
| Total | 20.3 | 16.6 | 11.2 | 19.8 | 32.1 | 100 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

| Of those who | Of those who can |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| can read words, |  |
| \%ead sentences, |  |
| \% who can tell |  |
| \%eaning of the can tell |  |
| meaning of |  |
| mords |  |$\quad$| sentences |
| :---: |


| I | 53.3 | 84.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 47.1 | 82.6 |
| III | 73.1 | 79.0 |
| IV | 46.0 | 93.0 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 50.8 | 91.1 |
| VI | 64.8 | 88.0 |
| VII | 61.0 | 89.5 |
| VIII | 67.4 | 91.4 |
| Total | 58.3 | 89.0 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 28.5 | 15.7 | 8.1 | Word | 7.4 | 30.2 | 26.3 |
| Std 1 level text | 18.4 | 69.4 | 32.4 | Std 1 level text | 19.5 | 77.3 | 27.1 |
| Std 2 level text | 30.9 | 90.9 | 87.2 | Std 2 level text | 69.0 | 97.8 | 93.9 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 70.3 | 5.2 | 23.4 |
| 9 to 10 | 31.1 | 6.9 | 61.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 18.3 | 5.0 | 76.0 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 96.4 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| 9 to 10 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 73.1 |









```
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```



Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

## School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| Govt. <br> school | 25.2 | 20.8 | 35.8 | 28.1 | 34.7 | 38.4 | 25.6 | 35.7 |
| Pvt. <br> school | 75.9 | 82.0 | 79.6 | 77.2 | 87.2 | 81.6 | 59.7 | 80.6 |



$4+7$



Daman and Diurural

## School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 8; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 3

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 100.0 | 94.4 | 96.4 | 91.7 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 100.0 | 41.7 | 85.7 | 66.7 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 33.7 | 15.2 | 24.8 | 38.4 |
|  <br> teachers present | 32.7 | 14.7 | 24.9 | 38.7 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 77.8 | 75.0 | 37.5 | 37.5 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 57.1 |  | 16.7 |  |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 0.0 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 100.0 | 91.7 | 87.5 | 100.0 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 33.3 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 66.7 | 58.3 | 87.5 | 100.0 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 100.0 | 91.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[^12]
## Performance of districts

|  | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Diu | 88.6 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 74.2 | 76.0 | 40.8 | 52.3 | 40.1 | 13.0 |
| Daman | 97.8 | 0.4 | 28.6 | 91.5 | 95.7 | 56.2 | 72.2 | 67.4 | 35.2 |
| Total | 95.5 | 1.6 | 23.1 | 88.4 | 92.2 | 53.5 | 67.9 | 61.5 | 30.5 |




# DADRA AND NAGAR HAVELI rural 

All analysis based on data from 1 out of 1 district

## Enrollment



Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 60.6 |  |  |  | 39.4 | 100 | 89.7 |  |  |  | 10.3 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 73.9 |  |  |  | 26.1 | 100 | 93.2 |  |  |  | 6.9 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 60.8 | 24.5 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 100 | 44.3 | 38.6 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 5.4 | 76.6 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 100 | 2.0 | 83.2 | 7.9 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 100 |

Dadra and Nagar Havelí rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 16.1 | 65.3 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| II | 5.6 | 25.0 | 59.3 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 100 |
| III | 3.1 | 11.6 | 31.0 | 30.2 | 24.0 | 100 |
| IV | 1.7 | 6.0 | 17.2 | 31.9 | 43.1 | 100 |
| V | 2.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 23.4 | 62.4 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 18.6 | 70.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 89.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 94.4 | 100 |
| Total | 3.9 | 15.8 | 18.1 | 16.7 | 45.5 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 14.5 | 62.9 | 21.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| II | 6.5 | 40.7 | 45.4 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 100 |
| III | 2.3 | 20.3 | 38.3 | 30.5 | 8.6 | 100 |
| IV | 0.0 | 11.9 | 33.9 | 36.4 | 17.8 | 100 |
| V | 2.8 | 9.9 | 19.7 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 3.9 | 15.7 | 31.4 | 49.0 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 1.0 | 7.8 | 25.5 | 65.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.4 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 16.4 | 78.1 | 100 |
| Total | 3.7 | 20.2 | 24.5 | 22.9 | 28.8 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 88.8 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100 |
| II | 72.1 | 16.4 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 100 |
| III | 61.1 | 19.1 | 11.9 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 100 |
| IV | 35.1 | 27.9 | 24.3 | 5.4 | 7.2 | 100 |
| V | 14.5 | 25.4 | 29.7 | 18.1 | 12.3 | 100 |
| VI | 6.9 | 16.7 | 29.4 | 18.6 | 28.4 | 100 |
| VII | 2.0 | 11.1 | 15.2 | 24.2 | 47.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.8 | 5.6 | 15.5 | 18.3 | 57.8 | 100 |
| Total | 37.5 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 10.6 | 17.8 | 100 |

## English comprehension

| Std. | Of those who <br> can read words, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| II | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| III | 50.0 | 87.5 |
| IV | 66.7 | 87.5 |
| V | 63.6 | 88.2 |
| VI | 57.9 | 89.7 |
| VII | 73.9 | 91.3 |
| VIII | 50.0 | 95.1 |
| Total | 63.2 | 91.5 |
|  |  | ASER 2007 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 26.1 | 5.8 | 2.2 | Word | 5.5 | 23.5 | 17.7 |
| Std 1 level text | 19.4 | 82.5 | 17.3 | Std 1 level text | 14.6 | 80.0 | 24.4 |
| Std 2 level text | 28.9 | 95.5 | 87.7 | Std 2 level text | 76.7 | 97.1 | 92.4 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 80.7 | 4.4 | 14.6 |
| 9 to 10 | 40.0 | 8.9 | 50.6 |
| 11 to 14 | 19.7 | 5.5 | 74.5 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 75.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 |
| 9 to 10 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 60.9 | 8.7 | 26.1 |



Ability of children by arithmetic level to Iisten to a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| Govt. <br> school | 8.6 | 8.1 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 10.1 |
| Pvt. <br> school | 76.5 | 66.7 | 80.0 | 57.1 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 83.3 | 50.0 |



Dadra and Nagar Havelí rural

## School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 5; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 17

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 95.8 | 99.0 | 100.0 | 97.0 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 83.3 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 76.5 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 44.6 | 45.5 | 44.3 | 37.9 |
|  <br> teachers present | 40.2 | 39.8 | 35.0 | 32.8 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 0.0 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 11.8 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 33.3 | 77.8 | 100.0 | 76.5 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 50.0 | 5.6 | 40.0 | 56.3 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 16.7 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 33.3 | 83.3 | 60.0 | 37.5 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 83.3 | 94.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 90.9 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 8.3 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 95.2 |  | 11.1 |  |


| Multigrade classes | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Dadra \& Nagar | 91.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 88.8 | 89.2 | 19.1 | 72.0 | 54.1 | 8.8 |
| Total | 91.5 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 88.8 | 89.2 | 19.1 | 72.0 | 54.1 | 8.8 |




## MADHYA PRADESH rural

All analysis based on data from 45 out of 45 districts
Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 83.9 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 100 | 84.1 | 13.2 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 82.6 | 10.6 | 0.6 | 6.3 | 100 | 82.1 | 13.2 | 0.5 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 84.4 | 12.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 100 | 85.4 | 12.9 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 83.8 | 13.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 100 | 83.6 | 14.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 85.1 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 100 | 87.6 | 10.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 84.4 | 9.3 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 100 | 82.4 | 13.1 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 84.1 | 10.4 | 0.2 | 5.3 | 100 | 80.9 | 15.2 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 84.8 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 100 | 84.3 | 10.4 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 71.7 | 8.0 | 0.1 | 20.2 | 100 | 67.4 | 14.8 | 0.1 | 17.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 73.5 | 8.2 | 0.1 | 18.2 | 100 | 67.8 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 69.0 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 23.4 | 100 | 66.9 | 12.0 | 0.1 | 21.0 | 100 |
| Age and ClasS Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadf/Anganwadf) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 71.3 |  |  |  | 28.7 | 100 | 91.7 |  |  |  | 8.3 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 82.3 |  |  |  | 17.7 | 100 | 93.2 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 29.2 | 46.9 | 12.9 | 1.1 | 9.9 | 100 | 35.6 | 48.5 | 11.2 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 1.4 | 78.7 | 14.9 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 100 | 3.4 | 80.6 | 14.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 100 |

## Learning Level

| Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 11.3 | 54.8 | 26.1 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 100 |
| II | 2.6 | 23.4 | 47.6 | 21.2 | 5.3 | 100 |
| III | 1.2 | 7.7 | 22.7 | 42.8 | 25.7 | 100 |
| IV | 0.6 | 3.2 | 9.8 | 34.0 | 52.5 | 100 |
| V | 0.5 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 16.5 | 78.0 | 100 |
| VI | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 7.6 | 89.7 | 100 |
| VII | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 93.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 96.9 | 100 |
| Total | 2.4 | 12.9 | 16.0 | 18.6 | 50.1 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 12.0 | 52.2 | 29.4 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 100 |
| II | 3.1 | 24.9 | 51.9 | 17.0 | 3.2 | 100 |
| III | 1.4 | 8.2 | 29.2 | 45.1 | 16.2 | 100 |
| IV | 0.6 | 3.6 | 15.0 | 43.0 | 37.7 | 100 |
| V | 0.4 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 25.5 | 65.8 | 100 |
| VI | 0.3 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 13.0 | 82.2 | 100 |
| VII | 0.1 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 87.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 5.7 | 92.1 | 100 |
| Total | 2.5 | 13.0 | 19.5 | 22.2 | 42.7 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 52.0 | 32.5 | 11.2 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 100 | I | 46.8 | 77.4 |
| II | 26.7 | 43.6 | 20.9 | 7.0 | 1.9 | 100 | II | 49.5 | 82.7 |
| III | 13.8 | 34.2 | 30.5 | 16.6 | 4.9 | 100 | III | 46.7 | 72.6 |
| IV | 7.9 | 22.7 | 30.7 | 27.5 | 11.3 | 100 | IV | 50.0 | 73.7 |
| V | 4.2 | 13.6 | 24.0 | 35.6 | 22.6 | 100 | V | 54.6 | 73.1 |
| VI | 1.3 | 4.9 | 11.9 | 35.0 | 46.8 | 100 | VI | 64.9 | 77.5 |
| VII | 1.0 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 23.9 | 64.6 | 100 | VII | 68.2 | 80.4 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 76.1 | 100 | VIII | 73.7 | 88.2 |
| Total | 15.2 | 21.9 | 19.2 | 20.3 | 23.5 | 100 | Total | 57.0 | 80.3 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 23.5 | 6.6 | 3.3 | Word | 2.9 | 20.3 | 15.1 |
| Std 1 level text | 25.7 | 61.7 | 19.9 | Std 1 level text | 9.5 | 72.8 | 31.6 |
| Std 2 level text | 30.6 | 92.2 | 82.0 | Std 2 level text | 86.3 | 97.8 | 94.2 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a <br> subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group Children who can solve |  |  |  |
|  | None | Simple <br> problem only | Both <br> problems |
| 6 to 8 | 76.0 | 4.8 | 19.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 35.9 | 7.6 | 56.1 |
| 11 to 14 | 11.1 | 4.1 | 84.6 |


|  | Children not in-school |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | Children who can solve <br> Simple |  |  |
|  | None | Both <br> problem only <br> problems |  |
| 6 to 8 | 79.9 | 2.0 | 18.1 |
| 9 to 10 | 61.0 | 7.4 | 31.6 |
| 11 to 14 | 46.1 | 6.4 | 47.5 |










##   

Hi -



Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

|  | Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Children in specific |  |


| Children in age group : 11 to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |

## School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 3.0 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 11.4 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 12.8 | 13.5 | 17.0 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 30.6 |  |



Madhya Pradesh rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 935; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 343

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 86.0 | 79.2 | 91.3 | 85.8 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 4.3 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 71.5 | 46.6 | 76.8 | 51.6 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
|  | 43.5 | 48.2 | 41.3 | 44.6 |
|  | 33.0 | 39.7 | 30.7 | 36.0 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | Std. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 23.6 | 19.6 | 18.3 | 15.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 14.2 | 10.8 | 9.0 | 6.8 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 62.2 | 69.6 | 72.7 | 78.2 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 54.3 | 43.8 | 34.2 | 33.2 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 18.5 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 15.9 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 27.2 | 40.1 | 49.9 | 50.9 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 74.8 | 82.6 | 96.4 | 93.5 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 77.2 | 70.1 | 58.1 | 56.2 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 77.1 |  | 56.5 |  |


| Multigrade classes | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 71.6 | 76.0 |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 61.4 | 59.8 |
| Over $90 \%$ classes that were visited have blackboards. In close to $90 \%$ of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil. |  |  |
| Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent. |  |  |


*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Sheopur | 100.0 | 1.3 | 9.2 | 98.6 | 96.1 | 47.3 | 69.0 | 63.7 | 8.3 |
| Morena | 95.2 | 0.2 | 14.5 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 48.2 | 82.0 | 78.4 | 5.8 |
| Bhind | 76.6 | 0.7 | 12.6 | 83.6 | 83.6 | 61.3 | 63.8 | 60.1 | 15.0 |
| Gwalior | 98.0 | 1.3 | 8.8 | 67.8 | 69.4 | 46.1 | 43.8 | 33.9 | 6.9 |
| Datia | 93.4 | 1.0 | 10.4 | 88.5 | 89.8 | 63.9 | 74.1 | 76.7 | 1.8 |
| Shivpuri | 93.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 93.6 | 96.4 | 52.3 | 97.5 | 94.2 | 3.9 |
| Guna | 98.8 | 2.7 | 8.4 | 93.2 | 92.4 | 32.5 | 73.3 | 62.0 | 9.1 |
| Tikamgarh | 78.8 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 93.0 | 95.5 | 24.7 | 86.7 | 86.8 | 1.8 |
| Chhatarpur | 98.4 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 94.0 | 91.8 | 76.1 | 89.1 | 84.2 | 4.4 |
| Panna | 55.6 | 3.0 | 18.6 | 86.3 | 83.4 | 69.7 | 81.6 | 73.6 | 30.2 |
| Sagar | 100.0 | 1.6 | 13.1 | 90.6 | 90.3 | 49.5 | 76.5 | 69.8 | 5.3 |
| Damoh | 91.8 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 91.7 | 90.3 | 52.4 | 87.2 | 84.8 | 6.1 |
| Satna | 91.9 | 2.9 | 19.0 | 85.5 | 90.7 | 51.9 | 70.4 | 62.6 | 5.6 |
| Rewa | 75.0 | 1.8 | 18.6 | 84.6 | 76.5 | 36.0 | 68.4 | 58.9 | 15.9 |
| Umaria | 81.3 | 0.4 | 6.8 | 89.0 | 92.0 | 36.4 | 67.7 | 61.3 | 4.8 |
| Shahdol | 99.3 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 86.8 | 85.8 | 57.1 | 82.5 | 75.4 | 10.7 |
| Sidhi | 92.1 | 1.6 | 11.7 | 90.1 | 89.8 | 79.0 | 81.0 | 72.0 | 18.4 |
| Neemuch | 100.0 | 1.7 | 25.1 | 90.9 | 91.8 | 82.8 | 95.6 | 89.8 | 62.8 |
| Mandsaur | 96.9 | 2.2 | 27.0 | 98.4 | 97.4 | 74.6 | 77.8 | 69.7 | 10.9 |
| Ratlam | 75.9 | 4.8 | 17.5 | 93.9 | 92.2 | 86.5 | 88.1 | 85.4 | 16.0 |
| Ujjain | 92.5 | 3.1 | 15.1 | 98.8 | 97.6 | 65.1 | 92.8 | 92.4 | 7.8 |
| Shajapur | 98.3 | 2.6 | 25.5 | 95.7 | 94.1 | 80.9 | 78.7 | 75.8 | 17.2 |
| Dewas | 95.5 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 98.0 | 98.3 | 73.7 | 87.0 | 86.5 | 31.4 |
| Jhabua | 99.5 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 93.3 | 91.4 | 55.1 | 92.3 | 89.3 | 3.7 |
| Dhar | 87.8 | 4.9 | 17.3 | 99.1 | 97.3 | 53.9 | 97.6 | 97.4 | 5.7 |
| Indore | 95.6 | 1.1 | 34.0 | 98.3 | 99.3 | 72.7 | 93.9 | 89.8 | 20.3 |
| West Nimar | 98.3 | 2.7 | 18.6 | 95.5 | 95.5 | 55.2 | 92.3 | 91.4 | 8.1 |
| Barwani | 90.4 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 74.0 | 88.4 | 86.9 | 20.7 |
| East Nimar | 84.9 | 3.4 | 11.5 | 100.0 | 99.3 | 96.0 | 97.0 | 96.8 | 51.2 |
| Rajgarh | 98.6 | 1.6 | 11.5 | 96.2 | 94.2 | 44.2 | 95.2 | 95.0 | 22.6 |
| Vidisha | 99.6 | 1.8 | 13.3 | 97.1 | 96.6 | 93.4 | 92.5 | 91.5 | 39.8 |
| Bhopal | 100.0 | 2.3 | 16.3 | 94.1 | 94.7 | 41.0 | 92.2 | 85.2 | 4.7 |
| Sehore | 98.7 | 1.8 | 24.1 | 90.7 | 92.0 | 62.9 | 83.4 | 81.9 | 5.1 |
| Raisen | 91.1 | 3.4 | 18.2 | 96.6 | 96.6 | 48.9 | 86.0 | 83.0 | 20.0 |
| Betul | 99.3 | 2.4 | 9.4 | 98.8 | 98.3 | 51.5 | 91.1 | 84.5 | 2.9 |
| Harda | 80.2 | 1.8 | 14.9 | 95.3 | 94.0 | 47.9 | 93.3 | 89.3 | 8.2 |
| Hoshangabad | 100.0 | 1.0 | 10.2 | 95.6 | 95.9 | 60.2 | 95.5 | 89.8 | 9.5 |
| Katni | 88.2 | 1.9 | 10.7 | 96.1 | 93.6 | 82.8 | 88.8 | 82.6 | 35.7 |
| Jabalpur | 97.7 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 92.8 | 90.3 | 73.9 | 72.8 | 60.0 | 16.3 |
| Narsinghpur | 100.0 | 1.8 | 14.0 | 96.1 | 96.1 | 62.2 | 84.2 | 73.0 | 10.2 |
| Dindori | 97.4 | 1.3 | 5.8 | 88.8 | 85.4 | 68.8 | 71.6 | 55.3 | 14.0 |
| Mandla | 91.2 | 2.4 | 12.2 | 88.8 | 81.5 | 51.2 | 73.1 | 71.6 | 7.0 |
| Chhindwara | 92.2 | 2.9 | 10.4 | 87.6 | 93.3 | 52.2 | 69.2 | 61.7 | 12.7 |
| Seoni | 94.5 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 95.3 | 94.9 | 85.8 | 90.4 | 86.0 | 6.8 |
| Balaghat | 96.8 | 1.1 | 19.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 58.1 | 77.9 | 63.1 | 4.5 |
| Total | 92.4 | 2.2 | 13.2 | 93.0 | 92.4 | 60.5 | 83.0 | 77.7 | 13.0 |



All analysis based on data from 15 out of 16 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 84.2 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 100 | 86.7 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 80.2 | 7.9 | 0.1 | 11.8 | 100 | 82.9 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 8.7 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 86.1 | 9.2 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 100 | 88.4 | 9.2 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 85.0 | 10.5 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 100 | 87.0 | 10.7 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 87.2 | 7.8 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 100 | 90.0 | 7.6 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 82.1 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 11.3 | 100 | 84.7 | 7.0 | 0.1 | 8.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 83.3 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 9.0 | 100 | 83.7 | 8.5 | 0.2 | 7.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 80.9 | 5.4 | 0.1 | 13.6 | 100 | 85.8 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 62.1 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 30.1 | 100 | 63.7 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 27.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 64.3 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 100 | 64.0 | 10.4 | 0.1 | 25.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 59.9 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 33.6 | 100 | 63.4 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 29.3 | 100 |
| Age and Class Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 65.8 |  |  |  | 34.2 | 100 | 82.1 |  |  |  | 18.0 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 77.6 |  |  |  | 22.5 | 100 | 89.6 |  |  |  | 10.4 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 56.0 | 23.9 | 7.3 | 0.1 | 12.8 | 100 | 53.9 | 27.1 | 9.6 | 0.8 | 8.6 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 7.3 | 76.4 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 100 | 4.2 | 82.5 | 11.3 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100 |

## Chhattisgarh rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 32.5 | 56.3 | 8.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 100 |
| II | 9.0 | 52.6 | 28.2 | 6.2 | 4.0 | 100 |
| III | 2.7 | 19.9 | 46.3 | 20.6 | 10.5 | 100 |
| IV | 1.5 | 8.4 | 23.9 | 34.8 | 31.6 | 100 |
| V | 0.9 | 2.7 | 10.1 | 28.3 | 58.0 | 100 |
| VI | 0.4 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 17.6 | 74.7 | 100 |
| VII | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 9.7 | 86.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 91.2 | 100 |
| Total | 7.0 | 20.9 | 17.4 | 15.6 | 39.2 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 31.0 | 57.6 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 100 |
| II | 9.3 | 53.6 | 31.1 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 100 |
| III | 2.7 | 26.8 | 48.7 | 19.6 | 2.2 | 100 |
| IV | 1.3 | 11.1 | 34.9 | 40.1 | 12.6 | 100 |
| V | 0.5 | 5.3 | 17.4 | 44.1 | 32.7 | 100 |
| VI | 0.4 | 3.5 | 11.6 | 34.7 | 49.9 | 100 |
| VII | 0.2 | 1.9 | 8.2 | 25.1 | 64.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.3 | 0.7 | 6.1 | 19.4 | 73.4 | 100 |
| Total | 6.8 | 23.2 | 22.3 | 22.5 | 25.2 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 69.5 | 22.1 | 6.5 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 100 |
| II | 45.2 | 37.2 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 100 |
| III | 27.2 | 39.3 | 23.1 | 9.1 | 1.3 | 100 |
| IV | 16.5 | 30.6 | 30.2 | 17.2 | 5.5 | 100 |
| V | 6.9 | 21.4 | 28.5 | 30.0 | 13.3 | 100 |
| VI | 2.5 | 11.7 | 23.8 | 34.3 | 27.8 | 100 |
| VII | 2.0 | 6.6 | 13.6 | 34.6 | 43.3 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 4.1 | 8.7 | 24.7 | 62.1 | 100 |
| Total | 24.6 | 23.3 | 18.5 | 17.5 | 16.1 | 100 |
| TH |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

| Of those who <br> can read words, <br> $\%$ who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| I | 38.5 | 60.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 42.3 | 82.3 |
| III | 46.1 | 54.8 |
| IV | 40.0 | 61.1 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 40.7 | 60.9 |
| VI | 50.0 | 69.6 |
| VII | 50.7 | 69.0 |
| VIII | 55.1 | 77.2 |
| Total | 47.0 | 70.9 |

## Comprehension \＆Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group ： 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group ： 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \％Children in specific reading level | \％at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \％Children in specific reading level | \％at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 26.0 | 6.4 | 2.8 | Word | 6.4 | 15.6 | 7.7 |
| Std 1 level text | 15.7 | 51.9 | 16.1 | Std 1 level text | 16.8 | 69.7 | 29.7 |
| Std 2 level text | 14.7 | 86.7 | 72.6 | Std 2 level text | 73.8 | 94.3 | 87.4 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| In－school children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | \％Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 91.4 | 3.3 | 4.9 |
| 9 to 10 | 61.3 | 10.6 | 27.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 20.2 | 9.4 | 68.8 |
| Children not in－school |  |  |  |
|  | \％Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 89.0 | 5.4 | 4.7 |
| 9 to 10 | 83.7 | 4.5 | 11.7 |
| 11 to 14 | 63.0 | 7.5 | 29.3 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group ： 6 to 10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic level | \％ <br> Children in | \％Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  | specific arithmetic level | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10－99 | 29.9 | 85.5 | 8.6 | 5.3 |
| Subtraction | 17.3 | 45.9 | 13.1 | 39.6 |
| Division | 6.2 | 9.6 | 7.9 | 80.7 |

School going children attending tuition class

| \％Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std． | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| Govt． <br> school | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.8 |
| Pvt． <br> school | 7.4 | 4.8 | 8.6 | 5.4 | 17.1 | 4.1 | 9.5 | 9.0 |



Chhattisgarh rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 349; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 77

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 89.2 | 89.3 | 92.8 | 83.9 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 1.4 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 76.5 | 72.7 | 80.9 | 54.5 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 40.0 | 48.7 | 45.0 | 45.2 |
|  <br> teachers present | 30.2 | 42.8 | 35.5 | 44.0 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 88.1 | 80.0 | 48.0 | 50.2 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 90.5 |  | 55.8 |  |
|  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Multigrade classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 66.0 |  | 64.9 |  |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 48.4 |  | 55.8 |  |

Close to 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In about $90 \%$ of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Korea | 98.7 | 3.3 | 7.7 | 75.2 | 76.3 | 55.6 | 27.8 | 20.5 | 1.1 |
| Surguja | 80.9 | 4.3 | 7.3 | 71.4 | 73.2 | 44.9 | 47.5 | 43.3 | 4.0 |
| Jashpur | 86.3 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 89.1 | 90.3 | 16.6 | 70.2 | 63.2 | 4.2 |
| Raigarh | 82.5 | 3.7 | 12.2 | 75.3 | 76.4 | 46.5 | 59.7 | 36.3 | 8.4 |
| Korba | 84.8 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 71.4 | 75.9 | 42.4 | 48.5 | 36.8 | 4.0 |
| Janjgir Champa | 81.4 | 3.9 | 16.4 | 63.4 | 63.7 | 37.3 | 50.4 | 42.3 | 5.2 |
| Bilaspur | 86.1 | 5.6 | 9.8 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 18.7 | 54.5 | 48.2 | 4.3 |
| Kawardha | 89.9 | 7.4 | 15.3 | 85.5 | 86.6 | 65.4 | 69.5 | 50.2 | 10.0 |
| Rajnandgaon | 85.4 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 84.2 | 87.8 | 59.1 | 71.6 | 63.3 | 3.7 |
| Durg | 91.9 | 3.2 | 4.7 | 87.5 | 86.8 | 41.6 | 66.3 | 51.2 | 4.9 |
| Raipur | 80.4 | 4.9 | 10.1 | 78.8 | 79.9 | 47.2 | 65.1 | 45.1 | 8.0 |
| Mahasamund | 88.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 75.0 | 82.9 | 58.5 | 66.6 | 62.5 | 23.2 |
| Dhamtari | 83.7 | 3.0 | 10.4 | 83.4 | 80.7 | 38.6 | 74.0 | 60.5 | 4.8 |
| Kanker | 91.0 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 80.6 | 79.3 | 42.7 | 64.5 | 56.4 | 5.9 |
| Bastar | 89.4 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 72.3 | 66.7 | 41.6 | 58.0 | 57.1 | 9.0 |
| Total | 85.8 | 4.6 | 8.5 | 78.9 | 79.6 | 42.3 | 59.6 | 48.9 | 6.4 |




# ORISSA rural 

All analysis based on data from 30 out of 30 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 86.2 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 9.1 | 100 | 87.7 | 3.3 | 1.1 | 8.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 82.2 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 13.2 | 100 | 83.5 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 11.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 88.5 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 6.8 | 100 | 91.0 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 88.7 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 6.4 | 100 | 90.8 | 2.6 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 88.4 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 7.2 | 100 | 91.2 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 83.2 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 12.4 | 100 | 83.2 | 4.4 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 84.0 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 11.4 | 100 | 83.8 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 10.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 82.3 | 3.9 | 0.1 | 13.7 | 100 | 82.6 | 4.6 | 0.4 | 12.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 64.6 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 100 | 56.4 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 33.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 65.7 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 29.2 | 100 | 57.8 | 9.9 | 0.4 | 31.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 63.2 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 100 | 55.0 | 8.6 | 0.6 | 35.8 | 100 |
| Age and Class Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 58.8 |  |  |  | 41.2 | 100 | 73.9 |  |  |  | 26.1 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 65.3 |  |  | 34.7 | 100 |  | 79.1 |  |  |  | 20.9 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 15.069 .1 | 4.9 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 100 |  | 22.7 | 61.4 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 11.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 0.087 .8 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 6.0 | 100 |  | 3.3 | 86.0 | 2.9 | 1.9 | 5.9 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149 |

Orissa rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 36.6 | 40.9 | 13.8 | 5.0 | 3.9 | 100 |
| II | 14.3 | 31.4 | 27.4 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 100 |
| III | 8.7 | 19.2 | 27.5 | 23.5 | 21.1 | 100 |
| IV | 4.6 | 11.5 | 17.9 | 28.3 | 37.6 | 100 |
| V | 2.9 | 7.2 | 12.8 | 27.4 | 49.7 | 100 |
| VI | 2.0 | 4.1 | 7.7 | 23.5 | 62.7 | 100 |
| VII | 1.9 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 19.1 | 69.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 13.7 | 79.4 | 100 |
| Total | 10.1 | 16.3 | 15.3 | 19.4 | 38.9 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 40.6 | 37.0 | 16.7 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 100 |
| II | 16.7 | 32.7 | 30.0 | 16.1 | 4.6 | 100 |
| III | 10.1 | 23.6 | 31.2 | 24.6 | 10.5 | 100 |
| IV | 4.7 | 15.7 | 26.1 | 32.1 | 21.5 | 100 |
| V | 3.2 | 9.9 | 22.2 | 32.7 | 31.9 | 100 |
| VI | 2.6 | 6.1 | 16.0 | 30.8 | 44.6 | 100 |
| VII | 1.8 | 5.3 | 14.1 | 28.2 | 50.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.3 | 2.7 | 10.6 | 24.8 | 60.7 | 100 |
| Total | 11.2 | 17.8 | 21.5 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 73.0 | 15.3 | 6.0 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 100 |
| II | 46.5 | 23.2 | 13.7 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 100 |
| III | 28.9 | 23.4 | 18.5 | 19.1 | 10.1 | 100 |
| IV | 18.1 | 16.7 | 20.0 | 25.9 | 19.3 | 100 |
| V | 11.0 | 13.4 | 17.7 | 28.0 | 30.0 | 100 |
| VI | 7.5 | 9.3 | 12.4 | 26.7 | 44.1 | 100 |
| VII | 6.1 | 8.7 | 12.1 | 24.6 | 48.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 3.6 | 4.1 | 9.2 | 21.5 | 61.7 | 100 |
| Total | 26.2 | 14.8 | 13.9 | 19.9 | 25.2 | 100 |
| TO |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

| Of those who <br> can read words, <br> $\%$ who can tell | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> $\%$ who can tell |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | words | sentences |


| I | 43.3 | 58.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 49.0 | 68.9 |
| III | 53.6 | 66.2 |
| IV | 57.9 | 63.6 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 60.1 | 71.1 |
| VI | 63.0 | 73.1 |
| VII | 65.0 | 75.7 |
| VIII | 72.3 | 78.7 |
| Total | 59.9 | 72.8 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 20.3 | 19.6 | 16.3 | Word | 7.1 | 31.2 | 24.6 |
| Std 1 level text | 20.6 | 64.3 | 43.2 | Std 1 level text | 20.5 | 73.5 | 49.9 |
| Std 2 level text | 26.6 | 87.4 | 81.3 | Std 2 level text | 66.2 | 92.5 | 88.1 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 72.0 | 6.7 | 20.5 |
| 9 to 10 | 40.8 | 9.6 | 47.6 |
| 11 to 14 | 21.0 | 9.7 | 67.8 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 94.0 | 1.7 | 4.2 |
| 9 to 10 | 85.3 | 3.8 | 10.4 |
| 11 to 14 | 70.9 | 5.1 | 22.8 |


|  <br>  <br>  Gunglatil |
| :---: |
| R <br>  |











Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| Arithmetic level | Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% <br> Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 26.0 | 72.9 | 8.8 | 16.9 |
| Subtraction | 22.9 | 29.5 | 15.9 | 51.9 |
| Division | 15.5 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 84.6 |


| Children in age group : 11 to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 32.9 | 45.5 | 43.7 | 50.3 | 50.9 | 51.5 | 51.0 | 52.1 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 57.0 | 60.8 | 40.1 | 52.6 | 62.3 | 42.3 | 55.3 | 36.8 |  |



Orissa rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 404; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 303

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
|  | 78.9 | 69.3 | 90.8 | 87.2 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 3.0 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 53.5 | 32.5 | 76.9 | 61.5 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 36.5 | 40.5 | 36.7 | 39.4 |
|  <br> teachers present | 29.6 | 41.8 | 28.5 | 32.0 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision <br> Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 13.9 | 9.5 | 13.7 | 8.4 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 19.7 | 18.2 | 10.4 | 12.5 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 66.3 | 72.3 | 75.9 | 79.1 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 20.7 | 33.3 | 24.1 | 29.9 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 21.7 | 25.5 | 41.9 | 46.6 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 66.2 | 71.2 | 96.9 | 97.3 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.
*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | $\%$ Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or orther pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Bargarh | 90.0 | 6.0 | 3.8 | 83.3 | 75.6 | 43.3 | 56.2 | 43.8 | 15.0 |
| Jharsuguda | 94.6 | 5.3 | 8.3 | 79.9 | 70.9 | 40.1 | 61.9 | 46.2 | 10.1 |
| Sambalpur | 86.0 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 81.4 | 76.6 | 34.5 | 64.9 | 42.2 | 11.2 |
| Deogarh | 90.5 | 6.0 | 8.7 | 73.9 | 73.8 | 50.7 | 71.2 | 75.7 | 33.8 |
| Sundargarh | 87.4 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 67.4 | 70.5 | 45.1 | 52.1 | 39.2 | 21.1 |
| Kendujhar | 76.0 | 7.6 | 2.2 | 77.4 | 79.2 | 46.7 | 65.5 | 58.1 | 27.3 |
| Mayurbhanj | 87.6 | 12.1 | 0.8 | 87.3 | 76.7 | 28.4 | 70.5 | 66.8 | 22.8 |
| Baleshwar* |  | 3.1 | 0.1 | 89.1 | 82.7 | 63.8 | 75.2 | 61.8 | 23.6 |
| Bhadrak | 86.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 87.7 | 80.3 | 51.6 | 74.7 | 65.1 | 29.3 |
| Kendraparha | 73.6 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 84.0 | 85.7 | 55.6 | 75.4 | 73.3 | 28.3 |
| Jagatsinghapur | 98.7 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 81.4 | 83.8 | 45.3 | 79.3 | 67.2 | 35.2 |
| Cuttack | 75.4 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 91.0 | 90.6 | 70.5 | 77.2 | 62.9 | 29.3 |
| Jajapur | 92.7 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 82.4 | 78.6 | 43.1 | 79.2 | 71.8 | 31.2 |
| Dhenkanal | 85.3 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 82.0 | 83.1 | 54.2 | 50.8 | 46.4 | 16.0 |
| Anugul* |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 57.9 | 57.7 | 22.7 | 44.6 | 20.4 | 3.7 |
| Nayagarh | 81.1 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 73.0 | 73.5 | 52.5 | 73.0 | 55.8 | 19.2 |
| Khordha | 89.6 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 80.9 | 82.1 | 45.1 | 75.5 | 61.5 | 16.4 |
| Puri | 92.0 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 98.1 | 92.5 | 69.8 | 87.0 | 83.5 | 40.6 |
| Ganjam | 45.3 | 9.0 | 2.4 | 70.0 | 70.3 | 31.4 | 56.7 | 42.1 | 16.7 |
| Gajapati | 89.7 | 13.5 | 7.8 | 68.4 | 72.7 | 43.5 | 53.4 | 53.7 | 12.6 |
| Kandhamal | 70.4 | 12.0 | 3.7 | 73.3 | 68.8 | 39.2 | 59.8 | 50.6 | 15.9 |
| Bauda | 84.5 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 75.0 | 70.8 | 26.5 | 64.2 | 46.2 | 8.8 |
| Sonapur | 87.3 | 8.7 | 1.1 | 73.4 | 68.0 | 30.3 | 70.5 | 50.8 | 18.7 |
| Balangir | 67.3 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 69.2 | 63.3 | 22.2 | 61.7 | 40.6 | 19.3 |
| Nuaparha | 62.9 | 12.4 | 3.1 | 39.9 | 37.3 | 12.0 | 34.2 | 19.8 | 3.9 |
| Kalahandi | 71.2 | 6.4 | 2.0 | 62.8 | 56.7 | 30.4 | 62.1 | 47.0 | 21.7 |
| Rayagarha | 49.4 | 36.3 | 0.7 | 72.9 | 73.6 | 40.7 | 66.5 | 59.6 | 33.6 |
| Nabarangapur | 66.7 | 14.6 | 4.8 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 3.9 | 24.3 | 14.8 | 1.9 |
| Koraput | 66.4 | 21.8 | 1.9 | 61.2 | 54.0 | 28.0 | 44.3 | 31.9 | 9.7 |
| Malkangiri | 79.7 | 22.6 | 0.6 | 53.6 | 42.4 | 19.5 | 37.7 | 34.6 | 7.4 |
| Total | 76.3 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 73.6 | 70.3 | 39.1 | 63.6 | 52.1 | 20.6 |
| * Blank cells indica | ufficient data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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All analysis based on data from 33 out of 33 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 77.7 | 18.3 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 100 | 71.9 | 25.8 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 71.0 | 22.6 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 100 | 63.9 | 32.5 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 88.5 | 8.6 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 100 | 89.2 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 88.6 | 8.7 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 100 | 88.9 | 9.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 88.4 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 100 | 89.5 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 64.9 | 29.6 | 0.2 | 5.4 | 100 | 48.3 | 48.2 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 65.2 | 29.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 100 | 48.5 | 47.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 64.5 | 29.2 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 100 | 48.0 | 48.7 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 46.9 | 37.5 | 0.2 | 15.5 | 100 | 21.9 | 66.0 | 0.4 | 11.7 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 47.8 | 37.3 | 0.2 | 14.7 | 100 | 24.0 | 65.3 | 0.3 | 10.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 45.8 | 37.7 | 0.2 | 16.4 | 100 | 19.4 | 66.9 | 0.5 | 13.1 | 100 |
| Age and Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadf/Anganwadf) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 85.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 | 100 | 87.2 |  |  |  | 12.8 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 94.7 |  |  |  | 5.3 | 100 | 97.0 |  |  |  | 3.0 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 90.8 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 100 | 87.1 | 8.7 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 5.7 | 86.0 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 100 | 10.1 | 82.2 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 100 |

Maharashtra rural

## Learning Level

Reading level: \% Children who can read

| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 12.2 | 43.1 | 32.2 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 100 |
| II | 3.5 | 15.7 | 32.5 | 31.6 | 16.7 | 100 |
| III | 1.9 | 6.2 | 17.1 | 38.0 | 36.8 | 100 |
| IV | 1.0 | 2.5 | 8.1 | 29.0 | 59.4 | 100 |
| V | 0.6 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 18.5 | 74.1 | 100 |
| VI | 0.6 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 13.3 | 82.1 | 100 |
| VII | 0.8 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 9.0 | 87.5 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 6.8 | 90.9 | 100 |
| Total | 2.9 | 9.9 | 13.9 | 20.2 | 53.2 | 100 |

Arithmetic level: \% Children who can

| Std. | Nothing | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 10.5 | 52.5 | 31.2 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 100 |
| II | 3.4 | 22.4 | 50.1 | 21.4 | 2.7 | 100 |
| III | 1.9 | 10.0 | 36.0 | 42.4 | 9.8 | 100 |
| IV | 1.1 | 4.7 | 23.8 | 42.7 | 27.8 | 100 |
| V | 0.9 | 3.1 | 14.9 | 36.8 | 44.3 | 100 |
| VI | 0.7 | 1.7 | 11.3 | 31.1 | 55.3 | 100 |
| VII | 0.9 | 1.3 | 9.8 | 23.0 | 65.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.6 | 0.8 | 8.0 | 17.2 | 73.4 | 100 |
| Total | 2.7 | 13.3 | 24.6 | 27.9 | 31.6 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 71.9 | 16.8 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 100 | I | 38.1 | 81.2 |
| II | 48.0 | 25.5 | 17.2 | 6.6 | 2.7 | 100 | II | 51.4 | 65.1 |
| III | 30.4 | 25.9 | 22.5 | 15.5 | 5.8 | 100 | III | 60.6 | 77.2 |
| IV | 16.7 | 23.8 | 23.7 | 23.2 | 12.6 | 100 | IV | 66.8 | 81.6 |
| V | 5.8 | 14.1 | 18.0 | 33.0 | 29.1 | 100 | V | 70.6 | 80.6 |
| VI | 3.8 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 29.5 | 43.5 | 100 | VI | 69.6 | 80.8 |
| VII | 3.5 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 24.7 | 54.9 | 100 | VII | 69.6 | 81.3 |
| VIII | 2.4 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 18.4 | 67.5 | 100 | VIII | 68.9 | 83.3 |
| Total | 25.0 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 18.6 | 24.0 | 100 | Total | 66.7 | 81.3 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 21.5 | 12.0 | 4.5 | Word | 2.8 | 23.5 | 11.0 |
| Std 1 level text | 25.9 | 73.7 | 23.6 | Std 1 level text | 12.4 | 79.2 | 39.1 |
| Std 2 level text | 32.7 | 94.0 | 83.4 | Std 2 level text | 83.2 | 96.6 | 91.8 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 69.9 | 8.5 | 20.8 |
| 9 to 10 | 29.8 | 11.3 | 57.7 |
| 11 to 14 | 11.5 | 6.6 | 80.9 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 88.7 | 2.9 | 7.7 |
| 9 to 10 | 65.6 | 4.8 | 29.7 |
| 11 to 14 | 50.8 | 7.2 | 41.0 |

## Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to

| Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic level | \% <br> Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 11.1 | 49.0 | 11.2 | 38.6 |
| Subtraction | 28.1 | 11.4 | 12.4 | 74.7 |
| Division | 58.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 94.6 |
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| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |
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## School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 480; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 403

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 86.0 | 83.7 | 94.0 | 90.0 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 3.1 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 65.4 | 46.9 | 82.9 | 63.6 |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 83.2 | 83.2 | 91.7 | 92.6 |
| \% schools with less than 50\% <br> enrolled children attending | 4.9 | 3.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 80.3 | 82.2 | 93.6 | 97.6 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 29.6 | 29.5 | 28.5 | 28.6 |
|  <br> teachers present | 27.2 | 28.7 | 27.9 | 29.3 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 98.1 | 97.7 | 91.4 | 91.5 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 96.0 |  | 83.9 |  |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision <br> Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 40.1 | 29.2 | 28.5 | 16.9 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 7.8 | 9.7 | 7.1 | 7.8 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 52.1 | 61.1 | 64.4 | 75.3 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 14.1 | 19.6 | 82.8 | 15.5 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 46.1 | 57.6 | 76.3 | 8.6 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 86.3 | 81.6 | 98.5 | 99.2 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

[^13]
## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Nandurbar | 95.0 | 9.2 | 15.8 | 69.7 | 69.4 | 18.9 | 71.6 | 44.6 | 15.2 |
| Dhule | 97.6 | 2.7 | 40.0 | 90.8 | 92.1 | 50.5 | 84.3 | 33.0 | 16.5 |
| Jalgaon | 97.7 | 1.1 | 27.8 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 23.3 | 91.4 | 61.2 | 11.0 |
| Buldana | 91.9 | 1.4 | 13.6 | 95.2 | 95.7 | 69.4 | 85.5 | 84.7 | 35.3 |
| Akola | 100.0 | 3.4 | 31.4 | 77.2 | 79.0 | 40.4 | 87.9 | 73.8 | 31.7 |
| Washim | 95.0 | 1.6 | 23.5 | 91.0 | 94.8 | 58.9 | 81.6 | 76.0 | 19.3 |
| Amravati | 97.6 | 1.1 | 38.5 | 87.2 | 87.7 | 40.9 | 76.0 | 52.4 | 17.3 |
| Wardha | 98.5 | 1.2 | 26.0 | 95.9 | 92.7 | 56.3 | 88.4 | 67.2 | 12.5 |
| Nagpur | 99.1 | 0.4 | 41.7 | 92.4 | 93.0 | 37.8 | 83.2 | 67.0 | 8.4 |
| Bhandara | 93.1 | 0.9 | 36.5 | 96.1 | 95.4 | 47.7 | 92.2 | 71.7 | 11.2 |
| Gondia | 99.5 | 0.4 | 21.2 | 95.6 | 95.1 | 47.3 | 82.3 | 63.3 | 9.7 |
| Gadchiroli | 86.8 | 4.4 | 27.3 | 87.7 | 87.7 | 46.0 | 76.6 | 71.4 | 10.6 |
| Chandrapur | 98.0 | 2.4 | 24.2 | 84.2 | 82.9 | 25.6 | 73.2 | 43.3 | 2.9 |
| Yavatmal | 95.7 | 2.3 | 25.0 | 89.4 | 88.8 | 31.2 | 77.6 | 56.1 | 10.2 |
| Nanded | 95.6 | 2.8 | 21.6 | 93.4 | 94.1 | 39.7 | 81.4 | 59.1 | 10.2 |
| Hingoli | 100.0 | 0.9 | 22.5 | 94.7 | 97.8 | 43.7 | 83.3 | 51.6 | 8.9 |
| Parbhani | 89.2 | 3.5 | 25.1 | 91.3 | 91.2 | 49.0 | 86.5 | 75.5 | 16.9 |
| Jalna | 86.3 | 0.2 | 13.1 | 90.5 | 92.1 | 29.6 | 83.9 | 76.2 | 10.4 |
| Aurangabad | 87.5 | 3.4 | 22.5 | 79.1 | 88.2 | 40.2 | 68.5 | 38.9 | 21.0 |
| Nashik | 77.1 | 2.2 | 18.2 | 86.7 | 90.4 | 15.0 | 76.0 | 46.5 | 4.9 |
| Thane | 93.6 | 4.3 | 23.4 | 97.2 | 97.2 | 42.3 | 89.3 | 72.4 | 9.2 |
| Raigad | 99.3 | 1.8 | 36.4 | 94.8 | 97.1 | 34.3 | 93.5 | 86.2 | 43.5 |
| Pune | 100.0 | 0.5 | 27.9 | 91.6 | 90.6 | 45.0 | 84.5 | 76.7 | 15.5 |
| Ahmednagar | 99.4 | 0.7 | 37.2 | 97.0 | 97.3 | 39.3 | 95.7 | 76.2 | 14.2 |
| Beed | 88.2 | 2.5 | 18.2 | 92.1 | 93.0 | 26.1 | 92.5 | 74.3 | 4.1 |
| Latur | 98.9 | 0.5 | 20.8 | 90.5 | 92.7 | 41.3 | 82.8 | 73.9 | 21.0 |
| Osmanabad | 91.5 | 1.1 | 25.6 | 92.2 | 95.3 | 61.5 | 89.6 | 69.6 | 22.8 |
| Solapur | 93.3 | 2.3 | 30.5 | 97.1 | 97.9 | 60.2 | 92.4 | 82.8 | 31.1 |
| Satara | 95.3 | 1.0 | 26.7 | 99.1 | 97.8 | 38.0 | 89.4 | 83.2 | 13.0 |
| Ratnagiri | 93.3 | 0.5 | 5.9 | 97.8 | 97.2 | 35.6 | 86.4 | 73.3 | 11.3 |
| Sindhudurg | 93.4 | 0.5 | 7.9 | 100.0 | 98.9 | 58.8 | 95.9 | 85.6 | 31.7 |
| Kolhapur | 83.7 | 0.5 | 21.9 | 93.2 | 93.2 | 52.2 | 83.0 | 72.0 | 12.9 |
| Sangli | 82.3 | 0.3 | 35.7 | 94.2 | 95.8 | 41.9 | 85.5 | 69.8 | 10.3 |
| Total | 93.3 | 1.8 | 25.8 | 92.1 | 93.0 | 40.0 | 85.0 | 67.4 | 15.2 |



# ANDHRA PRADESH rural 

All analysis based on data from 22 out of 22 districts
Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 77.1 | 18.5 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 100 | 66.1 | 29.3 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 76.4 | 16.8 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 100 | 65.5 | 27.1 | 0.4 | 6.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 76.7 | 21.4 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 100 | 65.7 | 32.0 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 75.1 | 23.3 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 100 | 61.9 | 35.8 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 78.4 | 19.5 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 100 | 69.1 | 28.4 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 79.2 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 100 | 68.6 | 23.6 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 78.6 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 100 | 65.5 | 27.4 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 79.9 | 11.4 | 0.1 | 8.6 | 100 | 71.5 | 20.0 | 0.4 | 8.1 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 68.5 | 13.8 | 0.1 | 17.6 | 100 | 54.5 | 20.5 | 0.5 | 24.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 69.4 | 14.0 | 0.1 | 16.5 | 100 | 54.1 | 22.0 | 0.4 | 23.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 67.4 | 13.6 | 0.1 | 19.0 | 100 | 54.9 | 19.0 | 0.5 | 25.6 | 100 |
| Age and Cla |  |  |  | Note: "Not | in schoo | includes ch | ho have | r gone to schoo | or have drop | ped out. |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadf/Anganwadf) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 79.4 |  |  |  | 20.6 | 100 | 75.7 |  |  |  | 24.3 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 88.5 |  |  |  | 11.5 | 100 | 81.7 |  |  |  | 18.3 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 35.3 | 37.9 | 23.2 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 100 | 25.5 | 30.4 | 38.4 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 8.6 | 61.7 | 27.3 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 100 | 2.6 | 55.2 | 39.8 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 100 |

Andhra Pradesh rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 26.8 | 43.3 | 22.7 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 100 |
| II | 9.3 | 25.2 | 39.3 | 13.6 | 12.6 | 100 |
| III | 4.5 | 10.8 | 26.0 | 23.4 | 35.4 | 100 |
| IV | 2.1 | 5.3 | 14.0 | 22.1 | 56.5 | 100 |
| V | 1.1 | 3.1 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 71.5 | 100 |
| VI | 1.1 | 2.9 | 6.3 | 13.4 | 76.3 | 100 |
| VII | 1.0 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 10.7 | 82.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 1.4 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 87.0 | 100 |
| Total | 6.3 | 12.6 | 16.3 | 14.0 | 50.8 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 22.1 | 36.8 | 36.7 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 100 |
| II | 5.5 | 17.5 | 55.3 | 19.2 | 2.4 | 100 |
| III | 2.1 | 8.4 | 42.6 | 35.0 | 12.0 | 100 |
| IV | 1.4 | 3.4 | 27.3 | 37.4 | 30.6 | 100 |
| V | 0.7 | 2.1 | 19.3 | 31.3 | 46.7 | 100 |
| VI | 0.7 | 1.7 | 17.3 | 27.7 | 52.7 | 100 |
| VII | 0.8 | 1.7 | 15.1 | 25.6 | 56.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 0.7 | 15.2 | 26.2 | 57.4 | 100 |
| Total | 4.6 | 9.8 | 29.3 | 25.5 | 30.8 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 51.7 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 11.4 | 5.4 | 100 | I | 58.7 | 58.5 |
| II | 34.8 | 21.7 | 18.6 | 15.3 | 9.8 | 100 | II | 64.6 | 64.8 |
| III | 18.7 | 19.0 | 23.8 | 25.8 | 12.8 | 100 | III | 69.2 | 71.8 |
| IV | 7.8 | 10.5 | 22.6 | 37.8 | 21.3 | 100 | IV | 71.3 | 72.9 |
| V | 5.3 | 7.1 | 14.1 | 42.3 | 31.1 | 100 | V | 78.0 | 72.4 |
| VI | 2.9 | 5.1 | 12.1 | 32.3 | 47.5 | 100 | VI | 72.1 | 77.3 |
| VII | 2.4 | 3.2 | 9.4 | 25.4 | 59.6 | 100 | VII | 70.5 | 82.8 |
| VIII | 1.4 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 19.6 | 68.3 | 100 | VIII | 65.8 | 82.9 |
| Total | 16.4 | 11.0 | 15.9 | 26.6 | 30.2 | 100 | Total | 71.0 | 77.4 |

## English comprehension

> | Of those who | Of those who can |
| :---: | :---: |
| can read words, | $\begin{array}{c}\text { read sentences, } \\ \% \text { who can tell }\end{array}$ |
| meaning of the | meaning of |

ASER 2007

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 22.7 | 8.3 | 3.5 | Word | 5.4 | 22.7 | 13.5 |
| Std 1 level text | 16.0 | 67.1 | 18.4 | Std 1 level text | 11.7 | 73.6 | 30.9 |
| Std 2 level text | 36.1 | 92.0 | 84.5 | Std 2 level text | 79.5 | 95.1 | 91.7 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 73.4 | 6.9 | 19.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 31.9 | 9.4 | 57.1 |
| 11 to 14 | 14.9 | 8.2 | 75.1 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 90.3 | 5.6 | 4.1 |
| 9 to 10 | 63.5 | 6.0 | 28.5 |
| 11 to 14 | 42.1 | 11.2 | 45.2 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

## Children in age group : 6 to 10



## level

Number
recognition
10-99
Subtraction
Division

## Arithmetic

Children in age group : 11 to 14
Arithmetic

level \begin{tabular}{c}
specific <br>
arithmetic <br>
level

$\quad$ None $\quad$

Simple <br>
problem <br>
only

$\quad$

Both <br>
problems
\end{tabular}

School going children attending tuition class


Andhra Pradesh rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 365; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 214

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 80.6 | 80.0 | 86.3 | 84.3 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 2.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 50.3 | 30.9 | 59.5 | 33.2 |


|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 29.8 | 26.9 | 30.5 | 23.0 |
|  <br> teachers present | 27.0 | 25.6 | 26.4 | 20.8 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 91.0 | 89.3 | 36.5 | 38.1 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 91.9 |  | 33.8 |  |
|  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Multigrade classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 54.4 |  | 48.6 |  |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 46.9 |  | 34.6 |  |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.
 November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 33.5 | 22.4 | 19.7 | 19.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 9.8 | 5.7 | 10.1 | 8.5 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 56.7 | 71.8 | 70.2 | 72.5 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 32.6 | 20.1 | 26.4 | 16.4 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 21.2 | 25.9 | 21.1 | 18.8 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 46.1 | 54.0 | 52.5 | 64.8 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 99.5 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 98.6 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and one govt school with primary grades, then the school

[^14]
## Performance of districts

| District | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% <br> Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Adilabad | 61.7 | 6.2 | 26.2 | 75.0 | 80.2 | 46.1 | 59.4 | 47.1 | 16.8 |
| Nizamabad | 84.3 | 1.9 | 27.6 | 79.9 | 80.4 | 52.1 | 74.6 | 66.9 | 18.4 |
| Karimnagar* |  | 1.5 | 57.1 | 82.3 | 81.9 | 70.8 | 79.2 | 55.6 | 19.9 |
| Medak | 83.2 | 4.1 | 24.8 | 75.6 | 81.3 | 39.3 | 65.3 | 55.1 | 9.2 |
| Rangareddy | 71.9 | 2.6 | 36.4 | 76.3 | 88.7 | 63.4 | 55.9 | 53.4 | 20.2 |
| Mahbubnagar | 66.4 | 5.7 | 25.3 | 72.1 | 78.7 | 46.4 | 70.3 | 55.3 | 20.7 |
| Nalgonda* |  | 3.1 | 27.6 | 80.7 | 83.5 | 53.3 | 72.3 | 61.4 | 16.0 |
| Warangal* |  | 3.1 | 46.6 | 81.0 | 83.9 | 71.7 | 62.8 | 62.0 | 30.1 |
| Khammam | 75.9 | 4.7 | 28.7 | 84.4 | 84.4 | 62.2 | 70.5 | 53.3 | 11.0 |
| Srikakulam | 70.0 | 3.2 | 18.1 | 81.9 | 84.6 | 57.4 | 81.9 | 78.4 | 24.1 |
| Vizianagaram | 92.9 | 4.7 | 19.9 | 87.1 | 92.7 | 51.1 | 83.0 | 77.0 | 18.7 |
| Visakhatnam | 89.6 | 3.5 | 18.6 | 73.6 | 74.9 | 49.4 | 65.0 | 53.4 | 18.6 |
| East Godavari | 89.6 | 3.2 | 26.9 | 80.9 | 86.7 | 53.4 | 76.7 | 58.8 | 21.7 |
| West Godavari | 68.3 | 7.1 | 31.9 | 86.3 | 94.5 | 67.0 | 71.4 | 58.1 | 26.7 |
| Krishna | 83.6 | 2.8 | 28.0 | 84.7 | 92.8 | 68.2 | 85.9 | 67.6 | 33.8 |
| Guntur | 82.0 | 5.4 | 34.9 | 83.9 | 87.9 | 53.2 | 83.9 | 70.4 | 26.9 |
| Prakasam | 75.3 | 4.9 | 24.3 | 84.1 | 88.4 | 63.1 | 74.5 | 77.7 | 21.3 |
| Nellore | 83.2 | 4.4 | 24.1 | 82.0 | 84.8 | 42.5 | 79.8 | 70.7 | 13.2 |
| Cuddapah | 80.5 | 3.8 | 37.5 | 91.6 | 93.5 | 59.3 | 85.6 | 81.4 | 15.9 |
| Kurnool | 64.2 | 9.0 | 26.4 | 77.8 | 83.8 | 49.3 | 70.3 | 63.2 | 23.8 |
| Anantapur | 85.5 | 6.0 | 21.0 | 77.9 | 82.6 | 54.6 | 67.6 | 58.1 | 19.4 |
| Chittoor | 81.6 | 1.9 | 26.4 | 94.6 | 96.8 | 65.1 | 84.4 | 85.2 | 48.8 |
| Total | 78.8 | 4.3 | 29.3 | 81.3 | 85.6 | 56.2 | 74.7 | 64.3 | 21.9 |



## GOA rural

All analysis based on data from 2 out of 2 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 55.0 | 43.0 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 100 | 62.2 | 37.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 52.9 | 44.6 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 100 | 59.7 | 39.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 58.3 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 | 68.1 | 31.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 61.9 | 37.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100 | 66.3 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 55.0 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100 | 70.1 | 29.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 52.2 | 45.0 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 100 | 53.3 | 45.6 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 51.3 | 46.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 100 | 56.5 | 42.7 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 53.0 | 44.1 | 0.6 | 2.3 | 100 | 49.8 | 48.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 44.5 | 50.3 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 100 | 54.4 | 45.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 44.3 | 51.1 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 100 | 51.7 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 44.8 | 49.4 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 100 | 58.1 | 41.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100 |

## Age and Class

Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out.

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 88.2 |  |  |  | 11.8 | 100 | 89.8 |  |  |  | 10.2 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 93.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 | 100 | 94.5 |  |  |  | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 88.9 | 4.9 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 100 | 68.7 | 21.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 3.0 | 49.6 | 41.3 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 100 | 9.3 | 65.5 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |

## Learning Level

|  | Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 4.5 | 23.6 | 40.8 | 22.7 | 8.3 | 100 |
| II | 3.5 | 15.2 | 21.6 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 100 |
| III | 0.0 | 6.0 | 15.5 | 19.2 | 59.3 | 100 |
| IV | 0.6 | 2.8 | 9.8 | 24.9 | 62.0 | 100 |
| V | 0.6 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 82.1 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 22.0 | 70.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.6 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 11.9 | 85.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.5 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 10.3 | 85.4 | 100 |
| Total | 1.2 | 5.8 | 13.0 | 18.9 | 61.1 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 7.8 | 34.5 | 42.5 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 100 |
| II | 5.8 | 17.7 | 37.5 | 27.7 | 11.3 | 100 |
| III | 0.6 | 9.7 | 13.6 | 42.1 | 34.1 | 100 |
| IV | 0.6 | 4.5 | 6.8 | 32.6 | 55.6 | 100 |
| V | 0.6 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 16.1 | 73.8 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.7 | 4.7 | 23.0 | 71.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.9 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 13.1 | 81.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 14.3 | 81.5 | 100 |
| Total | 1.9 | 8.4 | 14.0 | 22.4 | 53.3 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 29.4 | 20.1 | 18.6 | 23.8 | 8.2 | 100 |
| II | 12.1 | 28.3 | 16.7 | 23.5 | 19.4 | 100 |
| III | 7.3 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 32.5 | 37.0 | 100 |
| IV | 2.8 | 6.8 | 5.5 | 32.1 | 52.7 | 100 |
| V | 2.3 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 14.4 | 71.4 | 100 |
| VI | 2.4 | 2.4 | 8.7 | 13.5 | 73.0 | 100 |
| VII | 1.7 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 7.8 | 84.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.2 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 9.2 | 81.6 | 100 |
| Total | 7.0 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 19.7 | 54.6 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

| Of those who | Of those who can |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| can read words, |  |
| read sentences, |  |
| \% who can tell |  |
| \%eaning of the can tell |  |
| meaning of |  |
| words |  |$\quad$| sentences |
| :---: |


| I | 68.3 | 92.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 62.2 | 89.6 |
| III | 66.9 | 96.1 |
| IV | 77.3 | 97.7 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 79.5 | 96.2 |
| VI | 71.5 | 94.4 |
| VII | 72.1 | 97.3 |
| VIII | 83.7 | 96.0 |
| Total | 71.9 | 95.9 |

ASER 2007

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 18.3 | 6.6 | 4.3 | Word | 5.4 | 39.1 | 35.1 |
| Std 1 level text | 21.3 | 85.7 | 16.0 | Std 1 level text | 15.4 | 81.1 | 40.5 |
| Std 2 level text | 49.3 | 98.3 | 95.3 | Std 2 level text | 78.2 | 98.6 | 97.3 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 49.9 | 5.1 | 45.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 14.5 | 2.9 | 82.3 |
| 11 to 14 | 6.4 | 3.9 | 89.5 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |


| Children in age group : 11 to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 23.3 | 40.1 | 37.1 | 44.9 | 31.8 | 37.1 | 42.1 | 54.5 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 37.6 | 42.7 | 51.1 | 44.1 | 55.3 | 51.7 | 51.6 | 66.3 |  |



Goa rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 13; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 30

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance |  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 98.4 | 90.6 | 95.8 | 97.7 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 95.2 | 57.1 | 87.5 | 92.3 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 24.0 | 19.2 | 31.3 | 28.4 |
|  <br> teachers present | 21.0 | 18.8 | 31.3 | 26.5 |


| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | 9.1 | 0.0 | 7.7 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water provision and water available | 90.9 | 93.3 | 92.3 | 100.0 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | 27.3 | 6.7 | 15.4 | 3.3 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used | 40.9 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use | 31.8 | 46.7 | 84.6 | 96.7 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | 50.0 | 42.9 | 84.6 | 96.7 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 89.1 | 89.9 | 95.5 | 93.3 |
| \% schools with less than 50\% <br> enrolled children attending | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 95.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 95.4 | 100.0 | 87.8 | 87.8 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 97.6 |  | 92.3 |  |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |
| Std II class sits with <br> another class | 61.5 | 76.7 |
| Std IV class sits with <br> another class | 61.5 | 72.4 |

Close to $90 \%$ classes that were visited have blackboards. In about $90 \%$ of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| North Goa | 91.0 | 0.2 | 28.1 | 94.6 | 91.2 | 79.9 | 81.2 | 80.2 | 46.1 |
| South Goa | 94.6 | 1.0 | 49.6 | 98.1 | 96.2 | 79.9 | 92.4 | 92.4 | 66.9 |
| Total | 92.8 | 0.5 | 37.1 | 96.1 | 93.2 | 79.9 | 86.2 | 85.7 | 55.3 |




## KARNATAKA rural

All analysis based on data from 27 out of 27 districts

## Enrollment

| School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & \text { + EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 78.7 | 16.0 | 0.4 | 4.9 | 100 | 84.6 | 11.6 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 75.9 | 16.6 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 100 | 82.0 | 12.5 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 81.9 | 14.6 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 100 | 87.0 | 10.8 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 81.5 | 15.8 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 100 | 86.1 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 82.4 | 13.4 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 100 | 87.9 | 9.2 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 76.2 | 16.5 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 100 | 82.4 | 11.9 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 76.8 | 16.9 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 100 | 82.3 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 75.6 | 16.1 | 0.3 | 8.0 | 100 | 82.4 | 11.1 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 59.8 | 22.1 | 0.1 | 18.1 | 100 | 59.1 | 22.7 | 0.6 | 17.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 59.1 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 100 | 57.9 | 23.9 | 0.4 | 17.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 60.4 | 22.2 | 0.1 | 17.4 | 100 | 60.4 | 21.4 | 0.7 | 17.4 | 100 |
| Age and Class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007


Karnataka rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 23.7 | 55.7 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 100 |
| II | 9.4 | 34.9 | 36.7 | 11.9 | 7.2 | 100 |
| III | 5.3 | 18.2 | 39.0 | 22.2 | 15.4 | 100 |
| IV | 4.2 | 10.2 | 25.6 | 32.8 | 27.2 | 100 |
| V | 2.8 | 6.2 | 18.0 | 29.1 | 44.0 | 100 |
| VI | 1.8 | 4.4 | 11.9 | 25.8 | 56.0 | 100 |
| VII | 1.5 | 2.7 | 7.8 | 18.7 | 69.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.9 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 14.9 | 77.2 | 100 |
| Total | 6.2 | 16.9 | 20.4 | 20.2 | 36.2 | 100 |


| Arithmetic levelf \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing |  | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 26.0 | 45.6 | 25.1 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 100 |
| II | 9.9 | 28.7 | 50.5 | 9.6 | 1.3 | 100 |
| III | 5.6 | 15.8 | 52.1 | 23.3 | 3.3 | 100 |
| IV | 3.8 | 8.9 | 41.4 | 36.8 | 9.2 | 100 |
| V | 2.3 | 5.2 | 32.4 | 40.5 | 19.7 | 100 |
| VI | 1.4 | 3.4 | 25.7 | 37.8 | 31.6 | 100 |
| VII | 1.5 | 2.8 | 18.4 | 32.7 | 44.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.6 | 1.7 | 17.9 | 27.9 | 51.9 | 100 |
| Total | 6.4 | 14.1 | 33.4 | 26.7 | 19.3 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 66.8 | 22.8 | 7.1 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 100 | I | 49.0 | 60.0 |
| II | 51.2 | 28.6 | 13.0 | 5.4 | 1.9 | 100 | II | 55.6 | 52.2 |
| III | 37.5 | 32.1 | 20.1 | 7.6 | 2.8 | 100 | III | 63.5 | 66.9 |
| IV | 26.5 | 30.0 | 23.8 | 14.4 | 5.2 | 100 | IV | 63.8 | 73.6 |
| V | 13.2 | 20.2 | 24.3 | 28.5 | 13.8 | 100 | V | 70.1 | 82.0 |
| VI | 8.4 | 14.7 | 19.6 | 28.6 | 28.7 | 100 | VI | 71.3 | 81.5 |
| VII | 6.1 | 9.8 | 12.4 | 25.3 | 46.3 | 100 | VII | 75.5 | 84.6 |
| VIII | 4.2 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 19.4 | 57.3 | 100 | VIII | 74.1 | 88.5 |
| Total | 27.1 | 21.1 | 16.9 | 16.6 | 18.4 | 100 | Total | 69.9 | 83.4 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 28.4 | 12.0 | 8.5 | Word | 10.6 | 23.2 | 16.6 |
| Std 1 level text | 19.1 | 74.3 | 29.8 | Std 1 level text | 22.0 | 78.0 | 35.7 |
| Std 2 level text | 16.7 | 87.9 | 81.3 | Std 2 level text | 61.8 | 93.4 | 89.3 |



Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to 10

| Children in age group : 6 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |


| Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic level | \% <br> Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 23.9 | 39.4 | 14.1 | 42.4 |
| Subtraction | 34.3 | 9.8 | 11.8 | 75.6 |
| Division | 37.2 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 91.7 |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| Govt. <br> school | 7.1 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 9.9 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 6.7 |
| Pvt. <br> school | 15.6 | 16.7 | 18.7 | 13.4 | 24.2 | 16.5 | 13.7 | 8.8 |



Karnataka rural

School Observations: schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 168; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 585

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 78.8 | 77.8 | 91.6 | 85.1 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 57.0 | 24.6 | 76.1 | 42.9 |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 83.5 | 77.0 | 78.3 | 75.0 |
| \% schools with less than 50\% <br> enrolled children attending | 4.3 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 16.7 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 77.4 | 62.6 | 66.1 | 64.3 |


|  | 2005 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 22.0 | 33.6 | 23.5 | 31.6 |
|  <br> teachers present | 23.3 | 32.6 | 19.8 | 26.2 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 92.5 | 92.5 | 84.1 | 84.1 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 95.0 |  | 86.6 |  |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision <br> Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 28.7 | 16.2 | 30.3 | 18.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 5.3 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 8.3 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 37.2 | 10.0 | 15.2 | 56.5 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 10.6 | 20.3 | 10.3 | 21.1 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 52.1 | 69.7 | 74.5 | 73.2 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 84.0 | 80.0 | 97.0 | 98.6 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

| Multigrade classes | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 84.8 | 49.5 |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 81.1 | 43.0 |
| Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil. |  |  |
| Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent. |  |  |


*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

Performance of districts

| District | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Belgaum | 100.0 | 2.7 | 15.3 | 85.0 | 90.4 | 36.1 | 57.7 | 44.8 | 4.9 |
| Bagalkot | 100.0 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 78.5 | 77.1 | 24.9 | 62.0 | 33.3 | 7.9 |
| Bijapur | 98.3 | 1.9 | 6.5 | 69.3 | 65.3 | 31.6 | 52.3 | 36.7 | 4.6 |
| Gulbarga | 91.6 | 9.5 | 7.5 | 78.1 | 70.6 | 32.5 | 43.1 | 32.7 | 6.5 |
| Bidar | 94.5 | 4.6 | 14.5 | 70.1 | 68.6 | 31.1 | 43.0 | 28.9 | 4.2 |
| Raichur | 82.6 | 18.7 | 7.2 | 74.3 | 73.4 | 22.0 | 42.4 | 21.0 | 1.3 |
| Koppal | 90.7 | 5.0 | 15.8 | 64.8 | 71.0 | 26.4 | 44.6 | 21.8 | 7.9 |
| Gadag | 92.4 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 75.3 | 76.0 | 43.5 | 52.8 | 27.0 | 3.2 |
| Dharwad | 92.4 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 66.3 | 73.7 | 23.7 | 50.4 | 19.7 | 5.4 |
| Uttar Kannada | 89.1 | 0.3 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 98.5 | 38.1 | 76.2 | 75.5 | 8.3 |
| Haveri | 96.7 | 3.8 | 6.5 | 81.5 | 75.3 | 40.1 | 51.3 | 21.9 | 0.8 |
| Bellary | 97.1 | 7.0 | 10.4 | 67.8 | 66.2 | 24.4 | 44.7 | 40.2 | 5.2 |
| Chitradurga | 95.5 | 1.7 | 8.8 | 91.0 | 82.0 | 52.4 | 53.0 | 49.8 | 10.8 |
| Davangere | 91.3 | 3.8 | 17.1 | 77.4 | 78.4 | 51.9 | 44.3 | 37.3 | 5.5 |
| Shimoga | 93.3 | 2.0 | 7.7 | 85.9 | 77.9 | 45.5 | 72.5 | 52.6 | 13.0 |
| Udupi | 92.2 | 1.0 | 40.3 | 99.3 | 98.7 | 70.3 | 93.5 | 89.1 | 22.6 |
| Chikmagalur | 62.8 | 3.3 | 6.9 | 99.4 | 95.7 | 51.2 | 63.4 | 56.5 | 5.2 |
| Tumkur | 91.2 | 0.6 | 13.7 | 91.2 | 88.8 | 52.0 | 58.2 | 39.7 | 8.5 |
| Kolar | 100.0 | 1.9 | 10.7 | 92.5 | 88.2 | 24.2 | 57.2 | 52.6 | 6.6 |
| Bangalore | 97.0 | 0.9 | 27.8 | 82.8 | 88.8 | 43.3 | 59.7 | 51.3 | 8.1 |
| Bangalore Rural | 94.2 | 0.4 | 12.7 | 85.1 | 84.0 | 40.0 | 66.9 | 57.5 | 18.2 |
| Mandya | 97.0 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 98.8 | 95.2 | 68.9 | 56.3 | 52.3 | 9.3 |
| Hassan | 95.6 | 2.4 | 8.0 | 96.4 | 94.9 | 49.6 | 78.3 | 59.0 | 5.1 |
| Dakshin Kannada | 95.6 | 0.9 | 18.4 | 98.9 | 97.7 | 85.1 | 73.9 | 59.4 | 19.3 |
| Kodagu | 100.0 | 1.0 | 18.2 | 93.6 | 90.4 | 52.0 | 70.8 | 55.6 | 3.0 |
| Mysore | 74.3 | 2.9 | 12.4 | 74.4 | 82.0 | 43.3 | 40.0 | 35.1 | 4.2 |
| Chamaraj Nagar | 100.0 | 2.6 | 8.1 | 89.9 | 90.6 | 38.9 | 65.8 | 58.0 | 2.9 |
| Total | 91.8 | 3.5 | 11.6 | 83.5 | 82.1 | 41.0 | 57.2 | 44.5 | 7.4 |



## KERALA rural

All analysis based on data from 14 out of 14 districts

## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools* |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools* |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 52.7 | 46.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 | 43.9 | 55.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 54.0 | 45.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100 | 44.7 | 54.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 51.0 | 48.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 43.8 | 55.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 51.2 | 48.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 42.6 | 56.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 50.8 | 48.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 | 45.0 | 54.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 55.2 | 44.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100 | 46.0 | 53.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 55.8 | 43.7 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 | 46.9 | 52.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 54.6 | 44.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100 | 45.1 | 54.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 58.8 | 39.2 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 100 | 43.6 | 52.5 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 59.1 | 38.0 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 100 | 40.2 | 54.6 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 58.5 | 40.3 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100 | 46.3 | 50.9 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 100 |

## Age and Class

Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. *Distribution of schools between Government and Private could be ambigous because most Private schools in Rural Kerala are funded by the Government

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 66.3 |  |  |  | 33.7 | 100 | 86.2 |  |  |  | 13.8 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 92.2 |  |  |  | 7.8 | 100 | 96.5 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 64.3 | 16.7 | 16.6 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 100 | 38.7 | 18.8 | 40.3 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 7.7 | 44.1 | 47.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 100 | 3.5 | 33.0 | 62.0 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 100 |

Kerala rural

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | 2.3 | 35.7 | 41.4 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 100 |
| III | 2.6 | 7.9 | 13.4 | 17.5 | 33.4 | 100 |
| IV | 0.4 | 3.8 | 10.2 | 19.1 | 66.5 | 100 |
| V | 1.0 | 2.3 | 6.6 | 13.0 | 77.1 | 100 |
| VI | 1.3 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 12.0 | 81.1 | 100 |
| VII | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 9.2 | 85.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 8.1 | 88.7 | 100 |
| Total | 1.9 | 8.5 | 15.0 | 13.5 | 61.2 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 6.0 | 33.8 | 48.8 | 9.0 | 2.4 | 100 |
| II | 1.8 | 12.9 | 49.0 | 30.1 | 6.2 | 100 |
| III | 1.6 | 8.7 | 32.2 | 45.7 | 11.9 | 100 |
| IV | 1.3 | 5.1 | 19.4 | 49.1 | 25.0 | 100 |
| V | 1.1 | 3.7 | 13.0 | 37.9 | 44.3 | 100 |
| VI | 0.5 | 2.1 | 11.0 | 32.2 | 54.2 | 100 |
| VII | 0.6 | 2.2 | 8.4 | 25.4 | 63.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.0 | 2.0 | 5.2 | 19.0 | 72.8 | 100 |
| Total | 1.7 | 8.7 | 23.4 | 31.2 | 34.9 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 22.0 | 26.7 | 17.3 | 20.2 | 13.8 | 100 |
| II | 15.1 | 22.4 | 15.7 | 20.7 | 26.1 | 100 |
| III | 8.3 | 17.8 | 19.9 | 25.7 | 28.4 | 100 |
| IV | 3.8 | 10.4 | 11.1 | 33.1 | 41.6 | 100 |
| V | 2.6 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 24.8 | 59.2 | 100 |
| VI | 1.0 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 19.5 | 69.8 | 100 |
| VII | 1.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 14.9 | 75.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.9 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 11.3 | 81.9 | 100 |
| Total | 6.8 | 11.5 | 10.4 | 21.4 | 49.8 | 100 |
| 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

|  | English comprehension |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Of those who <br> can read words, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| I | 64.4 | 71.3 |
| II | 70.9 | 82.7 |
| III | 68.7 | 82.8 |
| IV | 72.0 | 87.1 |
| V | 67.5 | 87.9 |
| VI | 69.6 | 88.5 |
| VII | 75.4 | 91.3 |
| VIII | 73.1 | 93.3 |
| Total | 69.8 | 88.4 |

ASER 2007

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 21.4 | 17.6 | 17.1 | Word | 2.8 | 27.1 | 24.1 |
| Std 1 level text | 16.2 | 75.4 | 59.2 | Std 1 level text | 10.3 | 88.6 | 80.2 |
| Std 2 level text | 50.2 | 94.7 | 93.9 | Std 2 level text | 84.0 | 97.7 | 98.2 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 57.4 | 4.9 | 37.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 18.1 | 4.3 | 77.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 89.0 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 86.4 | 0.0 | 13.6 |
| 9 to 10 | 61.8 | 0.0 | 38.2 |
| 11 to 14 | 25.8 | 6.1 | 68.1 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer
Arithmetic
level

Number recognition 10-99
Subtraction
Division

Children in age group : 6 to 10

| \% <br> Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | \% Children in specific <br> arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31.8 | 72.8 | 4.2 | 22.1 |
| 36.0 | 21.1 | 6.7 | 71.3 |
| 19.5 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 95.0 |

## School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 28.3 | 32.7 | 30.3 | 39.0 | 36.8 | 39.6 | 42.0 | 42.4 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 20.1 | 28.3 | 29.6 | 35.6 | 39.2 | 38.9 | 35.8 | 41.9 |  |



Kerala rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 124; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 65

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 86.2 | 84.1 | 90.6 | 88.5 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 58.7 | 35.6 | 60.5 | 41.7 |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 96.2 | 95.2 | 89.9 | 91.4 |
| \% schools with less than 50\% <br> enrolled children attending | 1.1 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 97.9 | 98.4 | 93.4 | 92.7 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 24.4 | 24.4 | 20.4 | 21.5 |
|  <br> teachers present | 29.2 | 26.1 | 22.1 | 23.4 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 97.0 | 96.9 | 90.0 | 89.3 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 83.4 |  | 40.7 |  |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 8.4 | 3.8 | 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 6.3 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 85.3 | 90.8 | 91.5 | 100.0 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 1.7 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 5.2 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 91.8 | 93.9 | 95.9 | 96.7 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 92.6 | 95.3 | 99.2 | 96.7 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools in which... | $\%$ Schools |  |
| Std II class sits with <br> another class | 4.7 | 3.7 |
| Std IV class sits with <br> another class | 3.0 | 2.0 |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


[^15]
## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Kasaragod | 80.3 | 1.9 | 47.3 | 95.9 | 97.0 | 82.0 | 82.3 | 72.0 | 45.3 |
| Kannur | 95.4 | 1.0 | 68.3 | 95.7 | 94.3 | 85.9 | 79.3 | 66.4 | 33.5 |
| Wayanad* |  | 0.4 | 49.3 | 95.1 | 93.6 | 79.1 | 70.6 | 25.3 | 23.4 |
| Kozhikode | 96.6 | 0.3 | 58.0 | 96.9 | 96.3 | 71.1 | 91.8 | 75.0 | 41.4 |
| Malappuram | 77.5 | 0.4 | 55.4 | 96.8 | 94.6 | 70.1 | 72.9 | 67.6 | 33.6 |
| Palakkad | 84.8 | 0.4 | 36.1 | 95.1 | 95.1 | 72.9 | 77.6 | 74.7 | 37.2 |
| Thrissur | 93.9 | 0.2 | 62.7 | 98.1 | 99.0 | 83.0 | 83.9 | 68.2 | 41.7 |
| Ernakulam | 93.7 | 0.6 | 79.1 | 98.8 | 96.9 | 93.1 | 90.3 | 72.2 | 58.4 |
| Idukki | 93.4 | 0.0 | 69.7 | 95.4 | 96.1 | 89.0 | 68.1 | 55.9 | 39.3 |
| Kottayam | 100.0 | 0.2 | 74.6 | 93.0 | 90.7 | 85.0 | 87.8 | 82.2 | 63.6 |
| Alappuzha | 95.5 | 0.0 | 51.9 | 98.3 | 95.4 | 84.9 | 88.1 | 84.4 | 55.7 |
| Pathanamthitta | 95.1 | 0.0 | 62.9 | 90.5 | 97.5 | 87.5 | 74.0 | 74.3 | 44.8 |
| Kollam | 100.0 | 0.4 | 41.8 | 99.1 | 100.0 | 90.7 | 84.7 | 78.9 | 44.0 |
| Thiruvananthapuram | 100.0 | 0.4 | 35.9 | 98.5 | 97.7 | 83.7 | 87.9 | 76.5 | 48.8 |
| Total | 92.6 | 0.4 | 55.2 | 96.7 | 96.1 | 81.6 | 82.2 | 71.9 | 44.0 |



* Blank cells indicate insufficient data.


All analysis based on data from 29 out of 29 districts

## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school <br> Not in School | Total | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School |  |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 78.3 | 19.5 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 100 | 83.2 | 15.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 76.7 | 18.4 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 100 | 82.1 | 14.7 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 78.9 | 20.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 | 83.4 | 15.8 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 77.9 | 21.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100 | 81.4 | 17.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 79.9 | 19.2 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 100 | 85.6 | 13.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 78.7 | 17.6 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 100 | 84.1 | 13.8 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 77.8 | 18.7 | 0.1 | 3.4 | 100 | 83.2 | 15.1 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 79.6 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 3.9 | 100 | 85.1 | 12.5 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 67.6 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 15.8 | 100 | 72.9 | 14.4 | 0.3 | 12.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 68.1 | 17.8 | 0.2 | 13.9 | 100 | 73.7 | 15.2 | 0.2 | 10.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 67.1 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 100 | 72.2 | 13.4 | 0.4 | 14.0 | 100 |
| Age and Cla |  |  |  | Note: "Not | in schoo | includes ch | who have | r gone to schoo | or have drop | ed out. |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007


## Tamil Nadu rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 57.8 | 27.6 | 11.2 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 100 |
| II | 23.2 | 34.9 | 31.1 | 8.0 | 2.9 | 100 |
| III | 12.9 | 20.4 | 38.3 | 21.0 | 7.4 | 100 |
| IV | 6.2 | 11.8 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 20.2 | 100 |
| V | 4.8 | 7.2 | 22.8 | 30.3 | 34.8 | 100 |
| VI | 2.8 | 4.3 | 13.2 | 29.9 | 49.8 | 100 |
| VII | 2.1 | 3.7 | 9.2 | 23.6 | 61.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.2 | 2.3 | 6.4 | 18.5 | 71.6 | 100 |
| Total | 12.3 | 13.1 | 20.3 | 21.5 | 32.9 | 100 |


| Std. | Arithmetic level: \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 46.9 | 30.0 | 19.7 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 100 |
| II | 21.0 | 29.0 | 41.3 | 7.7 | 1.0 | 100 |
| III | 10.6 | 16.4 | 50.0 | 19.0 | 4.0 | 100 |
| IV | 6.1 | 9.3 | 40.7 | 36.0 | 7.9 | 100 |
| V | 3.9 | 6.2 | 31.0 | 41.4 | 17.5 | 100 |
| VI | 2.1 | 3.3 | 22.0 | 46.0 | 26.6 | 100 |
| VII | 1.3 | 2.6 | 15.9 | 41.1 | 39.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.8 | 2.3 | 12.3 | 35.5 | 49.1 | 100 |
| Total | 10.2 | 11.4 | 29.0 | 30.2 | 19.2 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 60.2 | 18.4 | 12.6 | 6.6 | 2.2 | 100 | I | 57.0 | 67.5 |
| II | 34.2 | 25.7 | 25.5 | 10.7 | 4.0 | 100 | II | 62.9 | 76.7 |
| III | 20.1 | 23.7 | 32.7 | 18.3 | 5.2 | 100 | III | 64.6 | 83.6 |
| IV | 12.8 | 19.7 | 30.5 | 27.4 | 9.5 | 100 | IV | 68.7 | 83.9 |
| V | 8.1 | 15.0 | 26.7 | 33.7 | 16.6 | 100 | V | 68.5 | 81.6 |
| VI | 4.6 | 11.2 | 20.6 | 38.3 | 25.2 | 100 | VI | 70.0 | 87.5 |
| VII | 3.8 | 7.4 | 16.3 | 39.3 | 33.2 | 100 | VII | 70.2 | 86.5 |
| VIII | 2.2 | 5.8 | 11.5 | 36.2 | 44.3 | 100 | VIII | 72.3 | 89.2 |
| Total | 16.4 | 15.5 | 22.3 | 27.5 | 18.4 | 100 | Total | 68.9 | 86.1 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 28.1 | 22.4 | 8.6 | Word | 9.9 | 34.9 | 17.8 |
| Std 1 level text | 21.0 | 69.8 | 25.9 | Std 1 level text | 24.3 | 75.9 | 35.1 |
| Std 2 level text | 16.6 | 92.4 | 82.2 | Std 2 level text | 60.0 | 95.3 | 88.1 |


| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 74.1 | 8.6 | 15.8 |
| 9 to 10 | 37.6 | 15.3 | 45.1 |
| 11 to 14 | 17.5 | 11.4 | 69.2 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 86.0 | 4.5 | 8.9 |
| 9 to 10 | 65.1 | 12.4 | 22.5 |
| 11 to 14 | 46.7 | 8.0 | 44.6 |





Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

## Children in age group : 6 to 10



Number recognition 10-99
Subtraction
Division

Children in age group : 11 to 14

| Arithmetic <br> level | Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | None | arithmetic level who can solve <br> Simple <br> problem <br> only |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> recognition <br> $10-99$ | 17.2 | 50.9 | 16.7 | Both <br> problems |
| Subtraction | 40.9 | 13.4 | 15.6 | 69.6 |
| Division | 37.7 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 91.9 |

Tamil Nadu rural

2-4
School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 401; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 228

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 83.4 | 84.3 | 96.2 | 91.3 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 57.8 | 37.7 | 87.8 | 73.5 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 33.4 | 34.7 | 51.0 | 47.6 |
|  <br> teachers present | 36.5 | 36.4 | 49.0 | 53.8 |


| Water/toilet <br> and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Schools with no water <br> provision | 23.6 | 16.7 | 20.9 | 14.6 |
| Schools with water <br> provision but water <br> not available | 10.7 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| Schools with water <br> provision and water <br> available | 65.7 | 75.5 | 74.2 | 79.9 |
| Schools with no toilet <br> provision | 28.0 | 20.5 | 22.1 | 14.7 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision but not <br> being used | 14.0 | 14.7 | 32.7 | 16.1 |
| Schools with toilet <br> provision and use | 58.1 | 64.7 | 45.2 | 69.3 |
| Schools with midday <br> meal being served on <br> that day | 83.5 | 84.7 | 79.2 | 78.4 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Friday or a Monday.
*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.


Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over 90\% of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


## Performance of districts

| District | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Tiruvallur | 93.9 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 56.9 | 59.3 | 59.1 | 46.5 | 32.0 | 10.7 |
| Kancheepuram | 97.1 | 1.5 | 21.6 | 62.8 | 70.1 | 55.4 | 53.4 | 37.6 | 17.8 |
| Vellore | 95.8 | 2.9 | 14.8 | 73.2 | 86.2 | 73.2 | 41.2 | 38.8 | 14.8 |
| Dharmapuri | 69.2 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 48.5 | 65.2 | 48.7 | 35.8 | 34.7 | 6.3 |
| Tiruvannamalai | 98.6 | 1.6 | 12.0 | 64.1 | 72.7 | 66.1 | 53.5 | 30.5 | 10.2 |
| Viluppuram | 92.1 | 1.0 | 16.6 | 51.5 | 55.4 | 50.8 | 34.7 | 38.5 | 3.9 |
| Salem | 77.0 | 1.8 | 12.8 | 34.2 | 36.7 | 31.7 | 28.1 | 24.3 | 4.5 |
| Namakkal* |  | 0.9 | 15.6 | 57.0 | 63.2 | 55.2 | 56.4 | 44.5 | 11.9 |
| Erode | 85.5 | 1.1 | 16.2 | 61.0 | 71.5 | 36.6 | 47.9 | 40.0 | 10.9 |
| The Nilgiris | 41.3 | 0.3 | 46.2 | 45.7 | 61.6 | 49.3 | 45.6 | 64.7 | 14.5 |
| Coimbatore | 85.9 | 0.2 | 14.6 | 70.9 | 75.0 | 61.7 | 50.0 | 42.5 | 10.6 |
| Dindigul | 84.6 | 1.6 | 21.4 | 82.8 | 77.6 | 60.0 | 70.4 | 63.0 | 17.3 |
| Karur* |  | 0.6 | 8.9 | 60.7 | 73.1 | 61.0 | 43.6 | 42.7 | 12.8 |
| Tiruchirappalli | 91.1 | 1.0 | 21.8 | 58.2 | 62.6 | 50.0 | 64.0 | 49.2 | 10.1 |
| Perambalur* |  | 1.0 | 16.5 | 53.2 | 67.2 | 47.4 | 47.9 | 31.3 | 8.8 |
| Ariyalur | 97.9 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 74.2 | 79.2 | 61.0 | 65.9 | 63.3 | 14.5 |
| Cuddalore | 82.6 | 1.0 | 16.7 | 62.6 | 67.1 | 59.0 | 44.9 | 42.0 | 10.8 |
| Nagapattinam* |  | 1.3 | 13.3 | 38.4 | 53.5 | 38.4 | 20.0 | 21.6 | 7.9 |
| Thiruvarur* |  | 1.1 | 11.6 | 46.8 | 53.3 | 43.5 | 46.3 | 35.4 | 10.1 |
| Thanjavur* |  | 0.7 | 21.7 | 67.6 | 77.4 | 61.6 | 60.3 | 54.8 | 17.2 |
| Pudukkottai | 82.6 | 0.8 | 9.9 | 48.4 | 54.7 | 35.1 | 52.3 | 41.7 | 9.7 |
| Sivaganga | 80.8 | 0.1 | 13.9 | 72.6 | 73.1 | 50.8 | 63.5 | 48.1 | 8.2 |
| Madurai | 86.3 | 2.2 | 27.4 | 73.1 | 74.1 | 62.4 | 57.7 | 53.3 | 10.8 |
| Theni | 85.3 | 0.9 | 24.0 | 73.6 | 78.2 | 60.0 | 59.2 | 47.8 | 6.3 |
| Virudhnagar | 93.3 | 1.4 | 20.1 | 76.2 | 74.0 | 60.0 | 80.4 | 71.7 | 22.0 |
| Ramanathapuram* |  | 0.7 | 19.8 | 68.6 | 65.7 | 50.3 | 46.0 | 53.4 | 10.8 |
| Thoothukkudi* |  | 0.0 | 10.0 | 44.6 | 49.2 | 36.2 | 23.3 | 26.5 | 6.8 |
| Tirunelveli | 94.9 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 66.0 | 74.3 | 59.3 | 58.3 | 52.6 | 8.3 |
| Kanniyakumari* |  | 1.0 | 9.1 | 64.8 | 78.7 | 72.9 | 64.3 | 51.1 | 9.4 |
| Total | 86.1 | 1.2 | 15.5 | 60.3 | 66.6 | 53.4 | 49.2 | 43.0 | 10.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PONDICHERRY rural

All analysis based on data from 2 out of 2 districts

## Enrollment

School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 73.3 | 26.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 100 | 78.2 | 20.6 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 76.7 | 22.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100 | 78.4 | 18.6 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 70.9 | 28.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 100 | 73.9 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 67.3 | 32.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 70.0 | 28.4 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 74.3 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 78.1 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 78.0 | 21.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 100 | 83.0 | 15.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 76.4 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 | 80.2 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 79.4 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100 | 85.9 | 13.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 84.5 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 100 | 78.3 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 84.3 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 100 | 76.0 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 84.5 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 100 | 80.8 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 100 |
| Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadi/ Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 95.5 |  |  |  | 4.5 | 100 | 97.5 |  |  |  | 2.5 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 98.7 |  |  |  | 1.3 | 100 | 93.8 |  |  |  | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 45.5 | 25.0 | 28.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 100 | 7.4 | 56.3 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 2.8 | 57.3 | 39.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 0.0 | 75.4 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100 |
| 192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R 2007 |

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Pondicherry | 97.6 | 1.2 | 22.3 | 81.0 | 65.5 | 70.5 | 41.1 | 36.0 | 17.0 |
| Karaikal | 92.3 | 0.6 | 16.2 | 56.6 | 70.7 | 66.0 | 54.3 | 57.3 | 30.9 |
| Total | 95.8 | 1.1 | 20.6 | 74.4 | 66.9 | 69.3 | 44.4 | 41.4 | 20.5 |



Pondicherry rural

## Learning Level

|  | Reading levelf \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I | 36.9 | 46.2 | 14.2 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 100 |
| II | 14.6 | 53.5 | 19.7 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 100 |
| III | 2.5 | 19.0 | 51.2 | 20.3 | 7.0 | 100 |
| IV | 1.0 | 16.1 | 43.5 | 24.1 | 15.3 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 7.4 | 25.1 | 39.6 | 27.9 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 4.2 | 17.3 | 43.5 | 35.0 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.9 | 27.9 | 63.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 24.7 | 70.7 | 100 |
| Total | 6.2 | 17.8 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 28.0 | 100 |


| Arithmetic levelf \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing |  | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 41.8 | 33.8 | 18.1 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 100 |
| II | 24.8 | 27.1 | 35.5 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 100 |
| III | 6.1 | 21.9 | 44.8 | 23.9 | 3.2 | 100 |
| IV | 3.1 | 13.0 | 45.6 | 28.3 | 10.0 | 100 |
| V | 0.5 | 5.0 | 35.2 | 35.3 | 24.0 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 12.2 | 18.1 | 47.6 | 22.0 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 2.7 | 12.6 | 42.0 | 42.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 1.1 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 40.8 | 46.6 | 100 |
| Total | 8.8 | 14.6 | 27.7 | 30.0 | 18.9 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot read capital letters | Can read capital letters | Can read small letters | Can read simple words | Can read easy sentences | Total | Std. | Of those who can read words, \% who can tell meaning of the words | Of those who can read sentences, \% who can tell meaning of sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 45.7 | 17.4 | 21.9 | 14.5 | 0.6 | 100 | I | 33.7 | 100.0 |
| II | 16.8 | 34.5 | 22.6 | 20.2 | 6.0 | 100 | II | 49.6 | 100.0 |
| III | 8.5 | 18.4 | 31.8 | 27.8 | 13.5 | 100 | III | 65.7 | 100.0 |
| IV | 2.5 | 10.9 | 37.1 | 31.9 | 17.6 | 100 | IV | 66.2 | 85.7 |
| V | 1.0 | 5.6 | 38.1 | 24.4 | 31.0 | 100 | V | 76.5 | 81.8 |
| VI | 1.1 | 7.3 | 19.8 | 35.3 | 36.6 | 100 | VI | 68.9 | 77.0 |
| VII | 0.0 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 42.4 | 46.2 | 100 | VII | 70.8 | 89.3 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 36.1 | 53.4 | 100 | VIII | 82.6 | 93.9 |
| Total | 8.5 | 12.8 | 23.4 | 29.3 | 26.0 | 100 | Total | 68.3 | 87.2 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 33.8 | 56.1 | 43.7 | Word | 10.8 | 41.9 | 27.8 |
| Std 1 level text | 22.5 | 67.3 | 54.3 | Std 1 level text | 32.1 | 78.3 | 73.2 |
| Std 2 level text | 13.1 | 92.4 | 88.9 | Std 2 level text | 54.7 | 95.2 | 84.8 |



Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arithmetic level | \% <br> Children in specific arithmetic level | \% Children in specific arithmetic level who can solve |  |  |
|  |  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| Number recognition 10-99 | 38.2 | 59.1 | 8.9 | 29.9 |
| Subtraction | 25.1 | 16.6 | 21.1 | 58.2 |
| Division | 9.9 | 6.5 | 12.7 | 78.3 |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| Govt. <br> school | 33.3 | 50.9 | 56.1 | 46.9 | 55.2 | 54.7 | 55.7 | 62.2 |
| Pvt. <br> school | 40.0 | 48.8 | 71.3 | 69.9 | 58.7 | 42.4 | 75.5 | 55.0 |



# Assam <br> Meghalaya <br> Manipur <br> Nagaland <br> Arunachal Pradesh <br> Tripura <br> Sikkim 



All analysis based on data from 23 out of 23 districts

## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 84.9 | 9.6 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 100 | 77.2 | 11.5 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 83.1 | 9.3 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 100 | 74.6 | 11.5 | 4.1 | 9.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 86.1 | 9.6 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 100 | 80.8 | 10.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 84.6 | 11.0 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 100 | 80.5 | 10.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 87.9 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 3.2 | 100 | 81.2 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 83.1 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 6.2 | 100 | 72.1 | 12.7 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 80.8 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 7.4 | 100 | 72.6 | 11.5 | 4.0 | 11.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 85.5 | 8.7 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 100 | 71.5 | 14.1 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 72.9 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 17.4 | 100 | 58.6 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 26.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 69.2 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 19.6 | 100 | 56.9 | 11.3 | 2.4 | 29.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 76.8 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 15.0 | 100 | 60.6 | 14.2 | 1.9 | 23.3 | 100 |
| Age and Class Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

## In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ơ 0 } \\ & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| 73.3 |  |  |  | 26.7 | 100 | 66.4 |  |  |  | 33.6 | 100 |
| 77.5 |  |  |  | 22.5 | 100 | 74.9 |  |  |  | 25.1 | 100 |
| 33.3 | 47.0 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 11.5 | 100 | 33.1 | 48.4 | 8.2 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 100 |
| 5.8 | 81.2 | 9.7 | 0.9 | 2.4 | 100 | 8.3 | 71.7 | 11.0 | 4.8 | 4.2 | 100 |

Assam rural

## Learning Level

Reading level: \% Children who can read

| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 25.9 | 47.4 | 20.1 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 100 |
| II | 8.8 | 29.2 | 38.3 | 16.0 | 7.6 | 100 |
| III | 4.4 | 17.2 | 29.3 | 26.1 | 22.9 | 100 |
| IV | 2.9 | 8.6 | 19.4 | 29.7 | 39.5 | 100 |
| V | 1.6 | 4.9 | 12.9 | 27.9 | 52.6 | 100 |
| VI | 1.2 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 21.1 | 67.2 | 100 |
| VII | 1.0 | 2.3 | 5.6 | 15.4 | 75.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.6 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 10.4 | 85.3 | 100 |
| Total | 7.0 | 16.8 | 19.0 | 19.1 | 38.1 | 100 |


|  | Arithmetic levelf \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |  |
| Std. | Nothing |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ |  |  |  |
| I | 24.4 | 48.6 | 22.6 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 100 |
| II | 8.3 | 31.7 | 41.6 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 100 |
| III | 4.6 | 17.4 | 35.9 | 35.5 | 6.7 | 100 |
| IV | 2.6 | 11.3 | 23.3 | 42.4 | 20.5 | 100 |
| V | 1.8 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 43.0 | 28.5 | 100 |
| VI | 1.6 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 38.4 | 42.8 | 100 |
| VII | 0.9 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 34.3 | 52.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 1.8 | 8.4 | 25.6 | 63.5 | 100 |
| Total | 6.7 | 18.3 | 23.5 | 28.9 | 22.6 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 76.2 | 14.5 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 100 |
| II | 60.9 | 17.3 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 2.7 | 100 |
| III | 36.8 | 22.2 | 17.9 | 18.4 | 4.8 | 100 |
| IV | 20.2 | 19.3 | 18.2 | 30.9 | 11.4 | 100 |
| V | 8.0 | 12.0 | 16.1 | 37.1 | 26.9 | 100 |
| VI | 4.5 | 6.6 | 9.6 | 34.3 | 45.0 | 100 |
| VII | 3.6 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 26.0 | 60.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.3 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 16.4 | 74.1 | 100 |
| Total | 30.3 | 13.5 | 11.5 | 21.5 | 23.2 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

| Std. | Of those who <br> can read words, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of the <br> words | Of those who can <br> read sentences, <br> \% who can tell <br> meaning of <br> sentences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 36.0 | 54.3 |
| II | 51.8 | 71.4 |
| III | 56.3 | 67.6 |
| IV | 56.9 | 62.1 |
| V | 57.2 | 66.2 |
| VI | 60.1 | 75.6 |
| VII | 64.7 | 77.7 |
| VIII | 56.6 | 81.2 |
| Total | 57.6 | 74.7 |
|  |  | ASER 2007 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 25.6 | 14.4 | 7.6 | Word | 8.3 | 30.2 | 17.1 |
| Std 1 level text | 20.7 | 66.7 | 29.4 | Std 1 level text | 19.0 | 73.6 | 35.3 |
| Std 2 level text | 22.8 | 90.9 | 79.4 | Std 2 level text | 67.5 | 93.8 | 85.7 |



|  | In-school children <br> \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | NoneSimple <br> problem only | Both <br> problems |  |
| 6 to 8 | 72.3 | 8.9 | 17.7 |
| 9 to 10 | 40.4 | 13.6 | 44.8 |
| 11 to 14 | 19.1 | 10.3 | 69.3 |

Children not in-school
\% Children who can solve

| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Simple <br> problem only | Both <br> problems |
| 6 to 8 | 82.4 | 4.0 | 13.6 |
| 9 to 10 | 75.5 | 8.4 | 16.1 |
| 11 to 14 | 58.8 | 9.2 | 30.5 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer
Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$

| Arithmetic <br> level | Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | None | arithmetic level who can solve <br> Sroblem <br> only | Both <br> problems |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> recognition <br> $10-99$ | 29.9 | 74.2 | 9.6 | 15.0 |
| Subtraction | 27.1 | 25.3 | 21.3 | 51.3 |
| Division | 10.6 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 85.2 |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 8.0 | 11.4 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 20.7 | 26.1 | 28.1 | 33.8 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 16.1 | 30.2 | 32.1 | 31.1 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 29.2 | 38.7 |  |



Assam rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 449; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 35

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) | 90.5 | 0.0 | 88.5 | 86.5 |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present | 80.0 | 0.0 | 70.7 | 57.7 |


|  | 2005 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | 41.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 23.8 |
|  <br> teachers present | 32.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 21.1 |


| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | 27.7 | 100.0 | 21.2 | 11.8 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available | 12.6 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 11.8 |
| Schools with water provision and water available | 59.7 | 0.0 | 60.3 | 76.5 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | 66.1 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 38.2 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used | 5.9 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 20.6 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use | 28.0 | 100.0 | 34.7 | 41.2 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | 37.2 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 87.9 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children's attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | 75.8 | 97.7 | 70.9 | 72.7 |
| \% schools with less than $50 \%$ <br> enrolled children attending | 14.5 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 9.1 |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | 52.1 | 100.0 | 48.0 | 48.5 |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 83.5 | 82.8 | 77.9 | 75.3 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 66.3 |  | 62.8 |  |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In well over $80 \%$ of the Std 2 classes, most children have text books, pens or pencils and note books. In about 90\% of the Std 2 classes, most children have text books, pens or pencils and note books.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5: Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% <br> Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Kokrajhar | 89.3 | 2.8 | 16.3 | 79.6 | 78.3 | 17.9 | 75.2 | 68.9 | 13.3 |
| Dhubri | 56.1 | 8.1 | 11.7 | 82.5 | 88.6 | 11.2 | 59.2 | 55.6 | 6.3 |
| Goalpara | 72.5 | 6.4 | 12.1 | 68.6 | 78.5 | 20.6 | 39.3 | 30.3 | 6.3 |
| Bongaigaon | 27.1 | 2.5 | 16.1 | 90.5 | 93.0 | 26.3 | 69.1 | 62.3 | 12.5 |
| Barpeta | 83.7 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 90.5 | 83.9 | 30.3 | 73.8 | 67.4 | 15.2 |
| Kamrup | 78.3 | 3.4 | 11.7 | 87.2 | 94.3 | 34.6 | 71.6 | 52.8 | 10.7 |
| Nalbari | 92.8 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 95.6 | 88.5 | 67.1 | 68.9 | 71.9 | 42.4 |
| Darrang | 65.0 | 7.0 | 14.9 | 75.6 | 73.6 | 15.7 | 69.3 | 61.0 | 7.7 |
| Marigaon | 72.9 | 4.3 | 10.1 | 74.4 | 69.5 | 18.2 | 63.2 | 57.9 | 15.6 |
| Nagaon | 90.7 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 87.4 | 86.0 | 28.3 | 68.2 | 63.2 | 13.9 |
| Sonitpur | 80.7 | 7.2 | 13.5 | 52.9 | 64.7 | 21.5 | 51.4 | 38.0 | 14.6 |
| Lakhimpur | 56.4 | 3.6 | 10.3 | 88.9 | 93.5 | 45.5 | 79.2 | 69.1 | 21.5 |
| Dhemaji | 71.3 | 4.0 | 11.8 | 77.4 | 81.8 | 13.4 | 69.3 | 63.2 | 9.9 |
| Tinsukia | 79.4 | 10.8 | 24.3 | 80.3 | 82.7 | 16.9 | 67.3 | 50.3 | 6.9 |
| Dibrugarh | 58.6 | 9.3 | 13.8 | 91.8 | 86.8 | 49.7 | 60.7 | 56.9 | 21.5 |
| Sivasagar | 54.2 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 85.3 | 87.9 | 36.7 | 79.3 | 64.0 | 14.1 |
| Jorhat | 94.0 | 2.9 | 14.7 | 93.9 | 82.8 | 55.2 | 80.0 | 61.8 | 18.0 |
| Golaghat | 76.9 | 4.4 | 13.9 | 79.7 | 78.3 | 18.6 | 54.7 | 44.4 | 14.5 |
| Karbi Anglang | 50.5 | 10.5 | 13.2 | 80.7 | 79.8 | 56.6 | 59.8 | 62.3 | 10.4 |
| North Cachar Hill* | 41.5 | 37.9 | 13.4 | 95.2 | 92.1 |  | 69.7 | 90.9 |  |
| Cachar | 55.8 | 11.4 | 8.7 | 94.1 | 96.6 | 76.1 | 68.5 | 82.8 | 16.6 |
| Karimganj | 31.0 | 9.4 | 3.4 | 90.8 | 86.5 | 56.5 | 51.6 | 46.3 | 20.7 |
| Hailakandi | 22.4 | 17.2 | 7.4 | 83.6 | 84.6 | 35.0 | 57.4 | 54.7 | 11.5 |
| Total | 71.1 | 6.9 | 11.5 | 82.0 | 83.1 | 31.0 | 65.9 | 58.7 | 14.0 |



* Blank cells indicate insufficient data.


All analysis based on data from 6 out of 7 districts

## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 50.0 | 42.8 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 100 | 49.1 | 40.3 | 3.0 | 7.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 49.7 | 40.4 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 100 | 47.1 | 40.1 | 2.5 | 10.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 48.4 | 45.5 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 100 | 50.5 | 39.5 | 3.4 | 6.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 50.2 | 41.9 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 100 | 49.9 | 39.7 | 3.5 | 6.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 46.5 | 49.1 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 100 | 51.2 | 39.3 | 3.2 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 51.1 | 40.3 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 100 | 47.2 | 41.8 | 2.1 | 9.0 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 52.1 | 36.4 | 0.5 | 11.0 | 100 | 44.4 | 41.8 | 2.3 | 11.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 50.1 | 44.0 | 0.5 | 5.4 | 100 | 50.0 | 41.8 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 49.3 | 29.9 | 1.0 | 19.8 | 100 | 38.4 | 37.5 | 1.4 | 22.7 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 50.6 | 26.2 | 1.0 | 22.2 | 100 | 39.2 | 33.0 | 2.0 | 25.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 47.9 | 34.0 | 1.0 | 17.1 | 100 | 37.6 | 42.2 | 0.7 | 19.4 | 100 |

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

## In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은 } \\ & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { o } \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| 66.4 |  |  |  | 33.6 | 100 | 63.2 |  |  |  | 36.8 | 100 |
| 76.3 |  |  |  | 23.7 | 100 | 71.5 |  |  |  | 28.5 | 100 |
| 23.7 | 24.0 | 34.2 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 100 | 22.0 | 33.1 | 27.5 | 2.5 | 14.9 | 100 |
| 10.4 | 44.9 | 35.7 | 0.3 | 8.6 | 100 | 8.6 | 44.9 | 33.7 | 4.5 | 8.3 | 100 |

MEGHALAYA rural

## Learning Level

Reading level: \% Children who can read

| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 5.0 | 36.1 | 34.0 | 17.2 | 7.8 | 100 |
| II | 1.2 | 15.1 | 36.6 | 21.5 | 25.6 | 100 |
| III | 0.8 | 3.5 | 28.0 | 30.1 | 37.6 | 100 |
| IV | 0.4 | 1.1 | 8.5 | 25.0 | 65.1 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 24.2 | 69.4 | 100 |
| VI | 0.2 | 0.9 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 81.9 | 100 |
| VII | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 95.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 97.8 | 100 |
| Total | 1.6 | 11.9 | 21.1 | 20.3 | 45.2 | 100 |

Arithmetic level: \% Children who can

| Std. | Nothing | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  | (-9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |  |
| I | 5.0 | 27.4 | 45.9 | 19.9 | 1.8 | 100 |
| II | 1.3 | 6.6 | 47.1 | 36.3 | 8.7 | 100 |
| III | 0.8 | 4.6 | 23.5 | 42.4 | 28.7 | 100 |
| IV | 0.9 | 1.1 | 7.7 | 26.6 | 63.7 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.9 | 28.5 | 66.9 | 100 |
| VI | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 25.5 | 72.5 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 89.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 90.2 | 100 |
| Total | 1.7 | 8.4 | 24.4 | 27.9 | 37.6 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 14.6 | 27.3 | 28.4 | 23.4 | 6.3 | 100 |
| II | 6.7 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 43.1 | 17.2 | 100 |
| III | 1.6 | 8.3 | 6.8 | 43.4 | 39.9 | 100 |
| IV | 0.4 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 27.2 | 67.3 | 100 |
| V | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 29.2 | 66.9 | 100 |
| VI | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 18.8 | 78.9 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 96.7 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 96.8 | 100 |
| Total | 5.3 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 29.5 | 42.2 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

|  | Of those who <br> can read words, | Of those who can <br> read sentences, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | $\%$ who can tell |  |
| $\%$ who can tell |  |  |$|$| meaning of the |
| :---: |
| meaning of |
| words |$\quad$| sentences |
| :---: |


| I | 55.0 | 67.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 55.1 | 71.3 |
| III | 73.4 | 65.2 |
| IV | 78.1 | 84.9 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 90.3 | 91.5 |
| VI | 87.4 | 95.8 |
| VII | 100.0 | 96.4 |
| VIII | 100.0 | 94.4 |
| Total | 68.2 | 84.8 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

## Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally

Children in age group : 6 to 10

| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each leve answer | who can orally questions |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |

## Children in age group : 11 to 14

Reading level $\left.$\begin{tabular}{c|c|c|c|}
\hline \% Children <br>
in specific <br>
reading level

 

\% at each level who can orally <br>
answer questions

 \right\rvert\, 

based on Std 1 <br>
level text only

 

based on Std 2 <br>
level text
\end{tabular}

| Word | 16.2 | 25.9 | 10.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Std 1 level text | 20.0 | 79.2 | 27.9 |
| Std 2 level text | 57.3 | 98.2 | 94.6 |

Word
16.2
57.3

Std 1 level text
.
94.6

| Word | 29.3 | 20.0 | 6.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Std 1 level text | 21.5 | 69.4 | 22.0 |

Std 2 level text
26.9
96.2
87.3

| Ability of children by age to listen to a subtraction problem and answer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-school children |  |  |  |
| Age group | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
|  | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 71.1 | 5.6 | 22.9 |
| 9 to 10 | 44.2 | 12.3 | 43.1 |
| 11 to 14 | 23.7 | 9.6 | 66.4 |
| Children not in-school |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| Age group | None | Simple problem only | Both problems |
| 6 to 8 | 97.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 65.6 | 0.0 | 34.4 |
| 11 to 14 | 48.6 | 5.8 | 45.6 |
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Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$

## Arithmetic level

Number recognition 10-99

Subtraction
Division

Children in age group : 11 to 14

| Arithmetic <br> level | Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | None | arithmetic level who can solve <br> Simple <br> problem <br> only |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> recognition <br> $10-99$ | 17.2 | 83.9 | 7.4 | Both <br> problems |
| Subtraction | 28.1 | 18.4 | 24.9 | 5.2 |
| Division | 50.5 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 96.0 |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 3.0 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 8.4 | 14.9 | 15.7 | 11.0 |  |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 23.7 | 28.0 | 25.8 | 29.9 | 24.7 | 29.9 | 37.3 | 34.6 |  |  |



School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 93; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 9

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Teacher attendance |  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ |
| Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |  |  |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) |  | 93.0 | 91.1 |  |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | Insufficient <br> Data | 1.4 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  | 84.5 | 60.0 |  |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | Insufficient <br> Data schools with less than 50\% | 85.5 | 85.6 |  |
| enrolled children attending |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending |  | 86.8 | 100.0 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | Insufficient <br> Data | 19.8 | 23.0 |  |
|  <br> teachers present |  | 18.2 | 19.5 |  |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 \% Sc | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 42.1 | 30.1 | 22.1 | 21.9 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 41.7 |  | 21.6 |  |
|  | 2007 |  |  |  |
| Multigrade classes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Schools in which... | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Std II class sits with another class | 55.4 |  | 50.0 |  |
| Std IV class sits with another class | 48.8 |  | 25.0 |  |

Over $90 \%$ classes that were visited have blackboards. In about $75 \%$ of the Std 2 classes, most children have text books, pens or pencils and note books. In about $80 \%$ of the Std 2 classes, most children have text books, pens or pencils and note books.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


[^16]Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5: Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| West Garo Hills* |  | 9.9 | 21.5 | 97.5 | 97.2 | 90.1 | 75.6 | 77.0 | 39.4 |
| East Garo Hills | 91.4 | 4.8 | 14.0 | 86.8 | 83.6 | 78.9 | 77.7 | 64.9 | 46.2 |
| West Khasi Hills | 96.0 | 5.8 | 47.5 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 97.0 | 59.9 | 86.3 | 16.4 |
| Ri Bhoi* | 93.0 | 3.6 | 40.7 | 93.8 | 93.5 |  | 91.0 | 96.4 |  |
| East Khasi Hills | 82.6 | 4.8 | 69.4 | 97.2 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 91.3 | 93.7 | 84.3 |
| Jaintia Hills | 24.0 | 14.2 | 31.9 | 99.2 | 100.0 | 74.6 | 99.3 | 98.9 | 81.9 |
| Total | 67.4 | 7.5 | 40.3 | 96.7 | 96.7 | 88.9 | 82.2 | 84.2 | 56.3 |



* Blank cells indicate insufficient data.


All analysis based on data from 9 out of 9 districts

## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 35.1 | 58.7 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 100 | 36.8 | 57.3 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 35.3 | 56.7 | 0.5 | 7.6 | 100 | 34.6 | 57.8 | 1.6 | 6.0 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 35.1 | 59.3 | 0.8 | 4.8 | 100 | 38.4 | 57.5 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 35.6 | 59.6 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 100 | 39.9 | 56.2 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 34.7 | 59.1 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 100 | 36.7 | 59.0 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 35.4 | 57.8 | 0.4 | 6.5 | 100 | 33.3 | 58.0 | 2.3 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 33.0 | 59.6 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 100 | 32.9 | 58.1 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 38.0 | 55.7 | 0.3 | 5.9 | 100 | 33.8 | 57.8 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 35.3 | 48.5 | 0.2 | 16.0 | 100 | 25.5 | 58.5 | 2.5 | 13.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 33.6 | 48.9 | 0.3 | 17.2 | 100 | 23.4 | 61.7 | 3.7 | 11.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 37.3 | 48.1 | 0.1 | 14.5 | 100 | 27.9 | 55.0 | 1.1 | 16.0 | 100 |
| Age and Class Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

## In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| 76.0 |  |  |  | 24.0 | 100 | 80.5 |  |  |  | 19.5 | 100 |
| 70.7 |  |  |  | 29.3 | 100 | 78.7 |  |  |  | 21.3 | 100 |
| 23.4 | 24.7 | 42.7 | 0.6 | 8.6 | 100 | 25.0 | 29.9 | 37.2 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 100 |
| 3.3 | 32.5 | 57.5 | 0.7 | 6.1 | 100 | 4.8 | 39.5 | 51.0 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 100 |

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| I | 4.5 | 42.2 | 39.1 | 11.6 | 2.6 | 100 |
| II | 1.5 | 15.1 | 45.2 | 27.7 | 10.6 | 100 |
| III | 1.0 | 6.7 | 26.3 | 37.3 | 28.7 | 100 |
| IV | 0.9 | 4.9 | 13.2 | 26.9 | 54.0 | 100 |
| V | 0.2 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 22.2 | 64.8 | 100 |
| VI | 0.8 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 16.1 | 75.4 | 100 |
| VII | 1.2 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 11.4 | 82.9 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.4 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 8.5 | 88.1 | 100 |
| Total | 1.4 | 10.9 | 21.2 | 22.0 | 44.5 | 100 |


| Arithmetic levelf \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing |  | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 3.8 | 16.7 | 63.0 | 14.2 | 2.3 | 100 |
| II | 0.6 | 7.1 | 43.3 | 40.5 | 8.6 | 100 |
| III | 1.0 | 4.2 | 16.5 | 51.7 | 26.6 | 100 |
| IV | 0.9 | 3.9 | 8.2 | 35.2 | 51.8 | 100 |
| V | 0.8 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 23.3 | 67.6 | 100 |
| VI | 0.7 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 16.3 | 77.8 | 100 |
| VII | 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 11.0 | 84.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 6.8 | 90.5 | 100 |
| Total | 1.2 | 5.3 | 21.2 | 27.8 | 44.4 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons

ASER 2006 \& 2007 : Reading Levels - All Schools



*Please note that Manipur has insufficient data to report English results

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 30.1 | 6.3 | 4.3 | Word | 9.4 | 21.4 | 19.6 |
| Std 1 level text | 26.1 | 56.8 | 22.0 | Std 1 level text | 19.1 | 53.9 | 26.0 |
| Std 2 level text | 27.0 | 87.1 | 77.3 | Std 2 level text | 67.9 | 93.7 | 82.9 |

Ability of children by age to listen to a
subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children who can solve |  |  |  |
| Age group | Simple <br> problem only |  |  |
|  | Noneth |  |  |
| problems |  |  |  |$|$

Ability of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer
Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$

| Arithmetic <br> level | Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | None | arithmetic level who can solve <br> Sroblem <br> only | Both <br> problems |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> recognition <br> $10-99$ | 30.8 | 78.1 | 7.0 | 12.5 |
| Subtraction | 34.3 | 50.9 | 12.1 | 34.9 |
| Division | 26.8 | 8.7 | 7.0 | 82.0 |


| Children in age group : 11 to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 17.5 | 18.0 | 19.3 | 25.7 | 24.1 | 26.5 | 28.8 | 35.8 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 43.3 | 52.3 | 52.9 | 53.6 | 58.3 | 53.6 | 59.4 | 59.9 |  |



Manipur rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 97; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 34

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) |  | 90.3 | 83.3 |  |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | Insufficient <br> Data | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  | 61.4 | 24.1 |  |


| Chilldren's attendance | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) |  | 77.6 | 79.6 |  |
| \% schools with less than 50\% <br> enrolled children attending <br> \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending | Insufficient <br> Data | 11.3 | 12.9 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | Insufficient <br> Data | 15.8 | 15.2 |  |
|  <br> teachers present |  | 14.0 | 14.6 |  |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 47.4 | 39.2 | 12.9 | 13.8 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 53.4 |  | 26.6 |  |


| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & 1-4 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | Insufficient Data |  | 91.6 | 74.2 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available |  |  | 2.1 | 3.2 |
| Schools with water provision and water available |  |  | 6.3 | 22.6 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | Insufficient Data |  | 55.8 | 28.1 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used |  |  | 13.7 | 15.6 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use |  |  | 30.5 | 56.3 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | Insuffi Da | cient ta | 78.7 | 75.0 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.


[^17]Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5: Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% <br> Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% <br> Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH** | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH** |
| Senapati | 80.8 | 9.0 | 42.6 | 84.1 | 89.2 |  | 36.3 | 53.8 |  |
| Tamenglong | 86.9 | 4.5 | 34.9 | 99.1 | 99.4 |  | 75.9 | 77.9 |  |
| Churachandpur | 6.9 | 1.6 | 83.6 | 98.6 | 99.1 |  | 96.4 | 90.0 |  |
| Bishnupur | 61.0 | 7.0 | 62.3 | 93.9 | 93.1 |  | 72.1 | 85.4 |  |
| Thoubal | 97.9 | 0.7 | 64.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 96.1 | 99.5 |  |
| Imphal West | 83.1 | 12.2 | 63.7 | 97.0 | 99.0 |  | 62.8 | 81.3 |  |
| Imphal East | 77.2 | 0.8 | 62.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 75.1 | 84.1 |  |
| Ukhrul* |  | 3.0 | 43.1 | 99.4 | 99.7 |  | 81.5 | 94.4 |  |
| Chandel | 91.3 | 4.5 | 33.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 94.7 | 96.1 |  |
| Total | 79.5 | 4.5 | 57.3 | 97.1 | 97.9 |  | 77.5 | 85.1 |  |




## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in \% Out of different types of schools school |  |  |  |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 46.3 | 48.7 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 100 | 59.7 | 37.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 46.0 | 46.1 | 0.1 | 7.8 | 100 | 56.2 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 45.1 | 51.3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 100 | 65.0 | 32.7 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 44.6 | 51.5 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 100 | 66.2 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 45.7 | 51.0 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 100 | 63.6 | 34.1 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 47.3 | 45.9 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 100 | 51.9 | 43.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 49.2 | 43.6 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 100 | 52.2 | 42.1 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 44.7 | 48.9 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 100 | 51.5 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 45.0 | 34.1 | 0.1 | 20.8 | 100 | 38.6 | 45.9 | 0.1 | 15.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 43.9 | 32.9 | 0.0 | 23.2 | 100 | 41.4 | 42.9 | 0.2 | 15.5 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 46.6 | 35.9 | 0.3 | 17.2 | 100 | 35.1 | 49.4 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 100 |
| Age and Class Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have drold |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

## In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 38.8 |  |  |  | 61.2 | 100 | 43.1 |  |  |  | 56.9 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 78.9 |  |  |  | 21.1 | 100 | 54.2 |  |  |  | 45.8 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 71.4 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 100 | 8.5 | 49.8 | 35.3 | 0.1 | 6.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 30.3 | 33.1 | 35.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100 | 3.6 | 59.2 | 34.4 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 217 |

## Nagaland rural

## Learning Level

| Reading level: \% Children who can read |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | 3.3 | 39.6 | 47.8 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 100 |
| II | 0.8 | 17.4 | 53.6 | 21.6 | 6.6 | 100 |
| III | 0.7 | 7.2 | 39.4 | 33.2 | 19.5 | 100 |
| IV | 0.2 | 2.5 | 19.6 | 36.4 | 41.4 | 100 |
| V | 0.9 | 1.3 | 10.5 | 26.3 | 61.0 | 100 |
| VI | 0.1 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 22.5 | 70.7 | 100 |
| VII | 0.1 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 13.1 | 82.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.4 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 8.8 | 86.5 | 100 |
| Total | 0.9 | 10.5 | 27.2 | 22.6 | 38.8 | 100 |


| Arithmetic levelf \% Children who can |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing |  | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 - 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| I | 2.6 | 25.4 | 57.7 | 13.2 | 1.1 | 100 |
| II | 0.9 | 11.8 | 55.1 | 25.7 | 6.5 | 100 |
| III | 0.7 | 4.6 | 35.4 | 42.4 | 16.9 | 100 |
| IV | 0.4 | 3.0 | 17.3 | 45.6 | 33.8 | 100 |
| V | 0.5 | 4.1 | 8.8 | 38.8 | 47.8 | 100 |
| VI | 0.3 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 29.4 | 65.0 | 100 |
| VII | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2.4 | 17.8 | 79.2 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 14.4 | 83.3 | 100 |
| Total | 0.8 | 7.5 | 27.3 | 30.0 | 34.4 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons

ASER 2006 \& 2007 : Reading Levels - All Schools




## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : $\mathbf{1 1}$ to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 38.2 | 10.6 | 6.6 | Word | 10.9 | 26.0 | 19.6 |
| Std 1 level text | 22.7 | 57.0 | 21.6 | Std 1 level text | 24.4 | 70.4 | 33.6 |
| Std 2 level text | 23.4 | 83.6 | 73.3 | Std 2 level text | 62.3 | 95.3 | 87.5 |



Abifty of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

|  | Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 15.8 | 14.5 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 27.6 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 22.1 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 31.4 | 38.7 | 43.8 | 44.9 | 43.1 | 54.4 | 52.7 | 61.7 |  |



Nagaland rural

School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 143; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 14

|  | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) |  | 91.5 | 92.7 |  |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | Insufficient <br> Data | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  | 65.2 | 42.9 |  |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | Insufficient <br> \% schools with less than 50\% | 84.1 | 79.0 |  |
| enrolled children attending |  | 3.0 | 14.3 |  |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending |  | 81.4 | 81.0 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | Insufficient <br> Data | 12.2 | 12.9 |  |
|  <br> teachers present |  | 11.1 | 12.9 |  |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 90.2 | 90.1 | 88.5 | 88.5 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 91.7 |  | 92.2 |  |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. |
|  |  |  |
| Schools in which... | 3.5 | \% Schools |
| Std II class sits with <br> another class | 5.3 |  |
| Std IV class sits with <br> another class | 2.9 | 5.0 |

Over 90\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In over $90 \%$ of these classes, most children have textbooks, notebooks and pen or pencil.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


[^18]Nagaland rural

Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH** | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH** |
| Mon | 85.3 | 6.5 | 31.4 | 97.3 | 98.9 |  | 65.3 | 70.0 |  |
| Mokokchung* |  | 0.9 | 46.0 | 99.4 | 100.0 |  | 83.0 | 72.5 |  |
| Zunheboto | 2.4 | 4.0 | 52.6 | 90.3 | 87.1 |  | 57.9 | 67.3 |  |
| Dimapur | 4.1 | 0.4 | 58.8 | 99.6 | 100.0 |  | 92.5 | 97.4 |  |
| Phek | 68.2 | 3.4 | 36.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 76.7 | 77.6 |  |
| Peren | 72.1 | 1.9 | 25.2 | 96.4 | 96.4 |  | 81.2 | 83.3 |  |
| Longleng | 72.7 | 3.1 | 16.8 | 96.2 | 97.6 |  | 40.6 | 75.9 |  |
| Kiphire* |  | 0.3 | 46.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 62.3 | 66.3 |  |
| Tuensang | 38.8 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 98.0 | 97.0 |  | 59.7 | 58.9 |  |
| Kohima | 63.6 | 0.7 | 44.9 | 97.6 | 98.8 |  | 74.8 | 76.5 |  |
| Total | 48.8 | 3.3 | 37.0 | 98.0 | 98.3 |  | 71.7 | 74.4 |  |



* Blank cells indicate insufficient data.
** Nagaland children were tested in English; therefore data is not reported for English as a second language.



# ARUNACHAL PRADESH rural 

All analysis based on data from 13 out of 13 districts

## Enrollment

School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school <br> Not in School | Total | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 75.4 | 15.7 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 100 | 80.7 | 12.7 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 75.6 | 13.7 | 1.0 | 9.7 | 100 | 80.4 | 11.5 | 0.6 | 7.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 74.0 | 17.9 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 100 | 78.9 | 14.6 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 74.3 | 19.0 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 100 | 77.8 | 15.5 | 1.0 | 5.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 73.6 | 16.6 | 1.5 | 8.3 | 100 | 80.1 | 13.5 | 1.0 | 5.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 79.3 | 11.7 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 100 | 83.9 | 9.5 | 0.3 | 6.2 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 77.5 | 14.3 | 0.6 | 7.6 | 100 | 83.7 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 81.1 | 9.2 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 100 | 84.2 | 8.6 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 72.1 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 19.1 | 100 | 76.6 | 6.8 | 0.3 | 16.3 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 73.9 | 7.8 | 0.2 | 18.2 | 100 | 76.0 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 16.2 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 69.6 | 9.9 | 0.2 | 20.2 | 100 | 77.6 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 16.4 | 100 |
| ge and Class Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

| In pre-school (Balwadf/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 57.1 |  |  |  | 42.9 | 100 | 49.0 |  |  |  | 51.0 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 63.5 |  |  |  | 36.6 | 100 | 48.5 |  |  |  | 51.5 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 26.9 | 42.5 | 13.8 | 0.5 | 16.3 | 100 | 14.9 | 52.7 | 13.3 | 2.8 | 16.3 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 4.9 | 62.5 | 19.5 | 2.5 | 10.6 | 100 | 9.2 | 66.5 | 13.1 | 3.6 | 7.6 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 223 |

Arunachal Pradesh rural

## Learning Level

Reading level: \% Children who can read

| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 10.8 | 62.6 | 21.3 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 100 |
| II | 2.1 | 31.8 | 45.6 | 14.0 | 6.6 | 100 |
| III | 1.5 | 13.2 | 37.7 | 30.9 | 16.8 | 100 |
| IV | 1.2 | 5.8 | 18.7 | 38.4 | 35.9 | 100 |
| V | 1.1 | 2.3 | 10.2 | 33.8 | 52.5 | 100 |
| VI | 0.4 | 0.7 | 6.7 | 28.6 | 63.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.5 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 15.3 | 80.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 88.2 | 100 |
| Total | 2.8 | 19.4 | 22.3 | 21.9 | 33.6 | 100 |

Arithmetic level: \% Children who can

| Std. | Nothing | Recognize Numbers |  | Subtract | Divide | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-9 | 10-99 |  |  |  |
| I | 9.6 | 37.0 | 46.3 | 5.4 | 1.7 | 100 |
| II | 2.0 | 14.9 | 47.2 | 31.0 | 5.0 | 100 |
| III | 1.8 | 4.5 | 31.0 | 48.1 | 14.6 | 100 |
| IV | 0.9 | 2.4 | 14.2 | 43.1 | 39.5 | 100 |
| V | 1.0 | 1.6 | 7.4 | 34.5 | 55.6 | 100 |
| VI | 0.7 | 1.5 | 4.8 | 28.3 | 64.8 | 100 |
| VII | 0.3 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 18.6 | 78.8 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 86.5 | 100 |
| Total | 2.6 | 10.2 | 24.4 | 29.0 | 33.8 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 9.4 | 28.5 | 40.2 | 18.9 | 3.0 | 100 |
| II | 4.1 | 9.9 | 15.5 | 56.6 | 13.9 | 100 |
| III | 3.7 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 39.8 | 42.2 | 100 |
| IV | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 22.0 | 69.4 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 15.7 | 79.2 | 100 |
| VI | 2.4 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 84.0 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 93.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 4.6 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 86.8 | 100 |
| Total | 3.6 | 8.9 | 11.9 | 27.3 | 48.3 | 100 |
| 2 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

> | \% who can tell |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% who |  |
| meaning of the | meaning of |
|  | words |

| I | 46.7 | 62.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 47.5 | 56.5 |
| III | 42.3 | 62.9 |
| IV | 56.4 | 77.6 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 59.7 | 80.0 |
| VI | 78.4 | 89.3 |
| VII | 37.6 | 91.6 |
| VIII | 100.0 | 91.8 |
| Total | 48.5 | 78.6 |

## Arunachal Pradesh rural

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 30.7 | 6.9 | 3.7 | Word | 12.8 | 10.1 | 8.8 |
| Std 1 level text | 20.4 | 60.1 | 21.0 | Std 1 level text | 27.3 | 72.1 | 26.4 |
| Std 2 level text | 17.3 | 85.5 | 79.0 | Std 2 level text | 54.5 | 91.4 | 86.0 |



Abifty of children by arithmetic level to listen to
a subtraction problem and answer
Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$

| Arithmetic <br> level | Children in <br> specific <br> arithmetic <br> level | None | arithmetic level who can solve <br> Simple <br> problem <br> only | Both <br> problems |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> recognition <br> $10-99$ | 35.6 | 89.7 | 3.3 | 6.3 |
| Subtraction | 30.1 | 40.7 | 6.8 | 50.6 |
| Division | 16.9 | 9.7 | 4.7 | 84.5 |


| Children in age group : 11 to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt. <br> school | 8.2 | 8.4 | 9.5 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 14.4 | 11.7 | 18.2 |
| Pvt. <br> school | 38.1 | 40.3 | 49.1 | 55.9 | 49.3 | 55.5 | 32.8 | 41.9 |



Arunachal Pradesh rural

## School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 120; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 88

|  | 2005 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Teacher attendance | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) |  | 90.9 | 83.0 |  |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | Insufficient <br> Data | 1.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  | 76.0 | 38.2 |  |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | Insufficient <br> \% schools with less than 50\% | 80.9 | 79.2 |  |
| enrolled children attending |  | 6.9 | 10.3 |  |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending |  | 70.7 | 72.4 |  |


|  | 2005 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | Insufficient <br> Data | 22.6 | 19.3 |  |
|  <br> teachers present |  | 19.8 | 18.3 |  |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 61.8 | 57.8 | 30.3 | 32.1 |
| School received school maintenance grant |  | 70.3 |  | . 3 |


| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | Insufficient Data |  | 41.2 | 22.3 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available |  |  | 10.7 | 11.7 |
| Schools with water provision and water available |  |  | 48.1 | 66.0 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | Insufficient Data |  | 60.0 | 42.7 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used |  |  | 12.3 | 16.7 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use |  |  | 27.7 | 40.6 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | Insuff Da | icient ta | 67.7 | 59.8 |


|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. |
|  |  | $1-8$ |
| Schools in which... | 41.6 | 32.2 |
| Std II class sits with <br> another class | 43.5 | 25.0 |
| Std IV class sits with <br> another class |  |  |

Close to $90 \%$ classes that were visited have blackboards. In over $75 \%$ of these classes, children have textbooks, over $85 \%$ classes children had notebooks and pens.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.


[^19]
## Performance of districts

|  | Pre- school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5: Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% <br> Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% <br> Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH** | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH** |
| Tawang | 41.8 | 10.5 | 13.1 | 92.3 | 93.5 | 90.6 | 74.7 | 86.8 | 87.8 |
| West Kameng* | 72.7 | 3.8 | 19.3 | 99.6 | 99.1 |  | 65.9 | 76.8 |  |
| East Kameng | 66.9 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 89.0 | 83.9 | 86.4 | 60.5 | 72.7 | 38.4 |
| Papumpare* | 79.4 | 3.2 | 10.5 | 86.6 | 91.6 |  | 61.9 | 72.1 | 49.0 |
| Lower Subansiri* | 14.6 | 11.5 | 17.3 | 90.7 | 91.4 |  | 61.4 | 74.5 |  |
| Upper Subansiri | 79.3 | 1.8 | 6.4 | 88.9 | 88.9 | 90.6 | 65.8 | 83.6 | 45.4 |
| West Siang* | 93.4 | 4.2 | 12.0 | 98.2 | 97.8 |  | 83.9 | 84.5 |  |
| East Siang* |  | 0.8 | 21.6 | 95.5 | 96.4 |  | 58.1 | 70.7 |  |
| Upper Siang | 71.8 | 3.0 | 14.8 | 89.3 | 91.2 | 92.3 | 49.8 | 47.6 | 44.4 |
| Dibang Valley* | 71.6 | 6.4 | 11.3 | 94.6 | 99.2 |  | 66.8 | 69.8 |  |
| Lohit | 42.3 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 96.9 | 97.7 | 97.8 | 78.1 | 87.2 | 75.1 |
| Changlang* | 58.6 | 5.9 | 19.7 | 94.6 | 95.6 |  | 73.6 | 85.4 | 56.8 |
| Tirap | 26.1 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 94.0 | 93.7 | 93.3 | 66.9 | 74.9 | 55.4 |
| Total | 48.8 | 5.6 | 12.7 | 93.7 | 94.3 | 93.6 | 68.2 | 77.5 | 61.3 |



* Blank cells indicate insufficient data.




## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school <br> Not in School | Total | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other (Madarsa + EGS) |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa <br> + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL | 88.0 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 100 | 84.2 | 8.5 | 1.4 | 5.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL | 87.1 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 100 | 82.4 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 8.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL | 88.1 | 7.7 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 100 | 84.6 | 10.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS | 86.4 | 7.7 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 100 | 80.9 | 13.3 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | 90.0 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 100 | 89.0 | 7.4 | 3.0 | 0.7 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL | 89.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 8.0 | 100 | 84.5 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 9.6 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS | 88.7 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 8.6 | 100 | 84.9 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 9.3 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS | 90.1 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 7.3 | 100 | 84.1 | 5.2 | 0.8 | 9.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL | 80.3 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 100 | 69.8 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS | 76.7 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 100 | 71.3 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS | 84.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 100 | 68.2 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 26.1 | 100 |
| Age and ClasS Note: "Not in school" includes children who have never gone to school or have dropped out. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample



## Young Children

## In pre-school (BalwadF/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  | \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |  | In School |  |  |  | Total |
|  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |  | Govt. | Pvt. | Other School |  |  |
| Age: 3 ALL | 56.0 |  |  |  | 44.0 | 100 | 55.8 |  |  |  | 44.2 | 100 |
| Age: 4 ALL | 78.4 |  |  |  | 21.6 | 100 | 87.8 |  |  |  | 12.2 | 100 |
| Age: 5 ALL | 78.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 10.6 | 100 | 46.0 | 21.6 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 14.8 | 100 |
| Age: 6 ALL | 30.5 | 52.5 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 100 | 25.5 | 54.7 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 100 |
| ASER 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 229 |

## Learning Level

Reading level: \% Children who can read

| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 24.3 | 42.5 | 24.5 | 6.5 | 2.1 | 100 |
| II | 7.4 | 21.5 | 36.5 | 25.4 | 9.2 | 100 |
| III | 2.8 | 15.6 | 39.0 | 17.4 | 25.2 | 100 |
| IV | 2.9 | 8.1 | 25.7 | 33.6 | 29.7 | 100 |
| V | 1.2 | 7.5 | 18.7 | 30.2 | 42.4 | 100 |
| VI | 1.8 | 2.5 | 7.8 | 23.4 | 64.6 | 100 |
| VII | 2.2 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 10.9 | 75.0 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.0 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 87.6 | 100 |
| Total | 5.8 | 14.4 | 21.7 | 20.1 | 38.0 | 100 |

Arithmetic level: \% Children who can

|  |  | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | Nothing | (-9 | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 29.6 | 36.0 | 28.6 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 100 |
| II | 7.5 | 20.7 | 46.1 | 23.3 | 2.5 | 100 |
| III | 5.9 | 16.2 | 39.4 | 26.2 | 12.4 | 100 |
| IV | 6.8 | 10.4 | 22.4 | 44.5 | 15.9 | 100 |
| V | 2.4 | 6.5 | 23.0 | 37.4 | 30.6 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 39.3 | 53.6 | 100 |
| VII | 0.3 | 2.2 | 9.4 | 20.4 | 67.6 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 85.6 | 100 |
| Total | 7.0 | 12.9 | 23.6 | 26.5 | 30.1 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 45.3 | 17.8 | 24.7 | 9.7 | 2.6 | 100 |
| II | 14.7 | 14.7 | 46.6 | 17.7 | 6.3 | 100 |
| III | 10.4 | 10.7 | 34.8 | 25.3 | 18.9 | 100 |
| IV | 9.6 | 9.8 | 21.4 | 40.4 | 18.9 | 100 |
| V | 5.4 | 6.7 | 23.0 | 40.3 | 24.6 | 100 |
| VI | 3.8 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 41.4 | 52.0 | 100 |
| VII | 2.2 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 27.0 | 63.1 | 100 |
| VIII | 2.0 | 4.3 | 0.7 | 10.8 | 82.2 | 100 |
| Total | 12.6 | 8.9 | 22.1 | 27.0 | 29.4 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## English comprehension

|  | Of those who <br> can read words, | Of those who can <br> read sentences, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | \% who can tell <br> \% who can tell |  |
| meaning of the |  |  |
| meaning of |  |  |
| words |  |  |$\quad$| sentences |
| :---: |


| I | 64.0 | 62.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 81.4 | 34.2 |
| III | 73.0 | 64.1 |
| IV | 85.4 | 73.5 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 78.6 | 82.1 |
| VI | 71.4 | 75.8 |
| VII | 81.8 | 71.5 |
| VIII | 68.9 | 83.7 |
| Total | 77.6 | 75.2 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : 6 to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | \% at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 31.0 | 46.6 | 11.1 | Word | 11.7 | 54.1 | 3.3 |
| Std 1 level text | 20.3 | 79.7 | 25.0 | Std 1 level text | 20.5 | 76.8 | 39.2 |
| Std 2 level text | 17.8 | 89.7 | 87.5 | Std 2 level text | 59.8 | 96.7 | 85.1 |



Abifty of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

## Children in age group : 6 to 10

|  | Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |


| Children in age group : $\mathbf{1 1}$ to $\mathbf{1 4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 52.5 | 59.7 | 64.3 | 69.2 | 68.9 | 76.3 | 69.8 | 85.3 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 91.8 | 73.9 | 81.3 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |



School Observations: Schools visited - Primary (Std 1-4/5): 13; Primary+Upper-primary (Std 1-8): 22

| Teacher attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |  |
| \% teachers attending <br> (average) |  | 86.7 | 73.7 |  |
| \% schools with no teacher <br> present | Insufficient <br> Data | 0.0 | 4.8 |  |
| \% schools with all <br> teachers present |  | 45.5 | 42.9 |  |


| Children's attendance | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| \% enrolled children attending <br> (average) | Insufficient <br> \% schools with less than 50\% | 77.4 | 84.7 |  |
| enrolled children attending |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \% schools with 75\% and more <br> enrolled children attending |  | 50.0 | 91.7 |  |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pupil teacher ratio <br> (median) | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5^{*}$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. <br> $1-8$ |
| Based on children enrolled <br> \& teachers appointed | Insufficient <br> Data | 17.7 | 13.3 |  |
|  <br> teachers present |  | 21.8 | 16.6 |  |


| School grants and teacher grants | 2006 |  | 2007* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Std. 2 | Std. 4 | Std. 2 | Std. 4 |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Teacher received TLM grant | 80.7 | 70.0 | 57.1 | 36.4 |
| School received school maintenance grant | 90.6 |  | 71.4 |  |


| Water/toilet and midday meal | 2005 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5^{*} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std. } \\ 1-8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | \% Schools |  |  |  |
| Schools with no water provision | Insufficient Data |  | 46.2 | 28.6 |
| Schools with water provision but water not available |  |  | 7.7 | 14.3 |
| Schools with water provision and water available |  |  | 46.2 | 57.1 |
| Schools with no toilet provision | Insufficient Data |  | 30.8 | 38.1 |
| Schools with toilet provision but not being used |  |  | 0.0 | 23.8 |
| Schools with toilet provision and use |  |  | 69.2 | 38.1 |
| Schools with midday meal being served on that day | Insuff Da | cient ta | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

|  | 2007 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multigrade classes | Std. <br> $1-4 / 5$ | Std. |
|  |  | $1-8$ |
| Schools in which... | 30.8 | \% Schools |
| Std II class sits with <br> another class | 30.8 | 27.8 |
| Std IV class sits with <br> another class |  | 27.8 |

Over 85\% classes that were visited have blackboards. In about $70 \%$ of these classes most children have text books and pens or pencils and note books.

Please note that while 2005 data was collected during ASER 2005, 2006 data reported in the Grants table was collected in ASER 2007 by asking for last year's grant information to the respondent.

*Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.

## Tripura rural

## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5 : Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| West Tripura* |  | 2.0 | 14.1 | 68.3 |  | 65.9 | 65.4 | 55.1 | 18.3 |
| South Tripura* |  | 12.9 | 4.1 | 91.7 | 89.6 | 72.9 | 56.0 | 53.3 | 20.0 |
| North Tripura | 77.6 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 88.4 | 84.1 | 68.8 | 58.6 | 61.0 | 26.4 |
| Total | 76.1 | 5.9 | 8.5 | 84.2 | 81.6 | 69.7 | 59.7 | 55.8 | 20.8 |



[^20]SIKKIM rural
All analysis based on data from 1 out of 4 districts

## Enrollment

## School enrollment and out of school children 2006 vs. 2007

|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  | \% Children in each age group in different types of schools |  |  | \% Out of school |  |
|  | Govt. | Pvt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { (Madarsa } \\ & + \text { EGS) } \end{aligned}$ | Not in School | Total | Govt. | Pvt. | Other <br> (Madarsa + EGS) | Not in School | Total |
| Age: 6-14 ALL |  |  |  |  |  | 70.9 | 27.2 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age: 7-16 ALL |  |  |  |  |  | 74.1 | 22.7 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 ALL |  |  |  |  |  | 65.7 | 33.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 BOYS |  |  |  |  |  | 61.6 | 37.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 100 |
| Age: 7-10 GIRLS | Sikkim | was not sur | veyed in | ASER 20 |  | 70.0 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 ALL |  |  |  |  |  | 78.3 | 19.3 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 BOYS |  |  |  |  |  | 78.4 | 18.6 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 100 |
| Age: 11-14 GIRLS |  |  |  |  |  | 78.2 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 ALL |  |  |  |  |  | 81.2 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 9.0 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 BOYS |  |  |  |  |  | 79.4 | 11.1 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 100 |
| Age: 15-16 GIRLS |  |  |  |  |  | 83.1 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 100 |

## Age and Class

## Age-wise and class-wise distribution of children in sample




## Young Children

In pre-school (Balwadi/Anganwadi) or in school 2006 vs. 2007


## Performance of districts

|  | Pre-school | Out of school | Private school | Std 1-2 : Learning levels |  |  | Std 3-5: Learning levels |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | \% <br> Children (Age 3-4) in Anganwadi or other pre-school | \% Children (Age 6-14) Out of school | \% Children (Age 6-14) in Private school | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters, words or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN RECOGNIZE NUMBERS (1-9) or more | \% Children (Std 1-2) who CAN READ letters or more in ENGLISH | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ Level 1 (Std 1) text or more in OWN LANGUAGE | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN SUBTRACT or do more | \% Children (Std 3-5) who CAN READ sentences in ENGLISH |
| Sikkim East | 45.0 | 1.8 | 27.2 | 91.1 | 99.3 | 98.6 | 82.4 | 87.3 | 83.8 |
| Total | 45.0 | 1.8 | 27.2 | 91.1 | 99.3 | 98.6 | 82.4 | 87.3 | 83.8 |



## Learning Level

Reading level: \% Children who can read

| Std. | Nothing | Letter | Word | Level 1 <br> (Std 1) <br> Text | Level 2 <br> (Std 2 <br> text) | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 13.2 | 25.0 | 52.6 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 100 |
| II | 4.4 | 13.2 | 51.5 | 19.1 | 11.8 | 100 |
| III | 1.5 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 45.5 | 25.8 | 100 |
| IV | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 34.4 | 54.7 | 100 |
| V | 2.9 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 21.7 | 65.2 | 100 |
| VI | 1.6 | 1.6 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 76.2 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 16.4 | 76.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 86.8 | 100 |
| Total | 3.4 | 6.4 | 23.5 | 20.8 | 45.9 | 100 |

Arithmetic level: \% Children who can

| Std. | Nothing | Recognize <br> Numbers |  |  |  | (-9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 - 9 9}$ | Subtract | Divide | Total |  |
| I | 1.3 | 16.0 | 64.0 | 17.3 | 1.3 | 100 |
| II | 0.0 | 1.5 | 30.9 | 57.4 | 10.3 | 100 |
| III | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 46.2 | 26.2 | 100 |
| IV | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 42.9 | 52.4 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 20.3 | 73.9 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 23.8 | 69.8 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 25.0 | 71.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 86.8 | 100 |
| Total | 0.2 | 3.0 | 20.3 | 31.0 | 45.5 | 100 |

Only subtraction and division data comparable with previous ASER.

## Comparisons




## English reading level: \% Children who

| Std. | Cannot <br> read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> capital <br> letters | Can read <br> small <br> letters | Can read <br> simple <br> words | Can read <br> easy <br> sentences | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 2.6 | 9.2 | 15.8 | 43.4 | 29.0 | 100 |
| II | 0.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 29.0 | 66.7 | 100 |
| III | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 24.6 | 72.3 | 100 |
| IV | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 89.1 | 100 |
| V | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 89.7 | 100 |
| VI | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 92.1 | 100 |
| VII | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 96.4 | 100 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91.9 | 100 |
| Total | 0.4 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 17.7 | 76.1 | 100 |

## English comprehension

| Of those who <br> can read words, <br> Std. | Of those who can <br> read sentences, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| meaning of |  |$|$


| I | 56.3 | 60.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II | 68.4 | 84.1 |
| III | 46.2 | 83.0 |
| IV | 60.0 | 83.9 |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 80.0 | 83.6 |
| VI | 80.0 | 93.0 |
| VII | 0.0 | 96.3 |
| VIII | 0.0 | 97.0 |
| Total | 60.8 | 86.8 |

## Comprehension \& Problem solving

| Ability of children by reading level to read and answer orally |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to 10 |  |  |  | Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  | Reading level | \% Children in specific reading level | $\%$ at each level who can orally answer questions |  |
|  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |  |  | based on Std 1 level text only | based on Std 2 level text |
| Word | 42.1 | 37.4 | 20.0 | Word | 10.1 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Std 1 level text | 23.6 | 82.6 | 48.8 | Std 1 level text | 21.2 | 82.5 | 40.0 |
| Std 2 level text | 19.0 | 100.0 | 81.1 | Std 2 level text | 65.0 | 100.0 | 93.6 |

## Ability of children by age to listen to a <br> subtraction problem and answer

| In-school children |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group Children who can solve |  |  |  |
|  | None | Simple <br> problem only | Both <br> problems |
| 6 to 8 | 52.8 | 13.2 | 33.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 18.3 | 19.4 | 61.3 |
| 11 to 14 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 77.7 |


|  | Children not in-school <br> \% Children who can solve |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age group | None | Simple <br> problem only | Both <br> problems |
| 6 to 8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 to 10 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11 to 14 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 |
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Abifity of children by arithmetic level to listen to a subtraction problem and answer

|  | Children in age group : $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |  |

School going children attending tuition class

| \% Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std. | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII |  |
| Govt. <br> school | 29.0 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 23.8 | 27.7 | 19.2 | 16.0 | 38.7 |  |
| Pvt. <br> school | 45.5 | 44.4 | 45.5 | 41.7 | 61.5 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 20.0 |  |


| Children in age group : 11 to 14 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Children in specific |  |  |  |



## Annexures

## Comparison of age- class composition in sample frames of 2006 and 2007



| STATE | Districts |  | Surveyed |  | Number of children in age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number of schools having classes.. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Surveyed | Villages | Households | $\begin{aligned} & 3-16 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 3-5 years |  |  | 6-14 years |  |  | 15-16 years |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | Total | Boys | Girls | 1-7/8 | 1-4/5 |
| Jammu\&Kashmir | 14 | 14 | 379 | 7669 | 18627 | 2651 | 1387 | 1264 | 13800 | 7595 | 6205 | 2176 | 1263 | 913 | 151 | 109 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 12 | 12 | 344 | 6250 | 13029 | 2517 | 1333 | 1184 | 9190 | 4702 | 4488 | 1322 | 657 | 665 | 24 | 207 |
| Punjab | 19 | 19 | 536 | 10715 | 21431 | 3512 | 1981 | 1531 | 15516 | 8770 | 6746 | 2403 | 1391 | 1012 | 48 | 358 |
| Uttaranchal | 13 | 13 | 369 | 6986 | 15471 | 2828 | 1509 | 1319 | 11134 | 5893 | 5241 | 1509 | 776 | 733 | 14 | 306 |
| Haryana | 20 | 20 | 560 | 11433 | 26010 | 4877 | 2802 | 2075 | 18187 | 10240 | 7947 | 2946 | 1696 | 1250 | 79 | 302 |
| Rajasthan | 32 | 32 | 959 | 18934 | 49329 | 8076 | 4392 | 3684 | 35772 | 20429 | 15343 | 5481 | 3395 | 2086 | 449 | 365 |
| UP | 69 | 69 | 2039 | 40285 | 107665 | 17202 | 9330 | 7872 | 80299 | 45509 | 34790 | 10164 | 5896 | 4268 | 92 | 1,796 |
| Bihar | 37 | 37 | 1085 | 21716 | 58876 | 11317 | 6032 | 5285 | 43684 | 24787 | 18897 | 3875 | 2434 | 1441 | 454 | 442 |
| Sikkim | 4 | 1 | 26 | 549 | 916 | 130 | 62 | 68 | 664 | 336 | 328 | 122 | 63 | 59 | 0 | 1 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 13 | 13 | 326 | 6184 | 13991 | 2690 | 1388 | 1302 | 9703 | 5170 | 4533 | 1598 | 935 | 663 | 96 | 133 |
| Nagaland | 11 | 10 | 243 | 5348 | 12423 | 2504 | 1345 | 1159 | 8545 | 4694 | 3851 | 1374 | 768 | 606 | 21 | 178 |
| Manipur | 9 | 9 | 236 | 4911 | 11153 | 2204 | 1198 | 1006 | 7806 | 4105 | 3701 | 1143 | 600 | 543 | 34 | 97 |
| Tripura | 4 | 3 | 46 | 955 | 1811 | 317 | 182 | 135 | 1299 | 683 | 616 | 195 | 105 | 90 | 22 | 13 |
| Meghalaya | 7 | 6 | 149 | 2835 | 7444 | 1458 | 759 | 699 | 5066 | 2587 | 2479 | 920 | 466 | 454 | 9 | 93 |
| Assam | 23 | 23 | 635 | 12426 | 26323 | 4550 | 2302 | 2248 | 19335 | 10125 | 9210 | 2438 | 1360 | 1078 | 35 | 449 |
| West Bengal | 17 | 17 | 487 | 9842 | 18606 | 3292 | 1738 | 1554 | 13959 | 7659 | 6300 | 1355 | 753 | 602 | 8 | 354 |
| Jharkhand | 22 | 22 | 628 | 12693 | 31747 | 6203 | 3234 | 2969 | 23293 | 12577 | 10716 | 2251 | 1348 | 903 | 275 | 224 |
| Orissa | 30 | 30 | 875 | 16300 | 32604 | 6269 | 3204 | 3065 | 23212 | 12313 | 10899 | 3123 | 1598 | 1525 | 303 | 404 |
| Chhattisgarh | 16 | 15 | 435 | 8429 | 19452 | 3274 | 1635 | 1639 | 13845 | 7065 | 6780 | 2333 | 1190 | 1143 | 77 | 349 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 45 | 45 | 1323 | 26388 | 61391 | 10565 | 5578 | 4987 | 45385 | 24871 | 20514 | 5441 | 3262 | 2179 | 343 | 935 |
| Gujarat | 25 | 25 | 725 | 14483 | 30896 | 5779 | 3097 | 2682 | 23140 | 13093 | 10047 | 1977 | 1147 | 830 | 503 | 72 |
| Daman \& Diu | 2 | 2 | 14 | 1110 | 2444 | 471 | 238 | 233 | 1699 | 897 | 802 | 274 | 131 | 143 | 3 | 8 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1 | 1 | 23 | 602 | 1308 | 219 | 98 | 121 | 939 | 488 | 451 | 150 | 77 | 73 | 17 | 5 |
| Maharashtra | 33 | 33 | 971 | 18448 | 36378 | 6759 | 3637 | 3122 | 26107 | 13808 | 12299 | 3512 | 1874 | 1638 | 403 | 480 |
| Andhra Pradesh | 22 | 22 | 639 | 12923 | 24058 | 3051 | 1580 | 1471 | 18758 | 9171 | 9587 | 2249 | 1116 | 1133 | 214 | 365 |
| Karnataka | 27 | 27 | 790 | 15625 | 30283 | 5165 | 2654 | 2511 | 22731 | 11425 | 11306 | 2387 | 1198 | 1189 | 585 | 168 |
| Goa | 2 | 2 | 51 | 1173 | 2044 | 276 | 140 | 136 | 1374 | 722 | 652 | 394 | 233 | 161 | 30 | 13 |
| Kerala | 14 | 14 | 321 | 6898 | 12263 | 1961 | 967 | 994 | 9034 | 4555 | 4479 | 1268 | 553 | 715 | 65 | 124 |
| TamilNadu | 29 | 29 | 796 | 16152 | 30733 | 4374 | 2226 | 2148 | 22727 | 11564 | 11163 | 3632 | 1892 | 1740 | 228 | 401 |
| Pondicherry | 2 | 2 | 44 | 977 | 1691 | 365 | 160 | 205 | 1063 | 541 | 522 | 263 | 131 | 132 | 10 | 12 |
| Total | 584* | 567 | 16054 | 319239 | 720397 | 124856 | 66188 | 58668 | 527266 | 286374 | 240892 | 68275 | 38308 | 29967 | 4592 | 8763 |

## Sample Design of Rural ASER 2007

The purpose of rural ASER 2007 is twofold: (i) to get reliable estimates of the status of children's schooling and basic learning (reading, writing and math ability) at the district level; and (ii) to measure the change in these basic learning and school statistics from last year. Every year a core set of questions regarding schooling status and basic learning levels remains the same. However a set of new questions are added for exploring different dimensions of schooling and learning in the elementary stage. The latter set of questions is different each year.

One government primary school in each sampled villages was visited during ASER 2005. This was not done in ASER 2006 but was done in ASER 2007. This year's ASER survey links the sampled child to the school that was visited. This will be able to better establish the links between schools and learning. Unlike, ASER 2006, this year mothers were not tested however, their education level was recorded. As in 2006, children were tested for comprehension. However, instead of testing only higher level readers, readers who are at a lower level were also tested. Finally, in ASER 2007 children were also tested for English reading and comprehension.

Since one of the goals of ASER 2006 Rural is to generate estimates of change in learning between 2006 and 2007, a panel survey design would provide more efficient estimates of the change. However, given the large sample size of the ASER surveys and cost considerations, we adopted a rotating panel of villages rather than children. In ASER 2006, we retained the 20 villages from 2005 and added 10 new villages. In ASER 2007 we randomly dropped 10 villages from ASER 2005, kept all the 10 villages from 2006 and added 10 more villages from the census village directory.

The sampling strategy used will generate a representative picture of each district. All rural districts will be surveyed. The estimates obtained will then be aggregated to the state and all-India levels.

Since estimates were to be generated at the district level, the minimum sample size calculations had to start at the district level. The sample size is determined by the following considerations:

- Incidence of what is being measured in the population. Since a survey of learning has never been done in India, the incidence of what we are trying to measure is unknown in the population. ${ }^{1}$
- Confidence level of estimates. The standard used is $95 \%$.

Precision required on either side of the true value. The standard degree of accuracy most surveys employ is between 5 and 10 per cent. An absolute precision of $5 \%$ along with a $95 \%$ confidence level implies that the estimates generated by the survey will be within 5 percentage points of the true values with a $95 \%$ probability. The precision can also be specified in relative terms - a relative precision of $5 \%$ means that the estimates will be within $5 \%$ of the true value. Relative precision requires higher sample sizes.

Sample size calculations can be done in various ways, depending on what assumptions are made about the underlying population. With a 50 \% incidence, $95 \%$ confidence level and 5\% absolute precision, the minimum sample size required in each strata ${ }^{2}$ is $384 .{ }^{3}$ This derivation assumes that the population proportion is normally distributed. On the other hand, a sample size of 384 would imply a relative precision of $10 \%$. If we were to require a $5 \%$ relative precision, the sample size would increase to $1600 .{ }^{4}$ Note that all the sample size calculations require estimating the incidence in the population. In our case, we can get an estimate of the incidence from ASER 2005. However, incidence

[^21]varies across different indicators --- so incidence of reading ability is different from incidence of dropouts. In addition, we often want to measure things that are not binary for which we need more observations.

Given these considerations, the sample size was decided to be 600 households in each district. ${ }^{5}$ In each district, we have 10 villages from ASER 2005 and ASER 2006 and an additional 10 villages have been added this year to the sample, giving us a total of 30 villages per district. In each village 20 households are surveyed as in ASER 2006, giving a household sample size of 600 per district. National estimates from ASER 2006 put the proportion of children who could subtract or do more at $58 \%$. If we use this as a measure of incidence, then our sample size of 600 would imply a relative precision of about $7 \%$ and an absolute precision of $4 \%$ at the district level to measure the proportion of children who could subtract. Note that at the state level and at the all-India level the survey has many more observations lending estimates at those levels much higher levels of precision.

If we had houselists at the district level, the 600 households could be randomly selected. In the absence of these, a two-stage sample design was adopted. In the first stage, 30 villages were randomly selected using the village directory of the 2001 census as the sample frame. ${ }^{6}$ In the second stage 20 households were randomly selected in each of the 30 selected villages in the first stage.

Villages were selected using the probability proportional to size (PPS) sampling method. This method allows villages with larger populations to have a higher chance of being selected in the sample. It is most useful when the sampling units vary considerably in size because it assures that those in larger sites have the same probability of getting into the sample as those in smaller sites, and vice verse. 7,8

In the selected villages, 20 households are surveyed. Ideally, a complete houselist of the selected village should have been made and 20 households selected randomly from it. However, given time and resource constraints a procedure for selecting households was adopted that preserved randomness as much as possible. The field investigators were asked to divide the village into four parts. This was done because villages often consist of hamlets and a procedure that randomly selects households from some central location may miss out households on the periphery of the village. In each of the four parts, investigators were asked to start at a central location and pick every 5th household in a circular fashion till 5 households were selected. In each selected household, all children in the age group of 6-14 were tested. ${ }^{9}$

The survey provides estimates at the district, state and national levels. In order to aggregate estimates up from the district level households had to assigned weights --- also called inflation factors. The inflation factor corresponding to particular household denotes the number of households that the sampled household represents in the population. Given that 600 households are sampled in each district regardless of the size of the district, a household in a larger district will represent many more households and, therefore, have a larger weight associated with it than one in a sparsely populated

[^22]district.

The advantage of using PPS sampling is that the sample is self weighting at the district level. In other words, in each district the weight assigned to each of the sampled household turns out to be the same. This is because, the inflation factor associated with a household is simply the inverse of the probability of it being selected into the sample times the number of households in the sample. Since PPS sampling ensures that all households have an equal chance of being selected at the district level, the weights associated with households in the same district are the same. Therefore, weighted estimates are exactly the same as the un-weighted estimates at the district level. However, to get estimates at the state and national levels, weighted estimates are needed since states have a different number of districts and districts vary by population.

Even though the purpose of the survey is to estimate learning levels among children, the household was chosen as the second stage sampling unit. This has a number of advantages. First, children are tested at home rather than in school, allowing all children to be tested rather than just those in school. Further, testing children in school might create a bias since teachers may encourage testing the brighter children in class. Second, a household sample will generate an age distribution of children which can be cross-checked with other data sources, like the census and the NSS. Third, a household sample makes calculation of the inflation factors easier since the population of children is no longer needed.

Often household surveys are stratified on various parameters of interest. The reason for stratification is to get enough observations on entities that have the characteristic that is being studied. For instance, the NSS uses a two stage stratified sample for their consumption surveys. In the first stage the sample is stratified by population and in the second stage households are stratified on the basis of their affluence. The reason for doing this is that the purpose of the survey is to generate poverty estimates for which a representative sample must include enough non-affluent households. The ASER survey stratifies the sample by population in the first stage. No stratification was done at the second stage. Since the proportion of population in the 6-14 age group is about $22 \%$ and the average household size is about 5, ${ }^{10}$ a simple random sample at the second stage would yield enough children in the sample. Finally, if we were to stratify on households with children in the 6-14 age group, we would need the population of such households in the village, which is not possible without a complete houselist of the village.
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Binapani Behera
Binodini Nayak
Bipin Ch Sethi
Bishnu Ch Samal
Bishnupriya Rout
Biswajit Nayak
Chandan Ku Sahu
Chandin Begam
Chandrakanti Samal
Charan Singh Sabar
Chinmayee Patra
D. Sujata

Dasarathi Das
Debasis Samal
Deepak Behera
Deepak Ku Sahoo
Deepak Ku. Bhanja
Deepak Naik
Dhanamanta Bibhar
Dharitri Behera
Dhiraj Bhoi
Digabijaya Nanda
Dilip Behera
Eklabya Behera
Election Behera
Gautam Khandagiri
Gayatri Das
Gobardhan Barik
Gumar Nayak
Gunilata Nayak
Harapriya Dash
Harihara Bagh
Husnara Begum
Israt Jahan Khamam
Jagadish Behera
Jamuna Giri
Jhunu Nayak
Jitendra Dehuri
Jitendra Panigrahi
Joytrimayee Behera
Jugalkisor Bhoi
Julli Das
Jyosnarani Sahoo
Kabita Parida
Kadambini Mahapatra
Kadambini Muduli
Kalpana Mallick
Kalpataru Das
Kalyani Singh
Kamini Parida
Kamraj Nayak
Kanhu Charan Behera
Kasturi Behera
Ketaki Muduli
Khetramohan Rana
Kiran Ojha
Kishore Begum
Kunu Palia
Latika Swain
Laxman Jena
Laxmipriya Basantia
Laxmipriya Jena
Laxmipriya Mohapatra
Leena Mohapatra
Lili Sethy
Lilima Sahu
Lingaraj Nayak
Liptimayee Swain
Lokanatha Tripathi
M. Srabanti
Md. Hasid Khan

Madhusmita Jena
Madhusudan Bagh
Mahendra Patra
Mahija Das
Mamata Samal
Mamata Das
Mamata Moharana
Manasha Mishra
Manoj Ku Digal
Manoj Panigrahi

Mihir Rout
Minaketan Padhi
Minati Bej
Minati Nayak
Mitali Tarai
Mitamanjari Sadang
Monalisa Behera
Monalisa Pattnaik
Mrutunjaya Behera
Mrutunjaya Bhanja
Mustaq Ali
Mustari Khanam
Nabakisor Bhoi
Nagrajuna Nayak
Nanigopal Das
Natia Majhi
Natua Rajendra Prasad Dehuri
Nibedeta Raula
Niharika Behera
Nilakantha Das
Nilakantha Majhi
Niranjan
Nirupama Das
Nirupama Sahoo
Nirupama Tarai
Nizamuddin Taqlaque
Nurjhan
Padmini Behera
Panchali Mohanty
Parbati Acharya
Pinky Achrya
Prabhat Rath
Pradeep
Pradeep Ku. Mallik
Pradeep Kumar Nayak
Pradeep Sahu
Prahalad Bagh
Pramod Ku Kanhar
Pramod Kumar Nayak
Pramodini Sahu
Prasanta Ku Nayak
Pravakar Majhi
Pravasini Pattanayak
Pravati Nayak
Preeti Rekha Moharana
Premalata Pradhan
Pritimayee Das
Priyambada Das
Pruthbiraj Behera
Puspalata Nayak
Rabinarayan Khadanga
Rajat Kumar Nayak
Rajiv Sabar
Rajkumar Hati
Ramchandra Mahapatra
Ramesh Ch Karmi
Ramesha Ch Barado
Ramprasad Mohanty
Rani Begum
Ranjan Patra
Ranjukta Acharya
Rashmita Behera
Rasmita Das
Rasmita Sahoo
Rasmita Tarai
Reetanjali Parida
Rehamatun Nisha
Rekha Tahal
Renu Upadhyay
Renuka Behera
Rijuana Begum
Rohit Ku Nayak
Sabana Ajmin
Sabita Behera
Sabita Jena
Sabita Malick
Sabita Mishra
Sabita Mohanty
Sabita Pradhan
Saburam Begum
Sambhunath Bhuiyan
Samima Begum
Samita Sahani
Sampati Bagh
Samsad Begum
Sandhyarani Jena
Sandhyarani Sahoo
Sangha mitra Das
Sanjaya ku Bhoi
Sanjukta Mohanty
Sanjutka Pati
Sankardayal Nayak
Santilata Satapati
Santosh Ku Das
Santosh Ku Mishra
Sarat Ch Deep
Sarat Ku Sahu
Sarawati Basantia
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[^12]:    Note: ASER 2007 survey was carried out in October and November 2007. One govt. school with primary grades was visited in the sampled village. If there was more than one govt. school with primary grades, then the school with the highest enrollment was visited. Hence the schools visited in the survey do not represent a random sample from the district. The school visits were generally done either on a Saturday or a Monday.

[^13]:    *Grants for 2007 may be lower than 2006 since the survey was conducted in the middle of the year.
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[^20]:    * Blank cells indicate insufficient data.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the rural sector we can use the estimates from ASER 2005 to get an idea of the incidence in the population.
    ${ }^{2}$ Stratification is discussed below.
    ${ }^{3}$ The sample size with absolute precision is given by $\frac{z^{2} p q}{d^{2}}$ where $z$ is the standard normal deviate corresponding to $95 \%$ probability ( $=1.96$ ), $p$ is the
    incidence in the population (0.5), $q=(1-p)$ and $d$ is the degree of precision required (0.05).
    ${ }^{4}$ The sample size with relative precision is given by $\frac{z^{2} q}{r^{2} p}$ where $z$ is the standard normal deviate corresponding to $95 \%$ probability ( $=1.96$ ), $p$ is the
    incidence in the population ( 0.5 ) , $q=(1-p)$ and $r$ is the degree of relative precision required ( 0.1 ).

[^22]:    ${ }^{5}$ Sample size calculations assume simple random sampling. However, simple random sampling is unlikely to be the method of choice in an actual field survey. Therefore, often a "design effect" is added to the sample size. A design effect of 2 would double the sample size. At the district level a $7 \%$ precision along with a $95 \%$ confidence level would imply a sample size of 196 , giving us a design effect of approximately two.
    ${ }^{6}$ Of these 30 villages, 10 are from ASER 2005, 10 from ASER 2006 and 10 are newly selected in 2007. They were selected randomly from the same sample frame. The 10 new villages are picked as an independent sample.
    ${ }^{7}$ Probability proportional to size (PPS) is a sampling technique in which the probability of selecting a sampling unit (village, in our case) is proportional to the size of its population. The method works as follows: First, the cumulative population by village calculated. Second, the total household population of the district is divided by the number of sampling units (villages) to get the sampling interval (SI). Third, a random number between 1 and the SI is chosen. This is referred to as the random start (RS). The RS denotes the site of the first village to be selected from the cumulated population. Fourth, the following series of numbers is formed: RS; RS+SI; RS+2SI; RS +3 SI; .... The villages selected are those for which the cumulative population, contains the numbers in the series.
    ${ }^{8}$ Most large household surveys in India, like the National Sample Survey and the National Family Health Survey also use this two stage design and use PPS to select villages in the first stage.
    ${ }^{9}$ In larger villages, the investigators increased the interval according to a rough estimate of the number of households in each part. For instance, if a village had 2000 households, each part in the village would have roughly 500 households. Selecting every $5^{\text {th }}$ household would leave out a large chunk of the village un-surveyed. In such situations, investigators were asked to increase the interval between selected households.

